Original article

Association Between Blood Pressure and Mortality in a Spanish Cohort of Persons Aged 65 Years or Over: A Dynamic Model

Alicia Gutiérrez-Misis,^{a,b,*} María T. Sánchez-Santos,^{a,c} José R. Banegas,^{a,d} María V. Zunzunegui,^e Mercedes Sánchez-Martinez,^a María V. Castell,^{a,b} and Angel Otero^{a,c}

^a Departamento de Medicina Preventiva y Salud Pública, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain

^b Centro de Salud Dr. Castroviejo, Atención Primaria, IdiPAZ, Madrid, Spain

^c Departamento de Medicina Preventiva y Salud Pública, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid (UAM), Hospital Universitario La Paz, IdiPAZ, Madrid, Spain

^d IdiPAZ, CIBER de Epidemiología y Salud Pública, Madrid, Spain

^e Département de Médecine Sociale et Préventive, Université de Montréal, Montreal, Québec, Canada

Article history: Received 28 July 2012 Accepted 12 November 2012 Available online 13 March 2013

Keywords: Hypertension Systemic arterial Aged over 65 years Mortality

Palabras clave: Hipertensión Arterial sistémica Mayores de 65 años Mortalidad

ABSTRACT

Introduction and objectives: Few studies have used time-dependent correction to analyze the relationship between blood pressure and all-cause mortality, and to our knowledge none has been performed in older people from the Mediterranean area. This study aimed to estimate the relationship between baseline blood pressure and blood pressure as a time-dependent covariate with the risk of all-cause mortality in a population cohort of persons aged 65 or older in Spain.

Methods: Data were taken from the population-based study "Aging in Leganés" with 17 years of followup, launched in 1993 in a random sample (n=1560) of persons aged \geq 65 years. Mortality was assessed in 2010. Cox proportional hazards models were fitted to examine the effects on mortality of blood pressure at baseline and of blood pressure as a time-dependent covariate.

Results: The lowest mortality was observed at baseline systolic blood pressure of 136 mmHg and time-dependent covariate value of 147 mmHg. The highest risk of mortality for time-dependent covariates occurred with systolic blood pressure<115 mmHg and >93 mmHg and diastolic blood pressure e<80 mmHg. Diastolic blood pressure over 85 mmHg did not increase the risk of death.

Conclusions: Based on the dynamic association between blood pressure and mortality, a U-shaped relationship was found for systolic blood pressure and a negative relationship for diastolic blood pressure and all-cause mortality. The lowest mortality corresponded to a systolic blood pressure level slightly over the diagnostic hypertension value and suggests that a value of 140 mmHg is not adequate as a diagnostic and therapeutic threshold in an elderly population.

© 2012 Sociedad Española de Cardiología. Published by Elsevier España, S.L. All rights reserved.

Asociación entre presión arterial y mortalidad en una cohorte de individuos de edad igual o superior a 65 años de España: un modelo dinámico

RESUMEN

Introducción y objetivos: Son pocos los estudios que han utilizado una corrección dependiente del tiempo para analizar la relación entre presión arterial y mortalidad por cualquier causa, y hasta donde sabemos no se ha realizado ninguno en ancianos del área mediterránea. El objetivo de este estudio es estimar la relación que la presión arterial basal y la presión arterial como variable dependiente del tiempo tienen con el riesgo de mortalidad por cualquier causa en una cohorte poblacional en España de personas de 65 o más años.

Métodos: Los datos se obtuvieron del estudio de base poblacional «Envejecer en Leganés», con un seguimiento de 17 años, que se puso en marcha en 1993 en una muestra aleatoria (n = 1.560) de personas de 65 o más años. Se evaluó la mortalidad en 2010. Se ajustaron modelos de riesgos proporcionales de Cox para analizar los efectos de la presión arterial basal y la presión arterial como covariable dependiente del tiempo en la mortalidad.

Resultados: El valor mínimo de mortalidad se observó con una presión arterial sistólica basal de 136 mmHg y un valor de presión arterial sistólica como covariable dependiente del tiempo de 147 mmHg. El riesgo de mortalidad más alto para la presión arterial sistólica como covariable dependiente del tiempo se produjo con valores de presión arterial sistólica < 115 y > 193 mmHg y presión arterial diastólica < 80 mmHg. Valores de presión arterial diastólica > 85 mmHg no aumentaron el riesgo de muerte.

Conclusiones: Teniendo en cuenta la relación dinámica entre la presión arterial y la mortalidad, nuestros datos muestran una relación en forma de U para la presión arterial sistólica y una relación negativa para

* Corresponding author: Facultad de Medicina, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Avda. Arzobispo Morcillo s/n, 28029 Madrid, Spain. *E-mail address*: alicia.gutierrez@uam.es (A. Gutiérrez-Misis).

1885-5857/\$ - see front matter © 2012 Sociedad Española de Cardiología. Published by Elsevier España, S.L. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rec.2012.11.014

la presión arterial diastólica y mortalidad por todas las causas. La menor mortalidad correspondió a un valor de presión arterial sistólica ligeramente superior al valor diagnóstico de hipertensión, lo que indica que 140 mmHg podría no ser adecuado como valor diagnóstico y objetivo terapéutico en la población anciana.

© 2012 Sociedad Española de Cardiología. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L. Todos los derechos reservados.

Abbreviations

BP: blood pressure DBP: diastolic blood pressure HT: hypertension TDC: time-dependent covariate SBP: systolic blood pressure

INTRODUCTION

Hypertension (HT) is an important public health problem due to its effect on cardiovascular morbidity and mortality and its high prevalence, especially in older persons.^{1,2} Data from the Framingham study and subsequent studies^{3,4} clarified the role of HT in cardiovascular mortality in middle-aged subjects. However, the extent to which high blood pressure (BP) should be reduced in persons aged 65 or older remains controversial. Although the evidence suggests that HT continues to be a prognostic factor in this age group,^{5,6} some results have also reported an inverse relationship between systolic blood pressure (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) and mortality in persons aged 65 or older.^{7,8}

These controversial results could partly be due to the methods used in most of the studies not having been corrected for regression dilution bias,⁹ that is, they do not include the fluctuation in BP values during the time of observation. This phenomenon could substantially underestimate the strength of the real association between BP and mortality during a particular exposure or a later period. In order to make appropriate time-dependent corrections for the effect of regression dilution, BP re-measurements during prolonged follow-up can be used to estimate the usual risk factor levels at a particular interval time prior to death in each follow-up wave.¹⁰

To our knowledge, only a few prospective observational studies have used time-dependent correction to analyze the relationship between BP and mortality.⁹⁻¹⁴ Moreover, some of these studies are based on patients enrolled in clinical trials¹¹ or include persons younger than 75.¹² The meta-analysis from the Prospective Studies Collaboration published by Lewington et al.¹⁰ demonstrated a relationship between SBP and stroke death down to a SBP of 115 mmHg for individuals up to age 89, but data from the European Mediterranean studies are from middle-aged men (40 to 59 years old). It is important to design specific studies, using the time-dependent correction for BP, that include older people from the Mediterranean area, where stroke has a higher burden of mortality than coronary disease.¹⁵

The longitudinal study "Aging in Leganés", carried out from 1993 to 2010, offers the opportunity to examine in greater depth the association between repeated BP measurements and all-cause mortality in a European Mediterranean population of persons aged 65 or older. The objective of this study was to estimate the relationship between baseline BP and BP as a time-dependent covariate (TDC) and the risk of all-cause mortality in a population cohort of persons aged 65 or older who were followed for 17 years.

METHODS

Study Subjects

The methodological characteristics of this study have been described in detail previously.¹⁶ Briefly, "Aging in Leganes" was initiated in 1993 in a sex- and age-stratified random sample (n=1560, 11.4% of the total Leganés population aged 65 or older, range 65-101 years), a suburban municipality located 8 km outside Madrid (Spain). The subsequent waves were conducted in 1995, 1997, 1999, 2006, and 2008. In 1993, the response rate was 82% (n=1283); in 1995, 1007 individuals; in 1997, 869; in 1999, 519; in 2006, 286; and in 2008, 194.

Of the 1283 participating individuals in 1993, BP measurement data was not available for 7.9% (n=101) of participants because they refused to take part in the physical examination. All individuals with available baseline BP data were included in the analysis (n=1182). The nonincluded subjects (n=377) were older ($P \le .05$) and more likely to be women ($P \le .001$), compared with the included subjects.

For the assessment of the association between BP as a TDC and mortality, we used data from 1995, 1999, and 2006. In 1997, BP data were not available.

The study protocol was approved by the local ethics committee and all the participants signed an informed consent form.

Mortality

Deaths were ascertained by computer linkage to the National Death Registry (authorized by the Ministry of Health), using the first name as well as the two last names (paternal and maternal) as is customary in Spain. All deaths occurring between study entry (April 1993-November 1993) and 30 June 2010 were ascertained. The cumulative number of deaths over the 17-year period was 1153 out of the total sample of 1560 participants. In the present study the number of deaths was 874 of the 1182 individuals with baseline BP data (390 women and 484 men). From 1993 to 1995, 166 individuals had died, from 1995 to 1999, 242 and from 1999 to 2006, 354. The remaining individuals died in the last 4 years of follow-up (n=112).

Blood Pressure Measurements

BP was measured three times during the physical examination (except in 2006 when only two measurements were available) at intervals of at least 5 min, in the left arm, with the individual seated, using a calibrated mercury sphygmomanometer. The average of the readings was used for these analyses.

Potential Confounders

The sociodemographic factors included age category (65-74, 75-84, and \geq 85 years), sex, and education (illiterate or some education [no schooling but can read and write, or any level of schooling]). Antihypertensive medication was coded as a dichotomous variable with a value of 1 if the person reported taking any medication for HT and 0 otherwise.

Morbidity was assessed by a proxy to the Charlson comorbidity index. As reported in a previous publication,¹⁷ it is based on the original Charlson comorbidity index and the available pre-existing data of 9 self-reported comorbidities from the "Aging in Leganés" study.

Body mass index was calculated at baseline as the ratio of the weight to the square of height in meters (kg/m²). Weight was self-reported and height was measured with a measuring rod according to standardized guidelines.¹⁸ For the multivariate analysis, 2-term fractional polynomial transformations were used: bmifp1=(bmi/10)2 and bmifp2=(bmi/10)3, as in a previous study of body mass index in this population.¹⁸

Baseline smoking status and physical activity were also included in the analysis. Smoking was categorized as never smoked, ex-smoker or current smoker. Physical activity was coded as a dichotomous variable to distinguish those reporting light or no exercise from those reporting moderate or vigorous exercise, as described in previous work.¹⁸

Statistical Analysis

Average BP measurements (standard deviation) were plotted against time. Characteristics of the study sample were compared against SBP categories (<110, 110-119, 120-129, 130-139, 140-159, 160-179, and \geq 180 mmHg) and DBP categories (<60, 60-69, 70-79, 80-84, 85-89, 90-99, and \geq 100 mmHg). The differences between groups of individuals were based on a one-way analysis of variance model for continuous variables and on chi-squared statistics for categorical variables.

The association between BP at baseline and BP-TDC and the risk for all-cause mortality was analyzed with Cox proportional hazards models, adjusting for the covariates at baseline (age in categories, sex, education, antihypertensive medication, proxy to the Charlson comorbidity index, body mass index, smoking, and physical activity). Confidence intervals were estimated under the assumption of asymptotic normality of the estimates. The relationship between all-cause mortality and BP at baseline and BP-TDC was tested to include a quadratic term of BP measurements in the model. To assess the nonlinear relationship, the model was compared with and without the quadratic term by means of the P-value obtained from the partial likelihood ratio test. Cox proportional hazards analyses with BP-TDC were performed with the same technique used in a previous study of TDC in this population,¹⁹ which was previously used by Ferraro and Kelly-Moore.²⁰ The model included the covariate at baseline (in 1993), change in the covariate, and time of observation. The change in the covariate was measured as the difference between the covariate value at the most recent observation and the covariate at baseline. Time of observation was defined as the difference between the interview date at the most recent observation (date in 2006, 1999, 1995, or 1993) and the interview date at baseline (date in 1993); it takes a value of 0 if data were available only for 1993, and a value between 1.5 and 13.5, depending on the latest interview date.

In all models we tested the interaction terms between BP and BP-TDC with the remaining covariates.

Results with P<.05 were considered statistically significant. SPSS 17.0 was used for the analysis.

RESULTS

Blood Pressure Over Time

The distribution of BP measured in 1993, 1995, 1999 and 2006 is presented in Figure 1A for SBP and Figure 1B for DBP. The average

SBP increases progressively over the 13 years of follow-up, from 137.2 mmHg in 1993 to 149.4 mmHg in 2006. However, the variations over time for DBP were very small, from 77.8 mmHg to 80.0 mmHg.

Characteristics of the Sample According to Blood Pressure

Characteristics of the study sample according to SBP and DBP categories are presented in Tables 1 and 2, respectively.

Subjects with low SBP were more likely to be men, to have less education, and to be leaner, and less likely to use antihypertensive medication, compared with subjects with high SBP. A significantly larger mortality rate occurred in the lowest and highest SBP categories ($P \le .05$). No significant differences were observed between SBP and age, proxy to the Charlson comorbidity index conditions, or physical activity (Table 1).

Similarly for the DBP categories, those with the lowest DBP (<60 mmHg) were older, leaner, more likely to be men and less likely to use antihypertensive medication, compared with subjects with the highest BP (\geq 100 mmHg). Mortality rate increased with lower values of DBP (Table 2).

Systolic Blood Pressure and Mortality

In the multivariate models, adjusting for baseline covariates, the hazard ratios (HR) between all-cause mortality and SBP at baseline and SBP-TDC are shown in Figures 2A and 2B. A non-linear relationship was confirmed when a quadratic term was included in the model (SBP at baseline: chi-squared=5.958, degrees of freedom [df]=1, *P*=.015; SBP-TDC: chi-square=7.186, df=1, *P*=.007).

Figure 2A shows that the mortality rate decreases with increasing SBP at baseline, reaches a minimum at 136 mmHg, and then increases in an asymmetric manner for higher values of SBP. The highest mortality risks were found for values above 165 mmHg.

The relationship between SBP-TDC and all-cause mortality followed a U-shaped curve (Fig. 2B). Furthermore, the estimated nadir for SBP-TDC increases by almost 11 mmHg, from 136 mmHg to 147 mmHg, compared with baseline SBP. The mortality hazard is not different from the value of 1 for SBP values ranging between 115 mmHg and 193 mmHg.

Diastolic Blood Pressure and Mortality

For DBP at baseline and DBP treated as a TDC, a nonlinear relationship was not observed for all-cause mortality. No significant differences were observed between the models with and without the quadratic term (DBP at baseline: chi-square=0.181, df=1, *P*=.671; DBP-TDC: chi-square=0.096, df=1, *P*=.756).

For the multivariate analysis, DBP at baseline and DBP-TDC were treated as a categorical variable. Figures 3A and B give the mortality HR for each category of DBP (baseline and TDC, respectively) compared with the recommended guideline of DBP below 80-84 mmHg.⁵

Figure 3A shows that a significantly higher risk of death, compared with the category 80-84 mmHg, was observed only in the lowest category of DBP (<60 mmHg), (HR=1.53; 95% confidence interval [95%CI], 1.05-2.23).

DBP as a TDC was a strong predictor of mortality, after adjusting for all variables at baseline (Fig. 3B). The HR were 1.56 (95%CI, 1.06-2.30), HR=1.32 (95%CI, 1.05-1.66) and HR=1.26 (95%CI, 1.05-1.51) for DBP-TDC categories of <60, 60-69 and 70-79 mmHg, respectively, as compared with the reference category (80-84 mmHg).



Figure 1. Blood pressure distribution over time. A: Mean systolic blood pressure (standard deviation) at baseline and post-baseline waves in 1995, 1999 and 2006. B: Mean diastolic blood pressure (standard deviation) at baseline and post-baseline waves in 1995, 1999 and 2006. DBP, diastolic blood pressure; SBP, systolic blood pressure.

Higher values (\geq 85 mmHg) did not increase the risk of death compared with the reference 80-84 mmHg.

None of the interaction terms between BP (baseline and TDC) and the remaining covariates, including the interaction between baseline BP and changes in BP over time, attained statistical significance.

DISCUSSION

SBP increased progressively over time throughout the followup period in this cohort of elderly people aged 65 and older. This finding is consistent with the gradual increase in the incidence of HT in the elderly population in Spain and throughout the world. 21,22

Looking at the association between BP components and mortality for the different analytical models, SBP showed a Ushaped relationship with long-term all-cause mortality even after adjustment for potential confounders. For DBP at baseline and DBP treated as a TDC, a nonlinear relationship was not found for allcause mortality.

The SBP and DBP levels related to mortality vary according to the kind of analysis used. For the Cox regression analysis, the highest and significant levels of mortality were observed for

Table 1

Characteristics of the Population According to Systolic Blood Pressure Categories

Characteristic	Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) at baseline								
	<110 (n=42)	110-119 (n=123)	120-129 (n=236)	130-139 (n=303)	140-159 (n=317)	160-179 (n=122)	≥180 (n=39)		
Age, %	i i	i i	ï	ŕ	ŕ	Í			
65-74 years	45.2	45.5	50.0	47.9	46.7	44.3	23.1	.178	
75-84years	35.7	39.0	30.9	37.3	39.1	37.7	59.0		
≥85 years	19.0	15.4	19.1	19.1	14.2	18.0	17.9		
Male, %	64.3	59.3	58.5	53.8	47.0	34.4	25.6	≤.001	
Illiterate, %	26.2	8.1	15.7	19.1	16.7	20.5	28.2	≤.05	
Use of antihypertensive medication, %	14.3	21.1	22.5	26.4	47.0	50.8	64.1	≤.001	
PrCCI score, %									
0 or 1	42.9	39.8	45.3	47.9	45.4	38.5	43.6	.652	
2 or 3	38.1	39.8	37.3	38.3	40.4	40.2	33.3		
≥3	19.0	20.3	17.4	13.9	14.2	21.3	23.1		
BMI, kg/m ²	25.5 (5.0)	26.2 (3.9)	26.7 (4.5)	26.7 (3.7)	27.6 (4.8)	28.5 (4.7)	28.9 (4.5)	≤.001	
Smoking, %									
No smoker	45.2	52.8	50.8	55.4	64.7	73.0	79.5	-	
Ex-smoker	45.2	39.0	37.7	31.7	26.2	18.9	10.3		
Smoker	9.5	8.1	11.4	12.9	9.1	8.2	10.3		
Physical activity light-no exercise, %	45.2	39.8	31.8	30.4	31.2	32.8	43.6	.175	
Follow-up time, years	8.3 (6.0)	8.8 (5.9)	10.2 (5.9)	10.3 (5.8)	10.4 (5.9)	10.0 (5.5)	8.9 (4.9)	≤.05	
Mortality rate ^a	10.1	9.0	6.8	7.1	6.9	7.5	10.4	$\leq .05^{b}$	
SPB change from most recent value to baseline, mmHg	14.9 (23.3)	11.3 (18.1)	11.3 (19.1)	8.4 (19.6)	-1.0 (19.1)	-9.8 (20.9)	-21.4 (27.4)	≤.001	
DPB change from most recent value to baseline, mmHg	2.7 (8.5)	2.3 (9.7)	1.2 (9.5)	-0.8 (10.7)	-2.3 (10.0)	-6.2 (11.0)	-5.0 (13.3)	<.001	

BMI, body mass index; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; PrCCI, proxy to the Charlson comorbidity index; SBP, systolic blood pressure.

Unless otherwise indicated, the data are expressed as mean (standard deviation).

^a Number of deaths per-100 person-years.

^b Log-rank test.

Table 2

Characteristics of the Population According to Diastolic Blood Pressure Categories

Characteristic	Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) at baseline							P-value
	<60 (n=38)	60-69 (n=169)	70-79 (n=383)	80-84 (n=295)	85-89 (n=146)	90-99 (n=115)	≥100 (n=36)	
Age, %	i i	i i	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i			i i		
65-74 years	31.6	32.5	42.6	51.5	54.8	58.3	55.6	≤.001
75-84 years	44.7	46.7	38.6	34.6	34.9	27.8	36.1	
\geq 85 years	23.7	20.7	18.8	13.9	10.3	13.9	8.3	
Male, %	81.6	59.2	55.9	47.1	47.9	30.4	36.1	≤.001
Illiterate, %	18.4	14.2	15.9	22.0	16.4	12.2	27.8	.082
Use of antihypertensive medication, %	18.4	21.9	27.4	34.6	47.9	49.6	63.9	≤.001
PrCCI, score, %								
0 or 1	28.9	47.3	42.3	47.8	43.8	47.0	41.7	.745
2 or 3	52.6	37.3	39.2	38.3	38.4	36.5	41.7	
≥3	18.4	15.4	18.5	13.9	17.8	16.5	16.7	
BMI, kg/m ²	24.9 (4.1)	25.9 (4.0)	27.1 (4.2)	27.3 (4.3)	27.5 (4.5)	28.5 (5.1)	28.6 (5.3)	≤.001
Smoking, %								
No smoker	31.6	56.8	55.1	61.7	60.3	71.3	72.2	
Ex-smoker	50.0	32.5	34.2	28.8	30.1	17.4	22.2	
Smoker	18.4	10.7	10.7	9.5	9.6	11.3	5.6	
Physical activity light-no exercise, %	39.5	40.8	31.1	33.6	28.1	32.2	30.6	.253
Follow-up time, years	6.7 (5.6)	8.8 (5.7)	9.4 (5.9)	10.6 (5.7)	11.3 (5.5)	11.7 (5.7)	11.0 (5.3)	≤.001
Mortality rate ^a	12.9	9.4	8.3	6.6	6.0	5.3	6.6	\leq .001 ^b
SPB change from most recent value to baseline, mmHg	3.9 (19.3)	8.1 (18.1)	7.4 (21.2)	3.0 (20.7)	0.3 (23.8)	-1.7 (23.6)	-5.4 (22.6)	≤.001
DPB change from most recent value to baseline, mmHg	6.8 (10.9)	4.2 (8.2)	1.9 (8.8)	-2.1 (8.9)	-5.5 (10.5)	-8.6 (9.9)	-15.4 (14.7)	≤.001

BMI, body mass index; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; PrCCI, proxy to the Charlson comorbidity index; SBP, systolic blood pressure. Unless otherwise indicated, the data are expressed as mean (standard deviation).

^a Number of deaths per-100 person-year.

^b Log-rank test.

individuals with baseline SBP>165 mmHg and baseline DBP<60 mmHg. These figures change when BP is used as a TDC for the analysis. The highest—and significant—level of mortality is observed for individuals with SBP<115 mmHg or >193 mmHg and DBP <80 mmHg.

Clinical trials have shown clinical benefits in the elderly subjects who achieve SBP values averaging 140, 150, and 160 mmHg, but no additional benefit from an intense BP-lowering strategy has been reported. As a simple generalization, it could be stated that pretreatment SBP>160 mmHg and a target SBP<150 mmHg would fit the profile of most of these studies.^{23,24} Furthermore, these studies varied in patient selection, drug choices, and duration of therapy, which makes it difficult to extrapolate the results to the general population.

Observational cohort studies add to this scenario the possibility of a longitudinal analysis of the relationship between BP and mortality in the general population.²⁵ In older people, observational studies have also commonly found U- or J-shaped relationships between SBP or DBP and mortality^{7,8} but other studies including general populations with a wider age range have found a linear relationship.^{10,14} The meta-analysis from the Prospective Studies Collaboration¹⁰ shows a strong and direct relationship between overall mortality and BP at all ages (40-89 years), with no threshold down to at least SBP<115 mmHg and DBP<75 mmHg. In our TDC analysis, significant higher mortality was also observed for individuals with SBP<115 mmHg, although this relationship is not linear, and the lowest risk mortality level was at SBP=147 mmHg. Consistent with the results of other studies,^{8,26-29} this association could be related to healthier lifestyles, Mediterranean diet, or better HT control in middle adulthood, which would lead to less arterial stiffening in surviving elderly people.

Thus, unlike previous guidelines that addressed the full spectrum of adults,^{5,30} there is limited evidence in the elderly population to support values between 130 mmHg and 140 mmHg as a diagnostic and therapeutic threshold. There are also limited data as to whether patients with initial SBP between 150 mmHg and 159 mmHg would benefit from treatment without risk of adverse outcomes.³¹

Similar to our results, several studies have found that low DBP is a marker of increased risk of death.^{32,33} Since most myocardial blood flow occurs in diastole, low DBP could be a strong determinant of myocardial perfusion and possibly cardiovascular mortality.³⁴

Strengths and Limitations

One of the strengths of this study is that it is a population-based cohort study. The high response rate at baseline (83%) and the relatively large sample size and long life expectancy of those included made it possible to carry out a retrospective longitudinal analysis with a long follow-up period. Furthermore, we studied the relationship between BP and all-cause mortality. Several studies have found that frailty is prevalent in older adults with cardiovascular disease and that the combination of frailty and cardiovascular disease has been associated with a high risk of all-cause mortality.^{35,36}

This is the first study to employ a TDC analysis to show the relationship between BP as a dynamic variable and all-cause mortality in a Spanish-based Mediterranean population of persons aged 65 or older.

Our study has some methodological limitations. First, we had 3 measurements of BP in the first 3 waves of the study but only





Figure 2. Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) and all-cause mortality. A: Adjusted hazard ratios for all-cause mortality by baseline systolic blood pressure; the referent point was 136 mmHg. B: Adjusted hazard ratios for all-cause mortality by time-dependent systolic blood pressure; the referent point was 147 mmHg. Based on proportional hazards models adjusting for age in categories; sex; education, use of antihypertensive medication, proxy to the Charlson comorbidity index, smoking, physical activity, and 2-term fractional polynomial transformations of body mass index. The upper and lower 95% confidence intervals are denoted by dotted lines. HR, hazard ratio; LCL, lower confidence level; SBP, systolic blood pressure; SBP-TDC, systolic blood pressure as time-dependent covariate; UCL, upper confidence level.

2 measurements in 2006. We could not conduct outpatient BP monitoring. $^{\rm 37}$

Second, we did not have information to assess cardiovascular mortality. Our analysis controlled for cardiovascular risk factors like body mass index, smoking, and physical activity but no information was available on cholesterol levels and its treatment, which is important in adults.^{4,28} Absence of statistical control for

serum cholesterol may have led to overestimation of the relationship between BP and mortality but some studies have found that serum cholesterol has no predictive value for mortality in the elderly population.^{7,38}

Third, because the sample was from a metropolitan area of Madrid, this study may not be comparable to other Mediterranean population studies and cannot strictly be generalized to the whole



Figure 3. Relationship between diastolic blood pressure and all-cause mortality. A: Hazard ratios for all-cause mortality by diastolic blood pressure at baseline; B: Hazard ratios for all-cause mortality by diastolic blood pressure as a time-dependent covariate. Based on proportional hazards models adjusting for age in categories, sex, education, use of antihypertensive medication, proxy to the Charlson comorbidity index, smoking, physical activity and 2-term fractional polynomial transformations of body mass index. Bars indicate 95% confidence intervals. DBP, diastolic blood pressure; DBP-TDC, diastolic blood pressure as time-dependent covariate.

Spanish population. However, our objective was to increase our knowledge of the relationship between BP and all-cause mortality in persons aged 65 years or older.

Fourth, some bias may have occurred. Reverse causality bias may have happened, as we have not ruled out the presence of subclinical cardiovascular disease during the follow-up. Also, we have not adjusted for some general variables such us diet and because some variables were modeled as dichotomous, some residual confounding may remain. However, the magnitude of these biases has been reported to be small.³⁹

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, based on direct measurements of BP and taking into account the dynamic association between BP and mortality, our data show a U-shaped relationship between SBP and all-cause mortality and a negative relationship between DBP and all-cause mortality in a population aged 65 years or older residing in a city of central Spain. Increased hazard ratios were observed at low and high SBP(<115 mmHg and >193 mmHg) and at low DBP (<80 mmHg). To our knowledge, there is conflicting evidence in the elderly to support a value of 140 mmHg as a diagnostic and therapeutic threshold, or DBP values below 80 mmHg as a target. This is the first study to provide a TDC analysis of BP in a Mediterranean-based population aged 65 years or older and adds complementary and contemporary information to the international experience. HT management in the elderly may need different treatment targets from those in younger individuals. Our results in elderly subjects suggest that more trial research is needed to assess whether a goal of less than 140/90 mmHg should be achieved in the elderly population.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the elderly people of Leganés for their generous contribution to our study during 17 years.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the National Fund for Health Research (*Fondo de Investigaciones en Salud*) of Spain (project numbers FIS PI 05 1898 and RETICEF RD06/0013/1013).

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

None declared.

REFERENCES

- Kearney PM, Whelton M, Reynolds K, Muntner P, Whelton PK, He J. Global burden of hypertension: analysis of worldwide data. Lancet. 2005;365:217–23.
- Cordero A, Lekuona I, Galve E, Mazón P. Novedades en hipertensión arterial y diabetes mellitus. Rev Esp Cardiol. 2012;65 Supl 1:12–23.
- Franklin SS, Lopez VA, Wong ND, Mitchell GF, Larson MG, Vasan RS, et al. Single versus combined blood pressure components and risk for cardiovascular disease: the Framingham Heart Study. Circulation. 2009;119:243–50.
- Ikeda N, Inoue M, Iso H, Ikeda S, Satoh T, Noda M, et al. Adult mortality attributable to preventable risk factors for non-communicable diseases and injuries in Japan: a comparative risk assessment. PLoS Med. 2012;9:e1001160.
- 5. Mancia G, Laurent S, Agabiti-Rosei E, Ambrosioni E, Burnier M, Caulfield MJ, et al. Reappraisal of European guidelines on hypertension management: a European Society of Hypertension Task Force document. J Hypertens. 2009;27:2121–58.
- 6. Blood Pressure Lowering Treatment Trialists' Collaboration, Turnbull F, Neal B, Ninormiva T, Algert C, Arima H, Barzi F, et al. Effects of different regimens to lower blood pressure on major cardiovascular events in older and younger adults: meta-analysis of randomised trials. BMJ. 2008;336:1121–3.
- Casiglia E, Mazza A, Tikhonoff V, Pavei A, Privato G, Schenal N, et al. Weak effect of hypertension and other classic risk factors in the elderly who have already paid their toll. J Hum Hypertens. 2002;16:21–31.
- 8. Langer R, Ganiats T, Barrett-Connor E. Paradoxical survival of elderly men with high blood pressure. BMJ. 1989;298:1356–8.
- McMahon S, Peto R, Cutler J, Collins R, Sorlie P, Neaton J, et al. Blood pressure, stroke, and coronary heart disease: part 1. Prolonged differences in blood pressure; prospective observational studies corrected for the regression dilution bias. Lancet. 1990;335:765–74.
- Lewington S, Clarke R, Qizilbash N, Peto R, Collins R; Prospective Studies Collaboration. Age-specific relevance of usual blood pressure to vascular mortality; a meta-analysis of individual data for one million adults in 61 prospective studies. Lancet. 2002;360:1903–13.
- Bangalore S, Messerli FH, Wun CC, Zuckerman AL, DeMicco D, Kostis JB, et al. Jcurve revisited: An analysis of blood pressure and cardiovascular events in the Treating to New Targets (TNT) Trial. Eur Heart J. 2010;31:2897–908.
- 12. Wang W, Lee ET, Fabsitz RR, Devereux R, Best L, Welty TK, et al. A longitudinal study of hypertension risk factors and their relation to cardiovascular disease: the Strong Heart Study. Hypertension. 2006;47:403–9.
- Cupples L, D'Agostino R, Anderson K, Kannel W. Comparison of baseline and repeated measure covariate techniques in the Framingham Heart Study. Stat Med. 1988;7:205–22.
- Glynn R, Field T, Rosner B, Hebert P, Taylor J, Hennekens C. Evidence for a positive linear relation between blood pressure and mortality in elderly people. Lancet. 1995;345:825–9.
- Sans S, Kesteloot H, Kromhout D. The burden of cardiovascular disease mortality in Europe. Task Force on the European Society of Cardiology on Cardiovascular Mortality and Morbidity Statistics in Europe. Eur Heart J. 1997;18: 1231–48.
- León V, Zunzunegui M, Béland F. El diseño y la ejecución de la encuesta «Envejecer en Leganés». Rev Gerontol. 1995;5:215–31.

- Gutiérrez-Misis A, Sánchez-Santos M, Otero A. Utilización de un proxy al índice de Charlson para estudiar la asociación entre comorbilidad y mortalidad a corto y largo plazo en mayores. Aten Primaria. 2012;44:153–61.
- Zunzunegui MV, Sanchez MT, Garcia A, Casado JM, Otero A. Body mass index and long-term mortality in an elderly Mediterranean population. J Aging Health. 2012;24:29–47.
- Sanchez-Santos MT, Zunzunegui MV, Otero-Puime A, Cañas R, Casado-Collado AJ. Self-rated health and mortality risk in relation to gender and education: a timedependent covariate analysis. Eur J Ageing. 2011;8:281–9.
- Ferraro KF, Kelley-Moore JA. Self-rated health and mortality among black and white adults: examining the dynamic evaluation thesis. J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci. 2001;56:195–205.
- Wolf-Maier K, Cooper RS, Banegas JR, Giampaoli S, Hense HW, Joffres M, et al. Hypertension prevalence and blood pressure levels in 6 European countries, Canada, and the United States. JAMA. 2003;289:2363–9.
- Gutiérrez-Misis A, Sánchez-Santos MT, Banegas JR, Zunzunegui MV, Castell MV, Otero A. Prevalence and incidence of hypertension in a population cohort of people aged 65 years or older in Spain. J Hypertens. 2011;29:1863–70.
- Gueyffier F, Bilpitt C, Boissel JP, Shron E, Ekbom T, Fagard R, et al. Antihypertensive drugs in very old people: a subgroup meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. INDANA Group. Lancet. 1999;353:793–6.
- Staessen JA, Gasowski J, Wang JG, Thijs L, Den Hond E, Boissel JP, et al. Risks of untreated and treated isolated systolic hypertension in the elderly: metaanalysis of outcome trials. Lancet. 2000;355:865–72.
- 25. Sánchez RG, Novella Arribas B, Alonso Arroyo M, Vega Quiroga S, López García I, Suárez Fernández C, et al. El proyecto EPICARDIAN, un estudio de cohortes sobre enfermedades y factores de riesgo cardiovasculares en ancianos españoles: consideraciones metodológicas y principales hallazgos demográficos. Rev Esp Salud Publica. 2004;78:243–55.
- Port S, Demer L, Jennrich R, Walter D, Garfinkel A. Systolic blood pressure and mortality. Lancet. 2000;355:175–80.
- 27. Aronow WS, Fleg JL, Pepine CJ, Artinian NT, Bakris G, Brown AS, et al. ACCF/AHA 2011 expert consensus document on hypertension in the elderly: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation Task Force on Clinical Expert Consensus documents developed in collaboration with the American Academy of Neurology, American Geriatrics Society, American Society for Preventive Cardiology, American Society of Hypertension, American Society of Nephrology, Association of Black Cardiologists, and European Society of Hypertension. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2011;57:2037–114.

- Rodríguez Pérez MC, Cabrera de León A, Morales Torres RM, Domínguez Coello S, Alemán Sánchez JJ, Brito Díaz B, et al. Factores asociados al conocimiento y el control de la hipertensión arterial en Canarias. Rev Esp Cardiol. 2012;65: 234–40.
- Vidal-Pérez R, Otero-Raviña F, Gesto RB, González-Juanatey JR. Una oportunidad para conocer la hipertensión arterial refractaria en nuestro medio. Rev Esp Cardiol. 2012;65:109.
- 30. United States Joint National Committee. The fifth report of the Joint National Committee on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure (JNC V). Arch Intern Med. 1993;153:154–83.
- Law MR, Morris JK, Wald NJ. Use of blood pressure lowering drugs in the prevention of cardiovascular disease: meta-analysis of 147 randomised trials in the context of expectations from prospective epidemiological studies. BMJ. 2009;338:b1665.
- Kannel WB, Vasan RS. The J-curve relationship of treated diastolic blood pressure to mortality risk: Is it real? Is it clinically meaningful? Curr Cardiovasc Risk Rep. 2007;1:204–8.
- Ungar A, Pepe G, Lambertucci L, Fedeli A, Monami M, Mannucci E, et al. Low diastolic ambulatory blood pressure is associated with greater all-cause mortality in older patients with hypertension. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2009;57:291–6.
- Ferro G, Duilio C, Spinelli L, Liucci GA, Mazza F, Indolfi C. Relation between diastolic perfusion time and coronary artery stenosis during stress-induced myocardial ischemia. Circulation. 1995;92:342–7.
- Afilalo J, Karunananthan S, Eisenberg MJ, Alexander KP, Bergman H. Role of frailty in patients with cardiovascular disease. Am J Cardiol. 2009;103: 1616–21.
- 36. Garcia-Garcia FJ, Gutierrez Avila G, Alfaro-Acha A, Amor Andres MS, De la Torre Lanza MA M, Escribano Aparicio MV, et al. The prevalence of frailty syndrome in an older population from Spain. The Toledo Study for Healthy Aging. J Nutr Health Aging. 2011;15:852–6.
- Banegas JR, Messerli FH, Waeber B, Rodríguez-Artalejo F. Discrepancies between office and ambulatory blood pressure: clinical implications. Am J Med. 2009;122:1136–41.
- Staessen J, Amery A, Birkenhäger W, Bulpitt C, Clement D, De Leeuw P, et al. Is high serum cholesterol level associated with longer survival in elderly hypertensives? J Hypertens. 1990;8:755–60.
- Flegal KM, Graubard BI, Williamson DF, Cooper RS. Reverse causation and illness-related weight loss in observational studies of body weight and mortality. Am J Epidemiol. 2011;173:1–9.