ORIGINAL ARTICLES

Myocardium/Endocardium/Pericardium

Infectious Endocarditis: Degree of Discordance Between Clinical Guidelines Recommendations and Clinical Practice

Mercedes González de Molina, Juan C. Fernández-Guerrero and José Azpitarte

Servicio de Cardiología. Hospital Universitario Virgen de las Nieves. Granada.

Introduction and objectives. The present study was made to investigate the degree of discordance between the recommendations of clinical guidelines and actual practice in the care of patients with infectious endocarditis.

Material and methods. Data was gathered on 34 patients that were admitted to our hospital for native valve infection over a 4-year period. The degree of discordance (%) was obtained by comparing each clinical history with a catalog of 15 specific actions recommended in the clinical guidelines for four consecutive phases: pre-diagnosis, hospital diagnosis, antibiotic treatment, and surgical treatment. A system was constructed, scoring each phase with the greatest detected error (on a severity scale of 0 to 8 points) and adding together the scores for the four phases.

Results. The mean degree of discordance was 30.5% (range, 0-66%). Scores of more than six points were clearly associated with an unfavourable evolution.

Conclusions. The recommendations of clinical guidelines for infectious endocarditis are inadequately followed in practice, which can affect the course of the disease. It is necessary to increase adherence to clinical guidelines in practice, in order to improve the care of patients with this serious disease.

Key words: *Care quality. Surgery. Endocarditis. Echocardiography.*

Full English text available at: www.revespcardiol.org

Endocarditis infecciosa: grado de discordancia entre lo recomendado por las guías clínicas y lo realizado en la práctica

Introducción y objetivos. Este estudio pretende investigar el grado de discordancia que existe entre las recomendaciones de las guías clínicas y la práctica real de atención a los pacientes con endocarditis infecciosa.

Material y métodos. Se recogió información de 34 enfermos hospitalizados por infección sobre válvula nativa en un período de 4 años. El grado de discordancia (%) se obtuvo cotejando cada historia clínica con un catálogo de 15 acciones, distribuidas en 4 fases consecutivas: prediagnóstico, diagnóstico hospitalario, tratamiento antibiótico y tratamiento quirúrgico. Se construyó, además, un tanteador, puntuando cada fase con la mayor de las incorrecciones detectadas (en una escala de gravedad entre 0 y 8) y sumando las puntuaciones de las 4 etapas.

Resultados. El grado medio de discordancia fue del 30,5% (rango, 0-66%). En cuanto al tanteador, un valor superior a 6 mostró una clara asociación con evolución desfavorable.

Conclusiones. Las recomendaciones de las guías clínicas sobre endocarditis se llevan a la práctica de forma deficiente en nuestro medio y esto puede influir en el desenlace de la enfermedad. Se debe, pues, aumentar el grado de concordancia teórico-práctica con el fin de mejorar los cuidados globales que se dispensan a los pacientes que presentan esta grave enfermedad.

Palabras clave: Calidad asistencial. Cirugía. Endocarditis. Ecocardiografía.

SEE THE EDITORIAL ON PAGES 789-90

Correspondence: Dr. J. Azpitarte. Servicio de Cardiología. Hospital Universitario Virgen de las Nieves. Avda. de las Fuerzas Armadas, 2. 18014 Granada. España. E-mail: jazpitarte@eresmas.com

Received 27 July 2001. Accepted for publication 1 April 2002.

INTRODUCTION

There are many clinical guidelines¹⁻⁷ that provide recommendations for the treatment of patients with infectious endocarditis (IE). Nevertheless, there is no information regarding the practical application of these recommendations; as far as we know, there is only 1 recent publication on this subject.⁸

The purpose of this study is to investigate the possible discordance that exists between the established recommendations and actual treatment used in daily González de Molina M, et al. Agreement Between Theory and Practice in Infectious Endocarditis

ABBREVIATIONS

IE: infectious endocarditis. TEE: transesophageal echocardiogram. TTE: transthoracic echocardiogram.

practice; which, for the purpose of simplification, we will call theoretical-practical discordance. Our hypothesis is that IE, having a low incidence rate and being subject, because of its clinical polymorphism, to the care of multiple specialists, constitutes a fertile field for the production of procedures that by their inclusion or omission falls far from the recommended standards. The secondary objective of this study is to see if the mistakes detected are related end resulf of the disease.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Patients and methods of analysis

With the help of a specific formulary containing a total of 90 parts, we reviewed the clinical histories of 34 non-addicted patients admitted for IE of a native valve over a period of 4 years (1996-1999). Twelve patients were from hospitals other than ours. The remaining patients were admitted through the emergency room (n=18) or after a consultation outside of our institution (n=4).

To evaluate the rate of discordance, we scrutinized a specific list of 15 potential errors divided into 4 conse-

cutive phases (Table 1). The rate of discordance (%) was established by the ratio of the number of actions cataloged as erroneous to the total number of actions evaluated, multipled by 100. As a corollary of the analysis, we constructed a scoring system each of the 4 phases, from the largest (1 only) of the errors detected (0=all right; 1=an error without consequences; 2=important error; 4=serious error; 8=very serious error). The sum of the points in each phase resulted in the final score that, consequently, ranged from 0 to 32.

The ramifications of the errors made were judged in accordance with the estimated probabaility of the patient being vitally compromised, rather than by the content of the error itself. For example, not instituting antibiotic prophylaxis before a dental extraction in a patient with mitral regurgitation could be formally considered a grave omission; nevertheless, under the category of vital risk it constitutes an important risk (2 points), but not a grave risk, as the patient can be cured with the appropriate treatment. Another example: a delay in the suspected diagnosis of more than 2 weeks is typically an important mistake (2 points), but becomes a grave mistake (4 points) if upon admission the patient already has serious intracardiac lesions. A very serious mistake would be unjustified dilatation during a complication that results in a NYHA Class I classification. There are many more examples, but suffice it to say that the analysis was performed by considering each case individually and reaching a concensus among the 3 authors. So that a grave error carried more weight than 4 errors, each scale index duplicated the previous one. In any case, this score had no other purpose than to note whether the treatment error, with

Clinical parameter	Indicator
Prediagnostic phase,	1. Risk procedure without prophylaxis on a patient with a generally known cardiac lesion
generally	2. Delay (>2 weeks) in suspecting outpatient IE
outpatient	3. Antibiotic treatment before performing blood cultures
Diagnostic phase,	4. Erroneous diagnosis in spite of generally strong clinical suspicion
generally inpatient	5. In the case of initial negative bloodwork and the persistence of a well-founded suspicion of IE, not performing serological studies and/or not contacting the laboratory to obtain isolation of specific microorganisms (nutritional variants of streptococcus and the HACEK group)
	Not performing TEE, in spite of the increased risk of complications that are only detectable by this procedure (for example, periannular abscesses)
Antibiotic treatment	7. Not adequately treating an identified focus
	8. Qualitatively incorrect antiobiotic treatment, per the clinical guidelines and/or the results of the antibiogram
	Quantitatively incorrect antiobiotic treatment: low doses of antiobiotics or, on the contrary, unnecessarily high doses
	10. Treatment that is too short or too long
	11. Not performing plasma value testing when potentially toxic antiobiotics are used
	12. Not using the bactericidal power of serum when a picture of infection persists despite theoretically adequate treatment
Surgical	13. Not performing surgery when it is clearly indicated
treatment	14. Performing surgery without a well-founded indication for same
	15. A correct indication, but not initiated with due speed

TABLE 1. Actions examined in the search for possible errors

respect to the established standards, led to the end result of the illness.

Statistical analysis

The difference between continuous variables was evaluated by non-paired Student t test, and the percentages were evaluated by the exact Fisher test. For all tests, a value of P<.05 was considered significant.

The marker, although translated into a number, is a mere ordinal value; in addition, a validated index is not involved. For this reason, the data were not subjected to parametric statistical treatment; they were only used to visualize the distribution on a scale with relation to patient course.

RESULTS

Patient and IE episode characteristics

Table 2 shows the basic patient characteristics. With respect to episodes of IE (Table 3), it is worth pointing out that upon admission 6 patients were in a grave state, that the causative microorganism was not identified in 5 patients, and that *Staphylococcus aureus* was present in nearly one-quarter of the cases. It should also be noted that a total of 77 significant complications were detected among the patients. Twenty patients underwent surgery during their hospital stay and 3 additional patients underwent surgery during the 3 months after the infection was cured. There were a total of 10 deaths (29%), 7 following surgery.

Mistakes detected during the process

Pre-diagnostic phase

In only 2 patients was there a coincident septic risk ---a subungueal abscess and a tooth extraction, respectively-- with previous knowledge of valve involvement. These were ideal circumstances for preventative measures, but no measures were taken in either case.

In 18 patients, diagnosis was achieved in the first 2 weeks after symptoms began; in 6 patients, after 2 weeks but within the first month; in the 10 remaining patients, after the first month. The *Staphylococcus* infections were diagnosed before the other infections (9±9 days versus 42±46 days; P=.044), probabley due to the higher suspicion caused by the virulence of the infection picture.

Nineteen patients received antibiotics before blood cultures were performed, while in 10 patients the dose was not productive; in 5 patients this circumstance could not be determined. In the non-*Staphylococcus* group (n=23), we observed a tendency toward slower diagnosis when antiobiotics had been administered before blood cultures were drawn (45 ± 41 days versus

TABLE 2. Baseline characteristics of the 34 patients with infectious endocarditis

Variable	No.	%
Age, years	50±18	
Sex, men/women	20/14	59/41
Previously known heart disease	11	32
Underlying heart disease		
Mixoid mitral valve	8	23
Rheumatic mitral valve	1	3
Ideopathic aortic valve insufficiency	3	9
Bicuspid aortic valve	2	6
Degenerative aortic valve stenosis	1	3
Hypertrophic myocardiopathy	1	3
Maneuver involving septic risk/source of infection		
Vascular prosthesis for hemodialysis	3	9
Dental extraction	2	6
Dental source	4	12
Obstetrical procedure	2	6
Septic arthritis	2	6
Subcutaneous cellulites	1	3
Prostate resection	1	3
Subungueal abscess	1	3
Permanent venous via	1	3
Concomittant illness or state		
Diabetes mellitus	7	21
Chronic renal insuffiency	3	9
Pregnancy	1	3
GI carcinoma GI/parenteral feeding	1	3
Polyimiositis	1	3
Ethylism	1	3
Chronic liver disease	1	3

14 \pm 14 days; *P*=.070). In the *Staphylococcus* group, there was only 1 case of a patient who had not had prior antibiotic therapy, a finding present in 3 of the 5 patients with negative blood culture results.

Diagnostic phase

Beside the suspicion of IE, there was only 1 clinical error. This was a patient with slight aortic regurgitation who was admitted for a 4-day history of fever; blood cultures were negative and transthoracic echocardiogram (TTE) was inconclusive with respect to the existence of aortic microorganisms. Atypical chest pain and slight pericardial hemorrhage inclined the diagnosis toward acute idiopathic pericarditis that, after anti-inflammatory treatment, resulted in early discharge. The patient was readmitted 35 days later with acute pulmonary edema, serious regurgitation by infectious mutilation of the aortic and mitral valves and perivalvular mitral abscess. Fortunately, this resolved with surgical intervention.

No type 5 erroes were committed: in all patients with a suspected clinical diagnosis and echocardiographic findings, whether suggestive or definitive with González de Molina M, et al. Agreement Between Theory and Practice in Infectious Endocarditis

TABLE 3. Clinical	profile of the	34 episodes of IE
-------------------	----------------	-------------------

	No.	%
Clinical presentation		
Fever	32	94
Cerebrovasculoar accident	5	15
Acute cardiac insufficiency	3	9
Constitutional syndrome	2	6
Confusional state	2	6
Acute renal insufficiency	1	3
Grave illness on admission	6	18
Microorganism		
Streptococcus viridans	13	38
Streptococcus agalactiae	2	6
Streptococcus fecalis	3	9
Stapphylococcus aureus	8	23
Stapphylococcus epidermidis	2	6
Candida albicans	1	3
Hemocultiv/negative serology	5	5
Location		
Mitral	13	38
Aortic	14	41
Mitral and aortic	7	21
Complications detected		
Grave valve regurgitation	18	53
Grave cardiac insufficiency	13	38
Perivalvular abcess	10 ^a	29
Aortic pseudoaneurysm	2	6
Cerebrovascular accident	10	29
Non-cerebral aneurysm	6	18
Mycotic aneuryms	2	6
Creatinine ≥2.5 mg/dL ^b	9	29
Conduction disturbance	5	15
Other neurological complications	3	9
Hospital course during the event		
Without complications	10	29
With at least 1 complication	6	18
With more than 1 complication	18	53
Pacients who underwent surgery		
During the same admission	20	56
During a later admission	3	9
Patient deaths	10	29

^aFistulized in 4 cases, 2 aortic and 2 mitral.

^bExcluding the 3 patients with chronic renal insufficiency.

regard to IE, and negative blood cultures, serologic studies and tests for special microorganisms, such as those in the HACEK group or nutritionally-deficient *Streptococcus*, were performed.

We found that a type 6 error occurred —not performing a transesophageal echocardiogram (TEE) when it was clearly indicated—in 3 cases in which periannular abscess pathways went undetected, 1 of which could have resulted in death by complete AV block, followed by unrecoverable aystolia. This was a patient with *Staphylococcus aureus* and grave but stable aortic regurgitation who was awaiting surgical intervention to treat an infection of a vascular hemodialysis prosthesis.

Medical treatment

The source of infection was identified and treated correctly in all cases, except 1: the case mentioned above of a vascular hemodialysis prosthesis that was not removed as it should have been.⁹ The cases of *Staphylococcus viridans* were systematically investigated and treated, if they proceeded (n=5), from the dental point of view. As proposed by other authors,¹⁰ the maxillary sinuses were not investigated.

Only 9 patients received orthodox antibiotic therapy. The most frequent error (n=13) was too much treatment: the addition of an aminoglycoside to the treatment at 4 weeks with penicillin to eradicate a Staphylococcus viridans infection that was penicillin-sensitive (n=9); the addition over a prolonged period of time of an immunoglycoside to vancomycin to treat a vancomycin-sensitive Staphylococcus aureus (n=3); the addition of vancomycin to a regimen of ampicycline and gentamycin to combat an Enterococcus infection. One patient with Staphylococcus viridans and persistent fever on the tenth day of treatment was found to be still infected on antiobiogram, as the germ was relatively resistant to penicillin. This error, attributable to poor communication, was resolved by substituting vancomycin for the penicillin. One of the 5 cases with negative blood cultures was treated with penicillin and gentamycin, instead of the recommended regimen of vancomycin and aminoglycoside.

An insufficient dose was found in only 1 case where the patient received 4 g/24 hours of ampicillin, together with gentamycin, to eradicate an *Enterococcus* infection; nevertheless, overdosage occurred with more frequency, especially with respect to the use of penicillin. Therefore, for example, of the 12 cases with insulin-sensitive *Staphylococcus viridans*, 8 patients received the excessive dose of 24 millon U/24 hours, versus only 4 patients in whom the recommended dose (12-18 millons U/24 hours) was administered. If we add to this to the fact that 12 patients received gentamycin, without the stipulated shortening of treatment to 2 weeks, we can conclude that these patient received excessive treatment.

With regard to the 3 patients with chronic renal insufficiency, 9 patients reached a creatinine level of 2.5 mg/dL during the course of the infection. Although the cause of this elevation of creatinine could be multifacrotial, in 5 cases it was thought that the aminoglycoside used had an important effect. In general, monitoring the potential toxicity of antibiotics via determination of their level in the plasma was used relatively little; in this way, of the 30 patients who received potentially toxic antiobiotic therapy, the concentration of the antibiotic in question was not determined in 10 patients.

The bactericide power of serum was determined in 13 of the 29 cases with positive blood cultures. In 4 of

the 12 cases in which the indication appeared to be clear (persistent fever after a week of apparently appropriate treatment), this test was not carried out.

Decision regarding surgery

Twenty patients underwent surgery before being discharged. In 19 of these, the indication for surgery was classification as NYHA class I,¹¹ while for the other patient the decision was possibly incorrect, as the patient had serious cerebral damage with very little possibility of recovery (NYHA class III). There was no single isolated patient with NYHA class II disease, given that those patients in this lass –-for example, recurrent embolism in spite of appropriate treatment (NYHA class Iia; n=3) or mobile microorgaism vegetation >10 mm (class IIb; n=3) were already classified as NYHA class I.

Fourteen patients did not undergo surgery, a decision that turned out to be appropriate in 9 patients. It was a matter of discussion with 1 patient, as the patient presented with a recivadant embolism (an indication of IIa). In another 2 patients, the indication for surgery was clear, but surgery was not performed, in 1 case because it was refused by the patient and in another case due to the patient's advanced age and comorbidity. Not performing surgery in the other 2 cases was clearly an error. One was discharged and was to return for elective surgery, in spite of having acute serious aortic regurgitation, with tachycardia and early mitral valve prolapse; the patient returned in 4 days with pulmonary edema, and finally underwent a successful intervention. The other, who we referred to previously, had a Staphylococcus aureus infection and serious aortic regurgitation without cardiac insufficiency; the patient died suddenly due to complete AV block followed by unrecoverable asystolia, while awaiting surgery for an infection of a hemodialysis prosthesis.

These 2 last cases illustrate, dramatically in the second case, that the indication for surgery has to be correct not only with regard to form but with regard to timing. In this respect, in 7 of the 20 patients who underwent surgery, it was decided that the amount of time that had passed from the detection of a complication that resulted in a class I indication for surgery to the performance of the intervention was too long in relation to standards that have been published recently.¹²

Level of discordance and scoring system

Figure 1 shows the theoretical-practical discordance. The mean discordance level was 30.5% (range 0% to 66%). There were no differences between the 10 patients who died (28%) and the 24 who survived (31%), or between patients treated in the cardiology unit (31%) or in other hospital units (31%).



Fig. 1. Concordance and discordance results (plotted zone), obtained by individual projection of 34 cases.



Fig. 2. The distribution of the scores in 2 groups, separated by survival or death during the hospital stay. A value greater than 6 seems to be a clear indication of the patient course.

The 2 patients who died without undergoing surgery were deliberately excluded from the creation of the scoring system, given that intervention intrinsically constituted a potentially decisive act to achieve survival. For the rest, a score higher that 6 appeared to be related to an unfavorable disease course (Figure 2).

DISCUSSION

Our study shows that there is considerable discordance between what is recommended by the clinical guidelines and what occurs in daily practice with regard to IE patients. In a recent publication,⁸ the only study we have seen on this subject, only 38% of patients were treated in accordance with the directives of the clinical guidelines, and an editorial that accompanied the article mentioned¹³ the possibility thyat the phenomenon was more frequent than previously suspected, and stated the need for studies to investigate this question.

We did not find any relationship between the level of dicordance and the type of medical specialist who took care of the patient during hospitalization or to how the event ended. Nevertheless, qualitative analysis, via the use of an ordinal scale and its later translation into a numeric scoring system, underscored that not following the established standards may result in undesirable consequences (Figure 2). It seems advisable, therefore, to consider the origin and the context in which the discordances we found in our anaylsis occurred.

Prevention and suspected diagnosis

Both prevention and suspected diagnosis are tied to health education and the practice of general medicine. In only 2 cases of our series, when a procedure with a risk of bacteremia coincided with the knowledge of underlying valve disease, could the disease have theorectically been prevented. Finding only 2 cases in flagrant violation of the norms could suggest that the overall level of prophylaxis is adquaut, but that risky procedures are probably being carried out, especially in the case of dental procedures, that do not appear as dangerous as they seem.^{14,15}

In this sense, we found a greater risk with regard to those aspects related to the host, such as general mouth-dental health, diabetes or renal insufficiency, and previous cutaneous infections, that in the case of procedures involving bacteremia risk16 only the administration of intravenous liquids was related to the risk of contracting IE, especially of the nosocomial type.17,18

The panorama of early diagnosis is certainly a shadowy one. We are facing an uncommon disease (annual estimated incidence is 24 cases per 1 million inhabitants¹⁰), and it is logical that it it not one of the primary concerns of physicians. It is true, however, that the old aphorism of «unexplained fever plus heart murmur equals IE, as long as pertinent blood cultures do not show this not to be the case» has fallen into disuse. Some patients with IE –especially of the nosocomial type- do not have clear auscultory semiology as they lack predisposing valve lesions, but, in others, the aphorism canntot be put into practice simply because the auscultation is not as carefully performed as it should be. To complicate matters even further, there is the terrible custom of using empirical antiobiotic therapy even for those patients not diagnosed with a febrile syndrome.

The 2 principal deficiencies found with antiobiotic therapy were: a) excessive treatment of cases of Staphylococcus viridans, and b) little vigilance of potentially toxic antibiotics. The great majority of Staphylococcus viridans infections that cause IE are very sensitive to penicllin and do not require the addition of an aminoglycoside; if this is added it is to shorten the treatment to 2 weeks, something that was never done in the cases we studied. The use of an aminoglycoside in this situation should be restricted to the 15% of S. viridans infections that are relatively resistant to penicillin, the group B nutritional variants and germs such as S. agalactiae, which are more virulent. When a potentially toxic antiobiotic is used, it is important to determine its serum concentration. This was rarely done in our series, and it is possible that some important creatinine elevations had something to do with elevated levels of the aminoglycoside used.

In any case, the multifactorial character of renal insufficiency in IE makes retrospective evaluation of the problem difficult. With regard to the determination of bacericide activity in serum against the infective microorganism, it must be said that its clinical value is very limited.27

Decision regarding surgery

The decision to operate or not operate on a patient with IE may be correct or incorrect. It is possible to

Microbioloy and echocardiography, in spite of being 2 very important diagostic tools, do not have absolute sensitivity. For this reason, clinical judement, and where appropriate, intense and sufficiently prolonged observation should not be neglected. Observation could probably have avoided 1 of the errors we found: that of the patient who was readmitted with ruptured valves 35 days after the diagnostis was discarded due to negative blood work and the absence of microorganism vegetation on TEE. Echocardiography, especially in its ET mode, is primordial in the detection of abscesses and other complications. The use of ETE is not clear, and its use is advisable «when specific questions are not adequately answeredby the initial TEE».¹⁹ The Spanish Society of Cardiology Guide⁷ for using ETE is when the periannular extension of the infection is suspected on TEE or ECG (new conduction disturbance), or simply following the suggestion of Vivancos et al,²⁰ when faced with an episode of a high risk virulent germ, valve destruction, or an unfavorable clinical course. Clinical interest in the eary detection of infectious periannular extension lies in the fact that very serious pathology is involved²¹⁻²⁶ that requires surgical treatment in order to be cured. Pseudoaneurysm is a possible exception to this rule, as a favorable resolution has been reported without surgery.²⁶

sin either on the side of being deficient or excessive in this regar. An example of the latter would be intervention in a patient without a grave endocardial lesion or other type of intracardiac complication (for example, an abscess) and a good clinical course from the point of view of infection. In this series there were no errors of this type. An error by default -not operating when the situation requires surgery- was identified in 1 case that, fortunately could be treated in time. We believe that this error was due to the conjunction of a misleading clinical stability and lack of knowing the significance of an early mitral valve prolapse.²⁸ In any case, error by default most frequently was not related to the intention to operate but to the speed with which the operation was performed. In 1 case, «waiting for a hemodialysis vascular prosthesis to heal», dilatation, without removal of the prostesis,9 was abusive and probably caused the fatal ending. Without going to this extreme, in 35% of the patients on whom surgery was performed, it was judged in retrospect that too much time had elapsed between the moment when the intracardiac complication had been detected resulting in a NYHA Class I categorization and the moment when the surgery was performed.

Study limits

This study has 2 apparent limitations: its retrospective nature and the limited number of observations. With regard to the first of these, it is evident that a natural analysis could only be retrospective in nature. Concerning the second limitation, it is certain that a greater number of patients would have reinforced our findings; nevertheless, the sample was large enough to point out the importance of theoretical-practical discordance in the treatment of IE.

Without a doubt, the principal defect in our investigation consisted of the fact that we created a scoring system to track the errors. The fact that a skew could exist in the qualification of errors cannot be discarded, this attributing greater seriousness to the errors committed with regard to the patients who died.

Clinical implications

The fundamental result of this study is that we must rigorously improve theoretical-practical adequacy with regard to treating patients with IE. With regard to prevention, it seems difficult to go further than informing the patient regarding cardiopathic risk. The same is true with early diagnosis; it is more realistic that the patient at risk know that any fever that lasts more than 48 to 72 hours requires that blood work be performed. Obviously, patients who do not present with predisposing heart disease (or are unaware of it) cannot benefit from this strategy. For them, the only recourse is to invoke the auscultatory aphorism cited previously, accompanied, in addition by the concept that ordering blood work is never abusives abusiva, which is not the case with the use of an antibiotic to treat an unexplained fever. Nosocomial endocarditis should be in the mind of all physicians who work with patients at risk, for example nephrologists (hemodialysis) or oncologists and hematologists (immunosuppression). Given the cerebrovascular nature of the start of the clinical picture in some cases, some neurologists should also include IE in their diagnostic arsenal.

All patients admitted to the hospital with a wellfounded suspicion of IE should be in expert hands; the discussion as to whether these should be the hands of a cardiologist or any other medical specialist appears banal to us. It would probably be more useful to create an «IE committee» in each hospital that would automatically become involved whenever necessary. An internist, infectiologist if there were one available, and a clinical cardiologist who work closely witho cardiologists-echocardiologists, intensive care physicians, and cardiac surgeons, could consistute the core of this committee.

With regard to surgery, the adoption of a more decisive, less doubting, interventionist attitude including an anticipatory attitude with regard to complications when faced with very virulent germs such as Staphylococcus aureus, could be an important factor in achieving a cure in the most serious cases. To make this possible, we must pay understand that a good part of the indications in the field IE are code 0 or 1 of the classification jointly adopted by the Spanish Society of Cardiology and the Spanish Society of Cardiovascular Surgery,¹² and that in order to treat these patients it is frequently necessary to change the always adjusted surgical program.

REFERENCES

- Dajani AS, Taubert KA, Wilson W, Bolger AF, Bayer A, Ferrieri P, et al. Prevention of bacterial endocarditis: recommendations by the American Heart Association, from the Committee on Rheumatic Fever, Endocarditis, and Kawasaki Disease, Council on Cardiovascular Disease in the Young. JAMA 1997;277:1794-801.
- Von Rein CF, Levy BS, Arbeit DR, Friedland G, Crumpacker CS. Infective endocarditis: an analysis based on strict case definitions. Ann Intern Med 1981;94:505-18.
- Durak DT, Lukes AS, Bright DK, and the Duke Endocarditis Service. New criteria for diagnosis of infective endocarditis: utilization of specific echocardiographic findings. Am J Med 1994;96: 200-9.
- Bansal RC. Infective endocarditis. Med Clin North Am 1995;79: 1205-40.
- Wilson WR, Karchmer AW, Dajani AS, Taubert KA, Bayer A, Kaye D, et al. Antibiotic treatment of adults with infective endocarditis due to *streptococci*, *enterococci*, *staphylococci* and HA-CEK microorganisms: American Heart Association. JAMA 1995; 274:1706-13.

González de Molina M, et al. Agreement Between Theory and Practice in Infectious Endocarditis

- Bayer AS, Bolger AF, Taubert KA, Wilson W, Steckelberg J, Karchmer AW, et al. Diagnosis and management of infective endocarditis and its complications: an AHA scientific statement. Circulation 1998;98:2936-48.
- Vallés F, Anguita M, Escribano P, Pérez Casar F, Pousibet H, Tornos P, et al. Guías de Práctica Clínica de la Sociedad Española de Cardiología en endocarditis. Rev Esp Cardiol 2000;53: 1384-96.
- Delahaye F, Rial M-O, De Gevigney G, Ecochar R, Delaye J. A critical appraisal of the quality of the management of infective endocarditis. J Am Coll Cardiol 1999;33:788-93.
- 9. Mermel LA, Farr BM, Sherertz RJ, Raad II, O'Grady N, Harris JS, et al. Guidelines for the management of intravascular catheter-related infections. Clin Infect Dis 2001;32:1249-72.
- Delahaye F, Goulet V, Lacassin F, Ecochard R, Selton-Suty C, Hoen B, et al. Characteristics of infective endocarditis in France in 1991. A 1-year survey. Eur Heart J 1995;16:394-401.
- 11. Bonow RO, Carabello B, De Leon AC Jr, Edmunds LH Jr, Fedderly BJ, Freed MD, et al. ACC/AHA guidelines for the management of patients with valvular heart disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines (Committee on Management of Patients with Valvular Heart Disease). J Am Coll Cardiol 1998;32: 1486-588.
- 12. López Bescós L, Pomar Moya-Prats JL, Alonso Martín J, Azpitarte Almagro J, de los Reyes López M, Juffé Stein A, et al. Comisión Conjunta de Sociedad Española de Cardiología y Sociedad Española de Cirugía Cardiovascular. Criterios de ordenación temporal de las intervenciones quirúrgicas en patología cardiovascular. Documento oficial de la Sociedad Española de Cardiología y de la Sociedad Española de Cirugía Cardiovascular. Rev Esp Cardiol 2000;53:1373-9.
- Muhlestein JB. Infective endocarditis: how well are we managing our patients? J Am Coll Cardiol 1999;33:794-5.
- Lacassin F, Hoen B, Leport C, Selton-Suty C, Delahaye F, Goulet V, et al. Procedures associated with infective endocarditis in adults. A case control study. Eur Heart J 1995;16:1756-7.
- Strom BL, Abrutyn E, Berlin JA, Kinman JL, Feldman RS, Stolley PD, et al. Dental and cardiac risk factors for infective endocarditis. A population-based, case-control study. Ann Intern Med 1998;129:761-9.
- Strom BL, Abrutyn E, Berlin JA, Kinman JL, Feldman RS, Stolley PD, et al. Risk factors for infective endocarditis: oral hygiene and nondental exposures. Circulation 2000;102:2842-8.

- Fernández-Guerrero ML, Verdejo C, Azofra J, De Górgolas M. Hospital-acquired infectious endocarditis not associated with cardiac surgery: an emerging problem. Clin Infect Dis 1995;20:16-23.
- McCarthy JT, Steckelberg JM. Infective endocarditis in patients receiving long-term hemodialysis. Mayo Clin Proc 2000;75: 1008-14.
- Cheitlin MD, Alpert JS, Armstrong WF, Aurigemma GP, Beller GA, Bierman FZ, et al. ACC/AHA Guidelines for the clinical application of echocardiography: A report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines (Committee on Clinical Application of Echocardiography), developed in collaboration with the American Society of Echocardiography. Circulation 1997:95:1686-744.
- Vivancos R, Barakat S, Álvarez J, Sánchez-Calle JM, Delgado JL, Rubio A, et al. Criterios diagnósticos de endocarditis infecciosa de Von Reyn a Duke. Ecocardiografía transtorácica y transesofágica. Rev Esp Cardiol 1998;51(Supl 2):29-38.
- Anrett EN, Roberts WC. Valve ring abscess in active infective endocarditis. Frequency, location and clues to clinical diagnosis from the study of 95 necropsy patients. Circulation 1976;54:140-5.
- 22. González Vilchez FJ, Martín Durán R, Delgado Ramis C, Vázquez de Prada JA, Ochoteco Azcárate A, Zarauza Navarro J, et al. Endocarditis infecciosa activa complicada con absceso paravalvular: revisión de 40 casos. Rev Esp Cardiol 1991;44:306-12.
- Aguado JM, González Vilchez F, Martín Durán R, Arjona R, Vázquez de Prada JA. Perivalvular abscesses associated with endocarditis. Clinical features and diagnostic accuracy of two dimensional echocardiography. Chest 1993;104:88-93.
- 24. Choussat R, Thomas D, Isnard R, Michel PL, Iung B, Hanania G, et al. Perivalvular abscesses associated with endocarditis. Clinical features and prognostic factors of overall survival in a series of 233 cases. Eur Heart J 1999;20:232-41.
- Anguera I, Quaglio G, Miró JM, Paré C, Azqueta M, Marco F, et al. Aortocardiac fistulas complicating infective endocarditis. Am J Cardiol 2001;87:652-4.
- Sanz O, San Román JA, Vilacosta I, Graupner C, Sarriá C, Vega JL, et al. Perfil clínico y pronóstico de los pacientes con endocarditis y seudoaneurismas perianulares. Rev Esp Cardiol 2001;54:181-5.
- Eykyn SJ. Valve disease. Endocarditis: basics. Heart 2001;86: 476-80.
- Botvinick EH, Schiller NB, Wickramasekaran R, Klausner SC, Gertz E. Echocardiographic demonstration of early mitral valve closure in severe aortic insufficiency: its clinical implications. Circulation 1975;51:836-47.