Evaluation of Vessel Response to Percutaneous Coronary Intervention

Peter J. Fitzgerald and Hiromasa Otake

Center for Cardiovascular Technology, Stanford University Medical Center, California, USA

Over the past decades, investigation into vessel response after percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) has played integral role not only in understanding the treatment mechanisms but in developing effective clues for patients suffering from coronary artery disease. With recent introduction of drug eluting stent (DES) technology, a major breakthrough in the reduction of restenosis was achieved by inhibiting neointimal hyperplasia.^{1,2} However, late acquired vessel remodeling and edge effect after DES implantation has emerged as potential causes of stent thrombosis and restenosis.^{3,4} As investigated in this issue of Revista Española de Cardiología by García-García et al,⁵ the interpretation of vessel response of current DES technology is imperative for effective countermeasure development against such catastrophic phenomena. At the same time, it is also essential to understand accumulated knowledge and established evaluation methodology of vessel response based on our previous experience with detailed intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) analysis.

Vessel Response After Balloon Angioplasty

Negative arterial remodeling has been shown to be a major determinant of late luminal narrowing after balloon angioplasty.^{6,7} As balloon angioplasty began to have wide spread use in the clinical settings, increased neointimal proliferation has been thought to be responsible for late

SEE ARTICLE ON PAGES 1013-9

luminal narrowing after balloon angioplasty.^{8.9} However, investigators have clearly demonstrated that change in vessel size rather than the magnitude of neointimal proliferation contributes to the lumen change using serial IVUS.^{26,10} They showed that: *a*) a decrease in total arterial (EEM) CSA accounted for 70% to 75% of late lumen loss; and *b*) late lumen loss correlated better with a decrease in EEM CSA than with an increase in P+M CSA.⁶ Animal studies have suggested that the presence of compensatory arterial dilatation is the greater determinant of restenosis with a universal cellular proliferation after balloon angioplasty.¹¹⁻¹³

Vessel Response After Stent Implantation

On the basis of the lessons from the experience with balloon angioplasty, bare metal stents (BMS) was developed, which merely scaffold the vessel lumen to prevent negative remodeling and significantly reduced the incidence of restenosis^{14,15} without suppressing proliferative responses. Although over than 30 years have passed since the concept of stenting was first proposed,¹⁶ there is still controversy as to whether peristent remodeling occurs after BMS implantation. In 3 retrospective studies including a total of 121 patients,¹⁷⁻¹⁹ investigators independently reported that remodeling did not occur. On the other hand, the presence of remodeling was reported by 3 groups (Tanabe et al,²⁰ Hoffmann et al,²¹ and Nakamura et al²²). Interestingly enough, even in these 3 reports, there are disagreements as to whether there is an association between peri-stent remodeling and in-stent neointimal proliferation. Tanabe et al concluded that peristent remodeling occurs without significant correlation with in-stent neointima from the analysis of 152 patients treated with BMS.²⁰ However Nakamura et al reported inverse correlation,²² and Hoffmann et al showed positive correlation.21

Vessel Response After DES Implantation

Although BMS helped reduce the incidence of restenosis into about 20%, the benefit was obtained at a cost of an increase in neointimal hyperplasia, which results in BMS restenosis. The bigger is better strategy

Correspondence: Peter J. Fitzgerald, MD, PhD, Professor of Medicine & Engineering, Director, Center for Cardiovascular Technology, Stanford University Medical Center E-mail: PJFSCREEN@aol.com

has helped reduce the incidence of BMS restenosis. However, the most striking breakthrough against this phenomenon was achieved by the appearance of DES.^{1,2} As several endovascular treatment such as directional atherectomy (DCA) and brachytherapy are associated with distinctive complications due to their direct effect on vessel,^{23,24} vessel response after DES implantation have been evaluated with serial IVUS studies, which clearly demonstrated that different DES have different vessel response.

Previous reports have shown that SES are effective in inhibiting neointimal hyperplasia without affecting total vessel volume or plaque volume behind the stent struts at 6 months.^{25,26} However, long term vascular responses after SES implantation were not well studied. One small study, evaluating the long-term arterial response after SES implantation, has shown that peristent plaque volume maintained in the first 2 years after SES implantation. However, at 4-year follow-up, a significant negative remodeling of peri-stent plaque was accompanied by an increase observed in hyperechogenicity on IVUS, which has been thought to be associated with a predominance of dense fibrous or elastic tissue.²⁷ Although this is a small study including 23 event-free patients with simple lesions, it has been suggested that SES might introduce negative vessel remodeling through modification of plaque component behind the struts.

Whereas, as for paclitaxel-eluting stent (PES), positive vessel remodeling in stented segment was consistently reported.^{28,29} Interestingly, in the TAXUS-II trial, positive vessel remodeling was observed from post stent to 6-month follow-up with dose dependent manner, and then remodeled vessel regressed completely in the slowrelease (SR) group and partially in the moderate-release (MR) group during the following 18 months to 2 years.³⁰ An integrated analysis, combining the IVUS data from TAXUS-IV, TAXUS-V de novo, and TAXUS-VI trial, have also shown a more pronounced positive remodeling with the MR stent than SR stents.³¹ Despite the consistent use of PES throughout those TAXUS trials, the platforms are not identical. NIR stent, which is made of thicker stainless steal, was used in TAXUS-II trial, whereas Express stent, which is made of thinner struts, was used in TAXUS-IV, TAXUS-V de novo, and TAXUS-VI trials. Nevertheless, positive remodeling was consistently observed with dose dependent manner, suggesting that paclitaxel itself may play a key role in vessel remodeling after PES implantation.

Edge Effect of DES

In the SIRIUS trial, which enrolled patients with more challenging conditions than FIM³² and RAVEL trial,²⁵ a higher rate of significant stenosis was observed at the proximal edge of SES (binary restenosis rate; 3.2% for in-stent, 8.9% for in-segment).³² The contribution of

vessel remodeling to SES edge restenosis was evaluated by several IVUS studies. It has been shown that subsequent negative vessel remodeling, which is correlated with baseline plaque at SES edge, contributes importantly to SES edge restenosis.^{33,34} These results suggest that incomplete lesion coverage is an important determinant of SES edge restenosis⁴ through negative vessel remodeling after SES implantation.

As indicated by García-García et al in the present study,⁵ the edge effect of PES is controversial. In the TAXUS-II trial, significant lumen loss was observed in both MR and SR groups at the proximal edge, which is mainly due to plaque increase without vessel remodeling. On the other hand, despite significant increase in plaque area, lumen area was maintained at the distal edge with complete compensation by positive vessel remodeling. Whereas in TAXUS-II trial, although there was no change in vessel area at the proximal edge, a trend towards negative vessel remodeling was observed at the distal edge. Moreover, García-García et al reported positive vessel remodeling at both edge of PES in the present study.⁵ In addition to possible selection bias due to relatively small sample size of these studies, there are several possible explanations for the discrepancy. First of all, basic plaque morphology and character could affect vessel adjacent to stented segment. For second, stent strut thickness and metal can induce different reaction at stent edge. Finally, procedural background may result in different vessel reaction. However there was no information on PCI procedure in these studies.

The Mechanisms of Vessel Remodeling After PCI

Although the exact mechanisms have not been fully elucidated, multiple factors are apparently involved in the development of vessel remodeling after PCI.

The first category consists of device-related factors, including drug, stent material, design, and the interaction with adjunctive therapy such as directional coronary atherectomy and intracoronary brachytherapy.^{23,24} Given DES has become an important option in the treatment strategy for patients with coronary artery diseases, drug choice and release kinetics are probably the most important components as one of the determinants of the type and time-course of vascular response. Paclitaxel is a cytotoxic drug, which suppresses smooth muscle cell and endothelial cell proliferation by disrupting microtubule dynamic cells in the mitosis phase of the cell cycle.³⁵ Although results of MR Taxus stents demonstrated a moderate inflammatory response without evidence of increased amounts of fibrin deposition with near complete endothelialization, increased dose of paclitaxel resulted in a significant increase in luminal area, which is, in part, due to medial wall necrosis, smooth muscle cell loss.

To our knowledge, no specific stent type has been reported to be associated with increased risk for vessel remodeling. However, some kinds of metal, design, and strut thickness may reduce radial strength, resulting in chronic recoil accompanied by negative vessel remodeling. Additionally, it has been shown that thin-strut BMS were associated with a significant reduction in restenosis compared with thicker strut BMS, probably because of less arterial injury.^{36,37} Although it is still controversial whether the extent of neointimal hyperplasia can affect vessel remodeling after stent implantation, however, less arterial injury may ends up with less remodeling.

The second category comprises patient or lesion, specific factors, including coronary risk factors, preexistent vessel remodeling, angina status, plaque characteristics, local inflammatory activity, and shear stress. For example, as García-García et al revised in this article,⁵ underlying plaque morphology may affect the rate of healing when stent struts penetrate deeply into the necrotic core and are not in contact with cellular areas. Because sirolimus and paclitaxel are highly lipophilic, prolonged tissue retention of these drugs in lipid-rich plaques can theoretically cause longer delays in healing, leading less endothelialization. However, there is no data clearly showing the relation between the presence of necrotic core and vessel remodeling after DES stenting.

The third category includes procedure-related factors. IVUS studies have consistently shown the possible relationship between deep vessel wall cutting and subsequent vessel dilatation after balloon angioplasty, cutting balloon, and DCA.^{23,38,39}

How to Approach the Effect of Intravascular Treatment on Coronary Artery

Since gray-scale IVUS unalterably provide an accurate information on vessel, lumen, and atherosclerotic plaque,⁴⁰ it has played substantial role in quantitative monitoring of serial vessel change after PCI. However, despite the several IVUS based approach, none of these methods reproducibly discriminate plaque components.⁴¹⁻⁴³ Recently, IVUS radiofrequency (IVUS-RF) analysis has gained much attention for its potential ability of plaque characterization. Three different mathematical methods have been applied to RF data analysis including autoregressive modelling (IVUS Virtual HistologyTM [IVUS-VH], Volcano Corporation, Rancho Cordova, CA, USA), fast Fourier transformation (FFT) (Integrated Backscatter [IB-IVUS]), and wavelet analysis.⁴⁴⁻⁴⁶ In the present study,⁵ García-García et al investigated serial changes of plaque character at both stent edges after Taxus stent implantation using IVUS-VH. It is an IVUS-based technique that analyzes the backscattered ultrasound signal reflected from tissues and correlates them with a predefined database of frequencybased ultrasound parameters.44 These parameters were determined by the careful correlation of backscattered data collected from fresh ex vivo human arteries with the corresponding histology sections. Given the lack of accuracy of gray-scale IVUS in plaque characterization mainly derives from the use of ambiguous and subjective parameters, theoretically, analysis of the IVUS-RF data provides a more accurate and reproducible information for measuring tissue properties. However IVUS-VH still has some limitations in clinical use. First, the accuracy of this modality in assessing atherosclerotic plaque composition requires more rigorous assessment. Basically, the results from IVUS-VH analysis are homogeneous; however, human coronary plaques are very complex in histology. For example, each plaque are classified one of these components according to following definitions: a) fibrous plaque that consists of densely packed collagen; b) fibro-fatty plaque comprised of collagen and interspersed lipid; c) calcified necrotic plaque that includes cholesterol clefts, foam cells, and micro-calcifications; and d) calcified plaque without adjacent necrosis.44 This means that IVUS-VH does not detect specific chemical compounds such as lipid or collagen, rather the mixture of compounds that make up 1 of the 4 tissue types. Furthermore, a histological section and an IVUS-RF frame represent different plaque cross-sectional thickness. A histological section may be too thin (several µm) to get appropriate correlations with IVUS-RF data which derives from an ultrasound beam whose width may be as great as 300 µm at its interface with the arterial wall. As a consequence, IVUS-RF might have difficulties in figuring out subtle changes in plaque composition that occur over small distances.⁴⁷

For second, VH-IVUS has trouble distinguishing necrotic core from calcification. Necrotic core is often accompanied by calcification on VH-IVUS. However, pathological studies have clearly shown that there are definite areas of necrotic core that lack calcifications. For third, to establish the reproducibility of IVUS-VH of plaque characterization is a critical step in applying it to clinical follow up after PCI. There is only one small study including 15 patients assessing this important validation available so far.⁴⁸ Finally, thrombus and stent strut has to be excluded from the analysis due to the lack of proper validation for their IVUS-RF data.

Clinical Validation of Intravascular Ultrasound-Radiofrequency

Despite some limitations, the concept of in vivo plaque characterization has the potential to add new insight to the interpretation of coronary artery disease. Two clinical studies are underway to elucidate clinical validation of IVUS-RF analysis. The Providing Regional Observations to Study Predictors of Events in the Coronary Tree (PROSPECT) trial is a prospective study, which has enrolled 700 patients with ACS. All 3 coronary arteries will be assessed by quantitative angiography, grey scale IVUS, palpography, and IVUS-VH. Clinical follow-up is scheduled annually for 5 years or is event-driven. The other study is the Virtual Histology Global Registry, an industry sponsored, international, multicenter registry of 2000 patients who have undergone IVUS-VH. This crosssectional study will provide plaque compositional data across multiple patient subgroups.

Conclusion

Over the past several years, a numbers of clinical studies have investigated vessel response after PCI using IVUS. Considering recent concern about long-term safety of current DES technology, investigation into the mechanisms of vessel reaction such as the present study by García-García et al⁵ are vital. Despite some limitations, the concept of in vivo plaque characterization has the potential to add new insight to the interpretation of coronary artery disease. We may be entering the new age where precise interpretation of plaque composition my allow us to expect future vessel reaction after PCI.

REFERENCES

- Grube E, Silber S, Hauptmann KE, Mueller R, Buellesfeld L, Gerckens U, et al. TAXUS I: six- and twelve-month results from a randomized, double-blind trial on a slow-release paclitaxel-eluting stent for de novo coronary lesions. Circulation. 2003;107:38-42.
- Morice MC, Serruys PW, Sousa JE, Fajadet J, Ban Hayashi E, Perin M, et al. A randomized comparison of a sirolimus-eluting stent with a standard stent for coronary revascularization. N Engl J Med. 2002;346:1773-80.
- Ako J, Morino Y, Honda Y, Hassan A, Sonoda S, Yock PG, et al. Late incomplete stent apposition after sirolimus-eluting stent implantation: a serial intravascular ultrasound analysis. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2005;46:1002-5.
- Sakurai R, Ako J, Morino Y, Sonoda S, Kaneda H, Terashima M, et al. Predictors of edge stenosis following sirolimus-eluting stent deployment (a quantitative intravascular ultrasound analysis from the SIRIUS trial). Am J Cardiol. 2005;96:1251-3.

- García-García HM, Gonzalo N, Tanimoto S, Religa E, De Jaegere P, Serruys PW. Caracterización de los efectos tisulares en los segmentos adyacentes a los *stents* liberadores de paclitaxel según el análisis de datos de radiofrecuencia procedentes de ecocardiografía intravascular seriada: Estudio BETAX (BEside TAXus). Rev Esp Cardiol. 2008;61:1013-9.
- Mintz GS, Popma JJ, Pichard AD, Kent KM, Satler LF, Wong C, et al. Arterial remodeling after coronary angioplasty: a serial intravascular ultrasound study. Circulation. 1996;94:35-43.
- Lansky AJ, Mintz GS, Popma JJ, Pichard AD, Kent KM, Satler LF, et al. Remodeling after directional coronary atherectomy (with and without adjunct percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty): a serial angiographic and intravascular ultrasound analysis from the Optimal Atherectomy Restenosis Study. J Am Coll Cardiol. 1998;32:329-37.
- Nobuyoshi M, Kimura T, Ohishi H, Horiuchi H, Nosaka H, Hamasaki N, et al. Restenosis after percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty: pathologic observations in 20 patients. J Am Coll Cardiol. 1991;17:433-9.
- 9. Ellis SG, Muller DW. Arterial injury and the enigma of coronary restenosis. J Am Coll Cardiol. 1992;19:275-7.
- Kimura T, Kaburagi S, Tamura T, Yokoi H, Nakagawa Y, Yokoi H, et al. Remodeling of human coronary arteries undergoing coronary angioplasty or atherectomy. Circulation. 1997;96:475-83.
- Lafont A, Guzman LA, Whitlow PL, Goormastic M, Cornhill JF, Chisolm GM. Restenosis after experimental angioplasty. Intimal, medial, and adventitial changes associated with constrictive remodeling. Circ Res. 1995;76:996-1002.
- Post MJ, Borst C, Kuntz RE. The relative importance of arterial remodeling compared with intimal hyperplasia in lumen renarrowing after balloon angioplasty. A study in the normal rabbit and the hypercholesterolemic Yucatan micropig. Circulation. 1994;89: 2816-21.
- Kakuta T, Currier JW, Haudenschild CC, Ryan TJ, Faxon DP. Differences in compensatory vessel enlargement, not intimal formation, account for restenosis after angioplasty in the hypercholesterolemic rabbit model. Circulation. 1994;89:2809-15.
- Fischman DL, Leon MB, Baim DS, Schatz RA, Savage MP, Penn I, et al. A randomized comparison of coronary-stent placement and balloon angioplasty in the treatment of coronary artery disease. Stent Restenosis Study Investigators. N Engl J Med. 1994;331:496-501.
- Serruys PW, De Jaegere P, Kiemeneij F, Macaya C, Rutsch W, Heyndrickx G, et al. A comparison of balloon-expandable-stent implantation with balloon angioplasty in patients with coronary artery disease. Benestent Study Group. N Engl J Med. 1994;331: 489-95.
- Dotter CT. Transluminally-placed coilspring endarterial tube grafts. Long-term patency in canine popliteal artery. Invest Radiol. 1969;4:329-32.
- Mudra H, Regar E, Klauss V, Werner F, Henneke KH, Sbarouni E, et al. Serial follow-up after optimized ultrasound-guided deployment of Palmaz-Schatz stents. In-stent neointimal proliferation without significant reference segment response. Circulation. 1997;95: 363-70.
- Koyama J, Owa M, Sakurai S, Shimada H, Hikita H, Higashikata T, et al. Relation between vascular morphologic changes during stent implantation and the magnitude of in-stent neointimal hyperplasia. Am J Cardiol. 2000;86:753-8.
- Konig A, Schiele TM, Rieber J, Theisen K, Mudra H, Klauss V. Stent design-related coronary artery remodeling and patterns of neointima formation following self-expanding and balloon-

expandable stent implantation. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv. 2002;56:478-86.

- 20. Tanabe K, Serruys PW, Degertekin M, Guagliumi G, Grube E, Chan C, et al. Chronic arterial responses to polymer-controlled paclitaxeleluting stents: comparison with bare metal stents by serial intravascular ultrasound analyses: data from the randomized TAXUS-II trial. Circulation. 2004;109:196-200.
- Hoffmann R, Mintz GS, Popma JJ, Satler LF, Pichard AD, Kent KM, et al. Chronic arterial responses to stent implantation: a serial intravascular ultrasound analysis of Palmaz-Schatz stents in native coronary arteries. J Am Coll Cardiol. 1996;28:1134-9.
- 22. Nakamura M, Yock PG, Bonneau HN, Kitamura K, Aizawa T, Tamai H, et al. Impact of peri-stent remodeling on restenosis: a volumetric intravascular ultrasound study. Circulation. 2001;103: 2130-2.
- Bell MR, Garratt KN, Bresnahan JF, Edwards WD, Holmes DR Jr. Relation of deep arterial resection and coronary artery aneurysms after directional coronary atherectomy. J Am Coll Cardiol. 1992;20: 1474-81.
- Okura H, Lee DP, Lo S, Yeung AC, Honda Y, Waksman R, et al. Late incomplete apposition with excessive remodeling of the stented coronary artery following intravascular brachytherapy. Am J Cardiol. 2003;92:587-90.
- 25. Serruys PW, Degertekin M, Tanabe K, Abizaid A, Sousa JE, Colombo A, et al. Intravascular ultrasound findings in the multicenter, randomized, double-blind RAVEL (RAndomized study with the sirolimus-eluting VElocity balloon-expandable stent in the treatment of patients with de novo native coronary artery Lesions) trial. Circulation. 2002;106:798-803.
- 26. Degertekin M, Regar E, Tanabe K, Lemos P, Lee CH, Smits P, et al. Evaluation of coronary remodeling after sirolimus-eluting stent implantation by serial three-dimensional intravascular ultrasound. Am J Cardiol. 2003;91:1046-50.
- 27. Aoki J, Abizaid AC, Serruys PW, Ong AT, Boersma E, Sousa JE, et al. Evaluation of four-year coronary artery response after sirolimuseluting stent implantation using serial quantitative intravascular ultrasound and computer-assisted grayscale value analysis for plaque composition in event-free patients. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2005;46: 1670-6.
- 28. Serruys PW, Degertekin M, Tanabe K, Russell ME, Guagliumi G, Webb J, et al. Vascular responses at proximal and distal edges of paclitaxel-eluting stents: serial intravascular ultrasound analysis from the TAXUS II trial. Circulation. 2004;109:627-33.
- Weissman NJ, Koglin J, Cox DA, Hermiller J, O'Shaughnessy C, Mann JT, et al. Polymer-based paclitaxel-eluting stents reduce instent neointimal tissue proliferation: a serial volumetric intravascular ultrasound analysis from the TAXUS-IV trial. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2005;45:1201-5.
- 30. Aoki J, Colombo A, Dudek D, Banning AP, Drzewiecki J, Zmudka K, et al. Peristent remodeling and neointimal suppression 2 years after polymer-based, paclitaxel-eluting stent implantation: insights from serial intravascular ultrasound analysis in the TAXUS II study. Circulation. 2005;112:3876-83.
- 31. Weissman NJ, Ellis SG, Grube E, Dawkins KD, Greenberg JD, Mann T, et al. Effect of the polymer-based, paclitaxel-eluting TAXUS Express stent on vascular tissue responses: a volumetric intravascular ultrasound integrated analysis from the TAXUS IV, V, and VI trials. Eur Heart J. 2007;28:1574-82.
- 32. Moses JW, Leon MB, Popma JJ, Fitzgerald PJ, Holmes DR, O'Shaughnessy C, et al. Sirolimus-eluting stents versus standard

stents in patients with stenosis in a native coronary artery. N Engl J Med. 2003;349:1315-23.

- Takebayashi H, Kobayashi Y, Mintz GS, Carlier SG, Fujii K, Yasuda T, et al. Intravascular ultrasound assessment of lesions with target vessel failure after sirolimus-eluting stent implantation. Am J Cardiol. 2005;95:498-502.
- Asano T, Kobayashi Y, Mintz GS, Ishio N, Fujimaki S, Ogawa Y, et al. Effect of plaque volume on subsequent vessel remodeling at edges of sirolimus-eluting stents. Am J Cardiol. 2006;98:1041-4.
- Abal M, Andreu JM, Barasoain I. Taxanes: microtubule and centrosome targets, and cell cycle dependent mechanisms of action. Curr Cancer Drug Targets. 2003;3:193-203.
- 36. Kastrati A, Mehilli J, Dirschinger J, Dotzer F, Schuhlen H, Neumann FJ, et al. Intracoronary stenting and angiographic results: strut thickness effect on restenosis outcome (ISAR-STEREO) trial. Circulation. 2001;103:2816-21.
- Pache J, Kastrati A, Mehilli J, Schuhlen H, Dotzer F, Hausleiter J, et al. Intracoronary stenting and angiographic results: strut thickness effect on restenosis outcome (ISAR-STEREO-2) trial. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2003;41:1283-8.
- Okura H, Shimodozono S, Hayase M, Bonneau HN, Yock PG, Fitzgerald PJ. Impact of deep vessel wall injury and vessel stretching on subsequent arterial remodeling after balloon angioplasty: a serial intravascular ultrasound study. Am Heart J. 2002;144:323-8.
- 39. Nakamura M, Yock PG, Kataoka T, Bonneau HN, Suzuki T, Yamaguchi T, et al. Impact of deep vessel wall injury on acute response and remodeling of coronary artery segments after cutting balloon angioplasty. Am J Cardiol. 2003;91:6-11.
- 40. Nissen SE, Gurley JC, Grines CL, Booth DC, McClure R, Berk M, et al. Intravascular ultrasound assessment of lumen size and wall morphology in normal subjects and patients with coronary artery disease. Circulation. 1991;84:1087-99.
- 41. Tobis JM, Mallery J, Mahon D, Lehmann K, Zalesky P, Griffith J, et al. Intravascular ultrasound imaging of human coronary arteries in vivo. Analysis of tissue characterizations with comparison to in vitro histological specimens. Circulation. 1991;83:913-26.
- 42. Rasheed Q, Dhawale PJ, Anderson J, Hodgson JM. Intracoronary ultrasound-defined plaque composition: computer-aided plaque characterization and correlation with histologic samples obtained during directional coronary atherectomy. Am Heart J. 1995;129: 631-7.
- 43. Gussenhoven EJ, Essed CE, Lancee CT, Mastik F, Frietman P, Van Egmond FC, et al. Arterial wall characteristics determined by intravascular ultrasound imaging: an in vitro study. J Am Coll Cardiol. 1989;14:947-52.
- 44. Nair A, Kuban BD, Tuzcu EM, Schoenhagen P, Nissen SE, Vince DG. Coronary plaque classification with intravascular ultrasound radiofrequency data analysis. Circulation. 2002;106:2200-6.
- 45. Kawasaki M, Takatsu H, Noda T, Ito Y, Kunishima A, Arai M, et al. Noninvasive quantitative tissue characterization and twodimensional color-coded map of human atherosclerotic lesions using ultrasound integrated backscatter: comparison between histology and integrated backscatter images. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2001;38: 486-92.
- 46. Murashige A, Hiro T, Fujii T, Imoto K, Murata T, Fukumoto Y, et al. Detection of lipid-laden atherosclerotic plaque by wavelet analysis of radiofrequency intravascular ultrasound signals: in vitro validation and preliminary in vivo application. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2005;45: 1954-60.

- 47. Mehta SK, McCrary JR, Frutkin AD, Dolla WJ, Marso SP. Intravascular ultrasound radiofrequency analysis of coronary atherosclerosis: an emerging technology for the assessment of vulnerable plaque. Eur Heart J. 2007;28:1283-8.
- 48. Rodriguez-Granillo GA, Bruining N, Mc Fadden E, Ligthart JM, Aoki J, Regar E, et al. Geometrical validation of intravascular ultrasound radiofrequency data analysis (Virtual Histology) acquired with a 30 MHz boston scientific corporation imaging catheter. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv. 2005;66:514-8.