

Raised Diastolic Pressure as an Early Predictor of Left Ventricular Remodeling After Infarction: Should Echocardiography or Natriuretic Peptides Be Used for Assessment?

Frank A. Flachskampf

Medizinische Klinik 2, University of Erlangen, Erlangen, Germany

“Risk” after myocardial infarction classically means risk of cardiac death or re-infarction; other adverse events can be included in the end-point such as hospitalization due to recurrent ischemia, new atrial fibrillation, embolic events, and others. However, the most important adverse “event” next to death and re-infarction is the development of heart failure. In this context, risk stratification has become a buzzword in clinical cardiology, serving as a justification for a bewildering array of tests creating a multitude of parameters, from ejection fraction to late ECG potentials to biomarkers, which all compete for the clinicians’ attention and the health system’s resources. However, risk stratification alone does not reduce mortality or morbidity; it is worthwhile doing it only if it helps to tailor therapeutic and preventive management to the individual patient.

After myocardial infarction, the left ventricle develops a set of morphologic and functional responses to the initial ischemic injury that have been labelled as post-infarction remodelling. In this process, the infarcted area initially bulges and expands within seconds of the onset of coronary occlusion. During the ensuing hours and days, the infarct scar is stretched, thereby increasing in size; this process has been termed infarct expansion.¹ In response to a substantial loss of contractile tissue, the left ventricle acutely responds by hyperkinesia of remote myocardial areas and in the course of days and weeks dilates, thus recruiting preload reserve.

SEE EDITORIAL ON PAGES 1019-27

These changes are compounded by the development of mitral regurgitation due to ventricular dilatation and accompanied by complex biochemical and neuro-endocrine alterations. Although remodelling is most pronounced after large infarcts, it is difficult to pinpoint a particular parameter that would reliably separate “remodellers” from “non-remodellers,” and the definitions of remodelling itself, based on left ventricular volumes, are somewhat arbitrary. Nevertheless, some patients progress inexorably to terminal heart failure, while in others the response to the initial ischemic injury seems to come to a morphologic and functional standstill.

In the past, several factors have been identified as contributors to the process of left ventricular post-infarction remodelling:

- Infarct size: larger infarcts more frequently lead to remodelling¹ (confirmed in the study by López Haldón et al² published in this issue of *Revista Española de Cardiología*).

- Apical versus other infarct locations: the apex appears to be particularly vulnerable, perhaps due to its thin walls and low curvature radius¹ (confirmed in the study by López Haldón et al²).

- Lack of reperfusion therapy³ or lack of successful reperfusion at the myocardial tissue level; this has been documented by myocardial contrast echocardiography, originally applied by intracoronary injection⁴ and, more recently, by intravenous route.⁵ The present study² showed a trend towards longer ischemia time in remodellers.

- Development of new mitral regurgitation, which was not confirmed in the present study,² but may have been due to relatively early imaging (median, 5 days) after infarction.⁶

The study by López Haldón et al² extends our insight into left ventricular remodelling by using a simple echo parameter, the ratio E/e' of maximal transmitral E velocity to early diastolic tissue velocity at the base of the left ventricle e' (we will use this notation, which is recommended

Correspondence: Prof. F.A. Flachskampf.
Med. Klinik 2, Ulmenweg 18, 91054 Erlangen, Germany
E-mail: frank.flachskampf@uk-erlangen.de

Full English text available from: www.revespcardiologia.org

by guidelines, instead of E_m). This ratio has been shown in a number of studies to correlate with left ventricular diastolic pressure, both in patients with preserved as well as reduced left ventricular function. The parameter now plays a central role in the evaluation of diastolic function by echo.^{7,8} In the study by López Haldón et al² of 159 patients after ST elevation infarction treated by apparently successful percutaneous intervention, an elevated $E/e' \geq 14$ predicted left ventricular remodelling after 6 months, defined as an increase in end-diastolic left ventricular volume of at least 20% compared to baseline, with a sensitivity of 70% and specificity of 68%. The predictive power was superior to classic echo parameters such as baseline left ventricular volumes, ejection fraction, and E wave deceleration time. In a multivariate model, E/e' was the only independent predictor of remodelling.

From these findings, we may infer that in patients at risk for remodelling, left ventricular diastolic pressures are already elevated shortly after infarction, signalling that the diastolic pressure-volume relation of the left ventricle has changed in response to ischemic injury. Since patients who later showed remodelling did not differ appreciably in ejection fraction from those who didn't, this indicates that diastolic pressures are an earlier and apparently more sensitive parameter of functional damage of the left ventricle than ejection fraction. Notably, in the cohort of the present study,² only 7.5% had an ejection fraction below 40% at discharge, reflecting relatively well-preserved systolic function in the majority of these patients. It is in these patients that risk stratification may be particularly beneficial, since those with severely impaired function are already likely candidates for remodelling and also for maximal heart failure therapy with drugs and other interventions.

An interesting perspective that these data offer is whether combining E/e' determination with exercise—the “diastolic stress test”—might further improve the predictive power of echo. The “diastolic stress test”⁷ has been shown to unmask diastolic dysfunction which is not clear-cut at rest, and this might be an even better instrument to identify patients on the path to functional deterioration who go unnoticed by looking at systolic function only and have equivocal findings of diastolic function at rest.

The relation of echo parameters of diastolic function to post-infarction prognosis in general (not necessarily mediated by remodelling) has been analyzed earlier. Most prominently, shortened mitral E wave deceleration time and the restrictive transmitral inflow pattern⁹⁻¹¹ was found to predict

adverse events independently from ejection fraction. Enlarged left atrial volume has been found as a good predictor of adverse events, but its predictive specificity is limited due to other conditions leading to left atrial enlargement, most prominently, of course, atrial fibrillation. E/e' has been reported from the Mayo Clinic as the most powerful independent post-infarction predictor of adverse prognosis from a large number of clinical and echocardiographic variables, including ejection fraction and E wave deceleration time.¹² In the largest study to date,¹³ looking at 400 post-infarction patients, indexed left atrial volume >32 mL/m² was a predictor of adverse outcomes, but with a hazard ratio of 3.35 it was a much weaker predictor than a $E/e' >15$ (hazard ratio = 6.14), which was the strongest echo predictor. E wave deceleration <150 ms had a hazard ratio of 3.15, almost identical with left atrial size. This study, like the work of López Haldón et al, also analyzed natriuretic peptide levels and found them similarly predictive as E/e' for prognosis.

Some other aspects of the study² deserve comment. López Haldón et al used septal e' only for calculating E/e' , while current guidelines recommend averaging septal and lateral values, since such an average intuitively is more representative of early diastolic relaxation and lengthening of the whole ventricle than a single measurement; further, some validation studies for this parameter have used averaged e' . However, several conditions may lead to large differences in septal and lateral e' and hence reduce the utility of averaging these values, e.g., left ventricular asynchrony in bundle branch block or pacemaker stimulation, and the fact that measuring lateral e' in dilated ventricles frequently results in data which reflect both radial and longitudinal velocities of E/e' . The issue is unresolved and perhaps unsolvable if simplicity of use is to be preserved.

The authors excluded from analysis 9 patients who died during the first 6 months, as well as 5 with reinfarction, and 3 with “disease progression with clinical symptoms.” One wonders whether this decision might not have excluded mainly patients prone to remodelling and therefore perhaps diluted the findings of the study.

Is it preferable to simply measure natriuretic peptides and forget about the intricacies of diastolic function to anticipate left ventricular remodelling? The present work,² confirming an earlier report,¹⁴ indicates that the answer is no. Although truly low NT-proBNP values reliably exclude increased diastolic pressures and heart failure, no firm, “recommendation-grade” evidence for other uses of this parameter in the post-infarct or heart failure scenario has emerged.

In particular the value of changes in NT-proBNP levels to guide therapy is controversial.^{15,16} For the time being, echocardiography—which is uniformly recommended by current guidelines in post-infarction patients—offers far better clinical guidance in these patients; with the downside that it is also far more complex than a single number and must be interpreted correctly.

The present work² advances our understanding of left ventricular post-infarction remodelling and suggests that E/e' is a useful parameter to

measure (certainly at rest, but perhaps in some cases also during an exercise test) to identify left ventricular remodelling with its attendant elevated risk of adverse events. Such patients might benefit from more vigorous medical therapy targeted to decrease diastolic pressures even in the absence of an impairment of pump function and hence in the absence of a classic indication for heart failure therapy. Whether such a strategy in the end can reduce mortality and morbidity, however, must be studied in the future.

REFERENCES

1. Picard MH, Wilkins GT, Ray PA, Weyman AE. Natural history of left ventricular size and function after acute myocardial infarction. Assessment and prediction by echocardiographic endocardial surface mapping. *Circulation*. 1990;82:484-94.
2. López Haldón J, Fernández Quero M, Mancha F, Urbano JA, Guisado A, Villa M, et al. NT-proBNP y variables ecocardiográficas en el infarto con elevación del ST tratado con angioplastia primaria: relación entre ambos y utilidad como predictores de remodelado ventricular. *Rev Esp Cardiol*. 2010;63:1019-27.
3. Popović AD, Nesković AN, Babić R, Obradović V, Bozinović L, Marinković J, et al. Independent impact of thrombolytic therapy and vessel patency on left ventricular dilation after myocardial infarction. Serial echocardiographic follow-up. *Circulation*. 1994;90:800-7.
4. Ito H, Tomooka T, Sakai N, Hisahiro Y, Higashino Y, Fujii K, et al. Lack of myocardial perfusion immediately after successful thrombolysis. A predictor of poor recovery of left ventricular function in anterior myocardial infarction. *Circulation*. 1992;85:1699-705.
5. Galiuto L, Garramone B, Scarà A, Rebuzzi AG, Crea F, La Torre G, et al; AMICI Investigators. The extent of microvascular damage during myocardial contrast echocardiography is superior to other known indexes of post-infarct reperfusion in predicting left ventricular remodeling: results of the multicenter AMICI study. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2008;51:552-9.
6. Ennezat PV, Darchis J, Lamblin N, Tricot O, Elkohen M, Aumégeat V, et al; REVE Investigators. Left ventricular remodeling is associated with the severity of mitral regurgitation after inaugural anterior myocardial infarction—optimal timing for echocardiographic imaging. *Am Heart J*. 2008;155:959-65.
7. Nagueh S, Appleton C, Evangelista A, Gillebert T, Oh JK, Marino P, et al. Recommendations for the echocardiographic diagnosis of diastolic dysfunction. *Eur J Echocardiogr*. 2009;10:165-93.
8. Paulus WJ, Tschope C, Sanderson JE, Rusconi C, Flachskampf FA, Rademakers FE, et al. How to diagnose diastolic heart failure: a consensus statement on the diagnosis of heart failure with normal left ventricular ejection fraction by the Heart Failure and Echocardiography Associations of the European Society of Cardiology. *Eur Heart J*. 2007;28:2539-50.
9. Oh JK, Ding ZP, Gersh BJ, Bailey KR, Tajik AJ. Restrictive left ventricular diastolic filling identifies patients with heart failure after acute myocardial infarction. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr*. 1992;5:497-503.
10. Nijland F, Kamp O, Karreman AJ, van Eenige MJ, Visser CA. Prognostic implications of restrictive left ventricular filling in acute myocardial infarction: a serial Doppler echocardiographic study. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1997;30:1618-24.
11. Meta-Analysis Research Group in Echocardiography (MeRGE) AMI Collaborators. Independent Prognostic Importance of a Restrictive Left Ventricular Filling Pattern After Myocardial Infarction: An Individual Patient Meta-Analysis: Meta-Analysis Research Group in Echocardiography Acute Myocardial Infarction. *Circulation*. 2008;117:2591-8.
12. Hillis GS, Moller JE, Pellikka PA, Gersh BJ, Wright RS, Ommen SR, et al. Noninvasive estimation of left ventricular filling pressure by E/e' is a powerful predictor of survival after acute myocardial infarction. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2004;43:360-7.
13. Kruszewski K, Scott AE, Barclay JL, Small GR, Croal BL, Möller JE, et al. Noninvasive assessment of left ventricular filling pressure after acute myocardial infarction: a prospective study of the relative prognostic utility of clinical assessment,

- echocardiography, and B-type natriuretic peptide. *Am Heart J*. 2010;159:47-54.
14. Cerisano G, Pucci PD, Valenti R, Boddi V, Migliorini A, Tommasi MS, et al. Comparison of the usefulness of Doppler-derived deceleration time versus plasma brain natriuretic peptide to predict left ventricular remodeling after mechanical revascularization in patients with ST-elevation acute myocardial infarction and left ventricular systolic dysfunction. *Am J Cardiol*. 2005;95:930-4.
 15. Jourdain P, Jondeau G, Funck F, Gueff  t P, Le Helloco A, Donal E, Aup  tit JF, et al. Plasma brain natriuretic peptide-guided therapy to improve outcome in heart failure: the STARS-BNP Multicenter Study. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2007;49:1733-9.
 16. Pfisterer M, Buser P, Rickli H, Gutmann M, Erne P, Rickenbacher P, et al; TIME-CHF Investigators. BNP-guided vs symptom-guided heart failure therapy: the Trial of Intensified vs Standard Medical Therapy in Elderly Patients With Congestive Heart Failure (TIME-CHF) randomized trial. *JAMA*. 2009;301:383-92.