# Original article

# A genetic risk score predicts recurrent events after myocardial infarction in young adults



Luis M. Rincón,<sup>a,b,\*</sup> Marcelo Sanmartín,<sup>a,b</sup> Gonzalo L. Alonso,<sup>a,b</sup> José Antonio Rodríguez,<sup>b,c</sup> Alfonso Muriel,<sup>d</sup> Eduardo Casas,<sup>a</sup> Miguel Navarro,<sup>e</sup> Alejandra Carbonell,<sup>a,f</sup> Carla Lázaro,<sup>a,g</sup> Sara Fernández,<sup>a</sup> Paz González,<sup>a,b</sup> Macarena Rodríguez,<sup>h</sup> Manuel Jiménez-Mena,<sup>a,i</sup> Covadonga Fernández-Golfín,<sup>a,b</sup> Amparo Esteban,<sup>e,i</sup> María Laura García-Bermejo,<sup>h</sup> and José L. Zamorano<sup>a,b,j</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Servicio de Cardiología, Hospital Universitario Ramón y Cajal, Universidad de Alcalá, Instituto Ramón y Cajal de Investigación Sanitaria (IRYCIS), Madrid, Spain
<sup>b</sup> Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Enfermedades Cardiovasculares (CIBERCV), Madrid, Spain

<sup>c</sup> Laboratorio de Aterotrombosis. Centro de Investigación Médica Aplicada (CIMA)-Universidad de Navarra, Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria de Navarra (IdiSNA), Pamplona, Navarra, Spain <sup>d</sup> Unidad de Bioestadística Clínica, Hospital Universitario Ramón y Cajal, Instituto Ramón y Cajal de Investigación Sanitaria (IRYCIS), Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Epidemiología y Salud Pública (CIBERESP), Madrid, Spain

<sup>e</sup> Servicio de Radiología, Hospital Universitario Ramón y Cajal, Instituto Ramón y Cajal de Investigación Sanitaria (IRYCIS), Madrid, Spain

<sup>f</sup>Hospital Universitario 12 de Octubre, Madrid, Spain

<sup>g</sup> Hospital de Torrejón, Madrid, Spain

<sup>h</sup> Unidad Central de Apoyo en Biomarcadores y Dianas Terapéuticas, EATRIS Biomarkers Platform, Hospital Universitario Ramón y Cajal, Instituto Ramón y Cajal de Investigación Sanitaria (IRYCIS), Madrid, Spain

<sup>i</sup> Hospital La Moraleja, Madrid, Spain

<sup>j</sup> Hospital La Zarzuela, Madrid, Spain

Article history: Received 28 March 2019 Accepted 5 August 2019 Available online 17 October 2019

Keywords: Myocardial infarction Genetic risk score Recurrent events Coronary disease Cardiac computed tomography

# ABSTRACT

*Introduction and objectives*: To evaluate whether a genetic risk score (GRS) improves prediction of recurrent events in young nondiabetic patients presenting with an acute myocardial infarction (AMI) and identifies a more aggressive form of atherosclerosis.

*Methods:* We conducted a prospective study with consecutive nondiabetic patients aged < 55 years presenting with AMI. We performed a genetic test, cardiac computed tomography, and analyzed several biomarkers. We studied the association of a GRS composed of 11 genetic variants and a primary composite endpoint (cardiovascular mortality, a recurrent event, and cardiac hospitalization).

**Results:** A total of 81 patients were studied and followed up for a median of 4.1 years. There were 24 recurrent cardiovascular events. Compared with the general population, study participants had a higher prevalence of 9 out of 11 risk alleles. The GRS was significantly associated with recurrent cardiovascular events, especially when baseline low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) levels were elevated. Compared with the low-risk GRS tertile, the multivariate-adjusted HR for recurrences was 10.2 (95%CI, 1.1-100.3; P = .04) for the intermediate-risk group and was 20.7 (2.4-181.0; P = .006) for the high-risk group when LDL-C was  $\geq 2.8$ mmol/L ( $\geq 110$  mg/dL). Inclusion of the GRS improved the C-statistic ( $\Delta$ C-statistic = 0.086), cNRI (continuous net reclassification improvement) (30%), and the IDI (integrated discrimination improvement) index (0.05). Cardiac computed tomography frequently detected coronary calcified atherosclerosis but had limited value for prediction of recurrences. No association was observed between metalloproteinases, GRS and recurrences.

*Conclusions*: A multilocus GRS may identify individuals at increased risk of long-term recurrences among young nondiabetic patients with AMI and improve clinical risk stratification models, particularly among patients with high baseline LDL-C levels.

© 2019 Sociedad Española de Cardiología. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.

# Una puntuación de riesgo genético predice recurrencias en pacientes jóvenes con infarto agudo de miocardio

#### RESUMEN

*Introducción y objetivos:* Evaluar si una puntuación de riesgo genético (GRS) mejora la predicción de eventos recurrentes en pacientes jóvenes con infarto agudo de miocardio (IAM) e identifica una forma de aterosclerosis más agresiva.

Palabras clave: Infarto agudo de miocardio Puntuación de riesgo genético Eventos recurrentes

SEE RELATED CONTENT:

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rec.2020.01.003

\* Corresponding author: Hospital Universitario Ramón y Cajal, Ctra. Colmenar km 9, 28034 Madrid, Spain. *E-mail address:* Imrincon@secardiologia.es (L.M. Rincón).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rec.2019.08.006

1885-5857/© 2019 Sociedad Española de Cardiología. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.

Enfermedad coronaria Tomografía computarizada cardiaca *Métodos*: Se diseñó un estudio prospectivo con pacientes < 55 años, no diabéticos, ingresados por IAM. Se realizó un test genético, una tomografía computarizada cardiaca y determinación de varios biomarcadores. Se analizó la asociación de un GRS compuesto por 11 variantes genéticas con la aparición de un objetivo primario combinado (muerte cardiovascular, evento recurrente u hospitalización cardiovascular).

**Resultados:** Se siguió a 81 pacientes durante una mediana de 4,1 años, y se documentaron 24 eventos. La prevalencia de variantes de riesgo fue superior en 9 de los 11 alelos frente a población general. El GRS se asoció con recurrencias, particularmente cuando los niveles basales de colesterol-LDL estaban elevados. En el modelo multivariado, teniendo como referencia el tercil de bajo riesgo genético, el HR para el grupo de riesgo intermedio fue de 10,2 (IC95% 1,1-100,3; p = 0,04) y de alto riesgo 20,7 (2,4-181,0; p = 0,006) si el colesterol-LDL era  $\geq$  2,8mmol/L ( $\geq$  110 mg/dL). La incorporación del GRS al modelo multivariado mejoró el estadístico C ( $\Delta$ C-statistic = 0,086), el cNRI (30%) y el IDI (0,05). El TC cardiaco detectó ateromatosis calcificada frecuentemente, pero tuvo un valor pronóstico limitado. No se detectó una asociación entre metaloproteinasas, GRS y recurrencias.

*Conclusiones:* En una población de pacientes jóvenes no diabéticos con IAM, una puntuación de riesgo genético puede predecir recurrencias y mejorar los modelos clínicos de estratificación pronóstica, especialmente en aquellos pacientes con colesterol-LDL basal elevado.

© 2019 Sociedad Española de Cardiología. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. Todos los derechos reservados.

# Abbreviations

AMI: acute myocardial infarction CAD: coronary artery disease CT: computed tomography GRS: genetic risk score GWAS: genome-wide association studies SNPs: single-nucleotide polymorphisms

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Coronary artery disease (CAD) remains the first cause of mortality worldwide.<sup>1</sup> CAD has a complex etiology involving environmental risk factors and genetic susceptibility. Genetic predisposition has been stated to account for 40% to 50% of variability in the development of CAD.<sup>2</sup> Young patients (< 55 years) with an acute myocardial infarction (AMI) usually lack most of the clinical risk factors and almost invariantly have low cardiovascular risk based on clinical estimators until the moment of the event. Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have consistently identified more than 57 loci in the general population that predispose to CAD/AMI either through cardiovascular risk factors or independently.<sup>3</sup> The importance of genetically derived etiopathogenic mechanisms of CAD is enhanced among patients with early clinical events. Nevertheless, clinical guidelines do not currently recommend the use of genetic testing to assess cardiovascular risk in primary or secondary prevention.<sup>4,5</sup>

CAD is not uncommon in the young population, and patients < 45 years represent approximately 10% of AMI admissions,<sup>6</sup> with a significantly high lifelong chance of recurrent cardiovascular events despite therapy.<sup>7,8</sup>

Classical cardiovascular risk factors are of great importance as therapeutic targets after an AMI and have also been used to design risk prediction scores for further coronary events (ie, Global Registry of Acute Coronary Events [GRACE]). However, their predictive ability is limited and they do not account for genetically derived risk.<sup>9</sup>

The association of genetic scores with incident CAD has been prospectively studied in the general population, with overall positive results. Advances in genetic testing have made these studies affordable, although cost-effectiveness remains an issue.<sup>10,11</sup>

Currently, there is an unmet need to identify patients with established cardiovascular disease who are at higher risk of recurrent cardiovascular events and who could benefit from more aggressive secondary prevention. Young patients with cardiovascular disease emerge as one of the main focuses of attention, since they have an unfavourable long-term prognosis with a high probability of further recurrences.<sup>12</sup> In this context, genetic risk scores (GRS) composed of single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) might be clinically useful to assess lifelong residual risk.

We sought to determine whether an SNP-based GRS could predict long-term recurrent cardiovascular events after an AMI in a cohort of young nondiabetic patients with a high likelihood of genetic susceptibility and could therefore improve risk stratification. We also aimed to determine whether a poor GRS was associated with a more aggressive form of CAD through a comprehensive study of plaque morphology and coronary calcium by computed tomography (CT), invasive angiography, and biohumoral extracellular matrix metabolism parameters.

# **METHODS**

# Study design

We conducted a prospective study with consecutive inclusion of nondiabetic patients aged < 55 years hospitalized for type 1 AMI in a tertiary hospital. Inclusion criteria were: *a*) age between 18 and 55 years; b) type 1 AMI presenting as non-ST-segment elevation or ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndrome (ACS) and, c) invasive coronary angiography performed. Exclusion criteria were: a) age > 55 years, and b) a clinical history of diabetes or fulfilment of established diagnostic criteria according to the European Society of Cardiology/European Association for the Study of Diabetes guidelines. We obtained comprehensive clinical, demographic, echocardiographic, and invasive angiographic data. Blood samples for genetic testing and metalloproteinase analysis were extracted at admission and were stored at the local biobank. A cardiac CT for calcium score analysis and noninvasive coronary angiography was performed at baseline unless contraindicated. The study protocol was approved by the ethics committee (reference 175/13) and complied with the Declaration of Helsinki. All participants provided written informed consent.

#### Outcome

The primary outcome, defined as a recurrent cardiovascular event, was a composite of cardiovascular mortality, recurrent ACS, and cardiac hospitalization. Recurrent ACS included both AMI and unstable angina. Ambulatory staged procedures for revascularization of nonculprit lesions were not considered as recurrences. All outcomes were reviewed by 2 cardiologists. Follow-up was performed on site every 6 months. Covariate definitions were standardized for analysis.

#### Genotyping

DNA extraction was performed using standard techniques. Genotyping was conducted at the Gen inCode Laboratory (Barcelona, Spain), using designed Affymetrix Axiom arrays. The genome-wide arrays gave high-quality genotypes, with high genotype call rates (100%) and SNP reproducibility (100%). Genotype imputation was done on a per-array basis using IMPUTE2 v2.2.2 and using 1000 genomes as the reference panel. Genetic variants (table 1) were determined using a commercial platform named Cardio inCode Score (Gen inCode, Spain), which includes 11 genetic variants associated with CAD but not with classic risk factors in accordance with the data available at genome-wide association studies catalogue reviewed in August 2010 and which were described in GWAS (rs10455872 in LPA, rs12526453 in PHACTR1, rs1333049 in CDKN2A/B, rs17465637 in MIA3, rs501120 in CXCL12, rs6725887 in WDR12, rs9818870 in MRAS and rs9982601 in SLC5A3/KCNE2) including also a haplotype in ALOX5AP gene (Hap B, composed by: rs10507391-A, rs93155050-A and rs17222842-G), which has been reported to be associated with CAD in different populations.<sup>13–15</sup> This haplotype was not found in GWAS because individual genetic variants are usually analyzed without taking haplotypes into consideration. The array yielded high genotype call rates (100%). The exact test was used to compute Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium in each individual variant considering a *P* value  $< \frac{0.05}{11}$  for statistical significance in multiple testing.<sup>16</sup>

A GRS was computed using the following formula  $GRS = \sum_{i=1}^{n} SNP_i$  where the sum of the number of risk alleles described in table 1 (with values 0, 1, or 2) across the genetic variants (*n*) was included. Patients were divided into terciles based on their GRS for analysis.

# Cardiac computed tomography

A cardiac CT scan was performed after myocardial revascularization and before hospital discharge in all participants unless contraindicated. Coronary artery calcium (CAC) score as well as noninvasive coronary angiography were performed using a 128slice multidetector CT. The scans were read independently by 2 investigators. The methodology for CAC acquisition and interpretation of the CT scans was performed according to a validated standardized protocol.<sup>17</sup> The amount of calcium was quantified with the Agatston scoring method, excluding stents from the analysis.

#### Table 1

Genetic variants analyzed

#### Extracellular matrix metabolism biomarkers

Venous blood samples were collected during the first 24 hours after admission. Within 30 minutes after extraction, centrifugation was performed at 100 g for 15 minutes. Serum was removed and stored at -80 °C at the local biobank. Metalloproteinase (MMP) 1, 2, 7, 9 and 10, and tissue inhibitor of matrix metalloproteinase 1 (TIMP-1) assays were performed at the Atherosclerosis Research Laboratory, CIMA-University of Navarre (Pamplona, Spain). MMP 1, 2, 7, 9 and 10 were analyzed in serum with a bead-based multiplex assay using the Luminex technology, from Merck (MILLIPLEX MAP, Darmstadt, Germany). TIMP-1 levels were assayed by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (Quantikine; R&D Systems, Minneapolis, Minnesota, United States). Interassay and intra-assay coefficients of variation were < 6%.

#### Statistical analysis

For statistical analysis, the GRS was divided into 3 terciles and Kaplan-Meier survival curves were plotted for the primary outcome. The low-risk GRS was used as the reference group. Several Cox regression models were constructed: *a*) a univariate model with all the variables of interest collected; b) a multivariate clinical model where the already established GRACE risk score and variables that were statistically associated with the composite endpoint in the univariate analysis were tested; the backward elimination method was used for selection of covariables, and *c*) the previous multivariate model with the addition of GRS terciles. Interaction was studied. The percent risk for the GRACE risk score was calculated using the nomogram for the 3-year outcomes of death or AMI. Goodness of fit was evaluated using the likelihood ratio test and the C-statistic. Multivariate models with and without GRS were compared by the integrated discrimination improvement index (IDI) method, which compares the average difference in correctly predicting the risk for patients who have a recurrent cardiovascular event with those who do not, and the continuous net reclassification improvement (cNRI), detailed in methods of the supplementary data.<sup>18</sup> Missing values (< 3%) were sampled using a multiple imputation technique based on the Markov method. This was a hypothesis-generating and exploratory study; therefore, no formal sample size calculation was performed in advance. The statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software version 22.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, Illinois, United States) and R version 3.3.2.

Band	rsID	Gene loci	Chromosome	RA/NRA (RAF)	H-W P
1q41	rs17465637	MIA3	1	C/A (0.69)	.925
2q33.2	rs6725887	WDR12	2	C/T (0.18)	1.000
3q22.3	rs9818870	MRAS	3	T/C (0.13)	-
6q25.3	rs10455872	SLC22A3-LPAL2-LPA	6	G/A (0.11)	.072
6p24.1	rs12526453	PHACTR1	6	C/G (0.66)	.674
9p21.3	rs1333049	CDKN2B-AS1	9	C/G (0.39)	.512
10q11.21	rs501120	CXCL12	10	T/C (0.84)	.037
21q22.11	rs9982601	KCNE2-SCL5A3	21	T/C (0.13)	-
13q12.3	rs10507391	ALOX5AP (haplotype)	13	A/T (0.36)	.589
	rs17222842	ALOX5AP (haplotype)	13	G/A (0.92)	.822
	rs9315050	ALOX5AP (haplotype)	13	G/A (0.07)	.616

H-W, Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium; NRA, nonrisk allele; RA, risk allele; RAF: risk allele frequency.

P < .0045 for statistical significance.



**Figure 1.** Patient selection. Flowchart showing the successive steps taken during the study. AMI, acute myocardial infarction.

#### RESULTS

# Patient profile

From August 2013 through December 2014, a total of 81 patients (89% male) aged  $48 \pm 6$  years were enrolled into the study after screening (figure 1). Cardiovascular risk factors and clinical features are shown in table 2 and table 1 of the supplementary data. The most common risk factor was smoking (65%) followed by hypertension and dyslipidemia in 38% and 33%, respectively. One in every 4 patients was in the obesity range, and 29% reported a family history of premature CAD (AMI in first-degree male relatives aged < 55 years or female relatives aged < 65 years). All patients were of European ancestry and none of them had familial hypercholesterolemia according to the Dutch Lipid Clinic Network criteria (table 2 of the supplementary data).

ST-segment elevation AMI was the most frequent presentation accounting for 72% of cases. The median [IQR] hospitalization time was 2.5 [2-4] days. A total of 32% had severe nonculprit stenosis determined by invasive angiography, and 4% had 3-vessel disease. Discharge treatment met current guideline recommendations.

After a median [IQR] follow-up of 4.1 [3.5-4.4] years, there were 24 occurrences (30%) of the primary composite endpoint. Morbidity and mortality was almost exclusively cardiac. Three-year follow-up was completed in all participants, and among patients with recurrent cardiovascular events, the mean time to the primary endpoint was 1.1  $\pm$  0.8 years.

In the univariate analysis, a previous history of CAD (hazard ratio [HR], 3.4, 95% confidence interval [95%CI], 1.2-9.9; P = .02), dyslipidemia (HR, 5.0; 95%CI, 1.8-14.2; P = .001) and previous cocaine abuse (HR, 5.1, 95%CI, 1.7-15.1; P = .001) were significantly associated with the composite endpoint. Clinical features at admission that were associated with recurrent cardiovascular events were Killip class (HR, 2.3 per point increase; 95%CI, 1.4-3.7; P < .001), suboptimal revascularization defined as final TIMI (Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction) flow < 3 (HR, 8.9; 95%CI, 2.9-26.9; P = .01), a low hemoglobin count (HR, 1.4 per point decrease; 95%CI, 1.2-1.7; P < .001), and need of diuretic drugs during the index event (HR, 4.2; 95%CI, 1.5-11.3; P < .002).

A multivariate clinical model was constructed, testing all significant variables in the univariate analysis. Only GRACE risk score and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) levels remained in the final model, which showed an area under the curve of 0.78 for the prediction of the primary endpoint at 3 years in the ROC (receiver operating characteristic) curve.

# Genetics

The prevalence of each SNP that composed the GRS was evaluated in our population in comparison with a cohort of more than 15 400 exome sequences from unrelated individuals of European non-Finnish origin included in the Genome Aggregation Database (gnomAD). Our population had a consistently higher prevalence in 9 out of the 11 risk alleles analyzed (figure 1 of the supplementary data).

When the 8 SNPs and the 3 haplotype variants were individually examined, none of them were found to have statistical association with recurrent cardiovascular events after application of the Bonferroni correction for multiple hypotheses (table 3 of the supplementary data).

The mean GRS in our population was  $7.2 \pm 1.7$ . When divided into terciles based on GRS, the characteristics of the index event were not significantly different (table 2). As expected by design (ie, selection of SNP components in the Cardio inCode platform), GRS was not associated with the classic risk factors or a family history of premature CAD. The validated GRACE risk prediction score determined at inclusion did not differ depending on the genetic risk tercile.

We found a significant association between the GRS and recurrent cardiovascular events in the multivariate Cox model (which included GRACE and LDL-C) (table 3, results of the supplementary data and table 4 of the supplementary data). Kaplan-Meier curves showed a clear pattern toward higher recurrent cardiovascular events for intermediate and high-risk GRS patients (figure 2). LDL-C levels determined at baseline showed a strong interaction effect among patients with high-risk GRS and consequently, in this group, elevated levels of LDL-C increased their HR of recurrent cardiovascular events (figure 2 of the supplementary data). Among patients with baseline LDL- $C \ge 110 \text{ mg/dL}$  ( $\ge 2.8 \text{ mmol/L}$ ), the multivariable-adjusted HR for recurrent cardiovascular events was 10.2 (95%CI, 1.1-100.3; P = .04) for the intermediate genetic risk category and was 20.7 (2.4-181.0; P = .006) for the high-risk GRS compared with the lowrisk GRS category. In contrast, the genetic risk category did not contribute to the prediction of recurrences when LDL-C was < 110 mg/dL (2.8 mmol/L). There were no significant differences in absolute or relative LDL-C reduction at 6 months based on GRS tertile (table 5 of the supplementary data).

The addition of the GRS to the final multivariate clinical Cox model (which included GRACE risk score and LDL-C) showed an improvement in the area under the curve (0.83 vs 0.78) (figure 3A). The C-statistic for the clinical multivariate Cox model was 0.710, while the addition of the GRS increased it to 0.796 ( $\Delta$ c-statistic = 0.086; 95%CI, 0.006-0.187). The cNRI was 30% at 3 years (95%CI, 0-52%, *P* = .05) while the IDI showed a substantial incremental predictive ability (0.05) when the GRS was added to the clinical multivariate model (figure 3B and table 4).

#### Cardiac computed tomography

Cardiac CT was performed in 50 patients (62%). The CAC score was high (117  $\pm$  27), with only 30% of patients free of additional coronary calcification. More than two thirds showed a CAC percentile above 75, and 42% above 90. Extensive calcification (CAC score > 400) was observed in 14% of patients. Cardiac CT quality was considered optimal in most patients and only 9% were deemed nondiagnostic. Lesions that originated stenosis  $\geq$  25% were analyzed, resulting in a mean of 5.5 lesions per patient (median 4). Regarding plaque characteristics, only 29% of these lesions were considered "soft" or

# Table 2

Baseline patient characteristics according to their genetic risk score tercile (n=81)

	Total cohort	Low-risk GRS tertile	Intermedium-risk GRS tercile	High-risk GRS tercile	Р
Index event			·		
GRS	$\textbf{7.2}\pm\textbf{1.7}$	$5.5\pm0.8$	$7.4\pm0.6$	$9.5\pm0.7$	
Age, y	$48\pm 6$	$49\pm 6$	$47\pm7$	$48\pm 6$	.50
Male sex	71 (89)	27 (90)	28 (90)	16 (84)	.77
BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	$28.6 \pm 5.3$	$30.4\pm6.7$	$28.0\pm4.1$	$26.8\pm3.4$	.15
Previous CAD	6 (7)	2 (7)	3 (10)	1 (5)	.82
Hypertension	30 (38)	14 (47)	10 (32)	6 (32)	.42
Dyslipidemia	27 (33)	14 (47)	5 (16)	8 (42)	.03
Current smoking	53 (65)	18 (60)	22 (71)	13 (68)	.64
Family history of premature CAD	23 (29)	10 (33)	11 (36)	2 (11)	.15
AMI index event					
ST-segment elevation AMI	57 (71)	22 (73)	21 (68)	14 (74)	.85
Heart rate, bpm	$78\pm19$	$77\pm19$	81 ± 19	$76\pm18$	.68
Successful revascularization (TIMI 3)	76 (95)	29 (97)	28 (90)	19 (100)	.27
Killip class≥II	11 (14)	2 (7)	5 (16)	4 (21)	.32
GRACE risk score	$117\pm27$	$115\!\pm\!23$	$118\pm30$	$119\pm24$	.83
Laboratory parameters					
Hemoglobin, g/L	$15.1\pm1.6$	$15.2\pm1.8$	$15.0\pm1.4$	$14.9\pm1.5$	.78
GFR, mL/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup>	$97\pm24$	$94\pm25$	$98\pm25$	$103\pm20$	.40
Maximum troponin I, ng/mL	$48\pm 68$	$46\pm83$	$51\pm58$	$45\pm 61$	.95
Total cholesterol, mg/dL	$188\pm 45$	$194\pm45$	$178\pm45$	$197\pm44$	.27
LDL-C, mg/dL	$119\pm41$	$126\pm40$	116±44	$113\pm34$	.45
HDL-C, mg/dL	$38\pm11$	$39\pm10$	37±9	$38\pm12$	.79
Triglycerides, mg/dL	$145\pm96$	$144\pm78$	$134\pm67$	$173\pm155$	.45
MMP-1	$97\pm12$	$94\pm12$	$99 \pm 12$	$99\pm11$	.22
MMP-2	$12\pm8$	$12\pm8$	11±9	$14\pm9$	.43
MMP-7	$27\pm3$	$28\pm3$	27±3	$27\pm2$	.69
MMP-9	$184\pm77$	$181\pm69$	$183\pm84$	$191\pm81$	.91
MMP-10	$552\pm\!431$	$594\pm590$	$568\pm358$	$450\pm158$	.55
TIMP-1	$190\pm120$	$183\pm108$	$197 \pm 131$	$187\pm123$	.90
Cardiac CT (n = 50)					
CAC score	$117\pm27$	$162\pm232$	$417\pm881$	$178\pm276$	.40
CAC percentile above 90 <sup>17</sup>	40	40	38	42	.97
Number of coronary plaques	$5.5\pm4.9$	$4.7\pm4.6$	$6.6\pm5.5$	$5.3\pm4.6$	.55
Multivessel disease	71	71	69	75	.93
Echocardiographic findings					
LVEF at discharge, %	$57\pm11$	$58\pm11$	$55\pm10$	$58\pm11$	.59
Longitudinal strain, %	$13.0\pm3.4$	$11.2 \pm 3.5$	$14.1\pm2.7$	$14.2\pm3.8$	.06
Radial strain, %	$\textbf{27.7} \pm \textbf{9.6}$	$26.1\pm7.1$	$28.4\pm10.3$	$25.9 \pm 11.1$	.77
Circumferential strain, %	$26.7\pm 6.1$	$26.2\pm6.5$	$26.3\pm6.0$	$29.4\pm6.4$	.58
Medications at discharge					
β-blocker	69 (86)	28 (93)	27 (87)	14 (74)	.14
ACEI inhibitor or ARB	60 (75)	22 (73)	26 (84)	12 (63)	.25
Diuretic	8 (10)	2 (7)	3 (10)	3 (16)	.58
High intensity statin therapy	76 (95)	28 (93)	31 (100)	17 (90)	.22

ACEI, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; AMI, acute myocardial infarction; ARB, angiotensin-II receptor blocker; BMI, body mass index; CAC, coronary artery calcium; CAD, coronary artery disease; CT, computed tomography; GFR, glomerular filtration rate; GRS, genetic risk score; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; MMP, metalloproteinase; TIMI, Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction; TIMP-1, tissue inhibitor of matrix metalloproteinase 1.

Values are expressed as mean ± standard deviation for continuous variables and No. (%) for categorical variables.

# Table 3

Cox regression analysis between GRS terciles and recurrent risk of events

	Univariate analysis		Multivariate analysis		
	HR (95%CI)	Р		HR (95%CI)	P
GRS					
Low GRS	1			1	
Intermediate GRS	2.0 (0.7-5.8)	.21	LDL-C $\leq$ 110 mg/dL ( $\leq$ 2.8 mmol/L)	1.0 (0.3-4.0)	
			$>$ 110 mg/dL ( $\geq$ 2.8 mmol/L)	10.2 (1.1-100.3)	.04
High GRS	3.0 (1.0-9.2)	.05	LDL-C $\leq$ 110 mg/dL ( $\leq$ 2.8 mmol/L)	0.3 (0.1-1.9)	
			$>$ 110 mg/dL ( $\ge$ 2.8 mmol/L)	20.7 (2.4-181.0)	.006

95%CI, 95%, confidence interval; GRACE, Global Registry of Acute Coronary Events; GRS, genetic risk score; HR, hazard ratio; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol. \* In the multivariate model with GRS, the other covariates included were GRACE risk score, LDL-C and interaction between GRS terciles and LDL-C. There was a strong interaction between GRS terciles and LDL-C levels (*P* < .01).

#### Table 4

Evaluation of the incremental value of GRS to the multivariate model

	Estimate	95%CI	Р
C-statistic multivariate Cox model	0.710	0.62-0.79	-
C-statistic multivariate Cox model with GRS	0.796	0.70-0.89	-
$\Delta$ C-statistic	0.086	0.01-0.19	.04
cNRI, %	30	0-52	.05
IDI index	0.05	0.00-0.16	.049

95%CI, 95% confidence interval; cNRI, continuous net reclassification index; IDI, integrated discrimination improvement; GRS, genetic risk score.

The Table shows the c-statistic and the IDI index and the cNRI for comparing the 2 multivariate Cox regression models with vs without the GRS with censored survival data.

fibrous, whereas lesions with calcification constituted the majority, including mixed (26%) and purely calcified or "hard" plaques (45%). We found no association between the GRS profile and CAC score, the number of coronary stenosis assessed by CT, or multivessel disease by invasive coronary angiography.

There was an interesting trend between multivessel disease as assessed by CT and recurrent cardiovascular events (HR, 2.1; 95%CI, 0.9-4.8; P = .07), which was not detected with CAC score or multivessel significant stenosis assessed by invasive angiography.

#### Markers of extracellular matrix metabolism

Patients with a more severe myocardial infarction, as determined by Killip-Kimbal class II or above, showed higher values of MMP-7 and TIMP-1 in the acute phase than those with Killip I ( $30.1 \pm 5.1$  vs  $26.6 \pm 1.9$ ; P = .04 and  $322 \pm 221$  vs  $166 \pm 72$ ; P = .04, respectively). In addition, there was a significant negative correlation between left ventricular ejection fraction and MMP-7, MMP-10 and TIMP-1. However, we found no association between MMPs and other clinical variables indicating a more extensive atherosclerosis by calcium score, number of coronary segments with stenosis or with the GRS. In the multivariate Cox model, MMPs were not independent predictors of recurrent cardiovascular events.



Figure 2. Incidence of recurrent events over time with the Kaplan-Meier method for low, intermediate and high-risk genetic risk score (GRS).



**Figure 3.** A: receiver operating characteristic curves for the multivariate Cox model (including GRACE risk score and LDL-C) in red and for the multivariate model with the addition of the GRS in blue. B: empirical distribution function of  $^{\text{D}}$  for  $T^{0} \leq t_{0}$  (thick solid line) and  $T^{0} > t_{0}$  (thin solid line) for assessing IDI and cNRI, as described by Uno et al<sup>18</sup>.  $T^{0}$  denotes event time, while  $t_{0}$  reflects a particular time point. Patients who have an event by  $t_{0}$  can be defined as cases (ie,  $T^{0} \leq t_{0}$ ) and those who do not as controls (ie,  $T^{0} > t_{0}$ ).  $^{\text{D}}$  denotes the change between the 2 models and visually assesses improvement in risk prediction systems (see methods of the supplementary data). AUC, area under the curve; cNRI, continuous net reclassification improvement; GRACE, Global Registry of Acute Coronary Events; GRS, genetic risk score; IDI, integrated discrimination improvement; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol.

# DISCUSSION

The major finding of our study is that the implementation of a GRS after an AMI in young nondiabetic patients may have clinical utility for the prediction of recurrent cardiovascular events independently of other clinical variables. Remarkably, the relevance of a moderate or high-risk GRS was limited to patients with high LDL-C, which acted as modifier. Conversely, among patients with high LDL-C, a poor genetic background could boost their recurrence risk compared with a more favorable genetic score. Therefore, patients with elevated LDL-C could benefit most from genetic testing, validating for the first time a hypothesis that remained unconfirmed.<sup>19</sup> Hence, the possibility to detect individuals at higher risk represents an actionable goal to tailor more aggressive secondary therapy.

# Genetics

In our analysis, a well validated GRS composed of 11 genetic variants, including 8 SNPs and 1 haplotype was predictive of recurrent cardiovascular events during a 4.1 year follow-up after an AMI in young nondiabetic patients. A poor genetic background was associated with a higher risk of the primary endpoint, which was markedly increased when LDL-C levels were raised, a hypothesis that has been recently proposed.<sup>19</sup> Inclusion of the GRS improved the C-statistic ( $\Delta$ C-statistic = 0.086) in a model adjusted for GRACE risk score and LDL-C. The population undergoing genetic testing had a high likelihood of genetic susceptibility for CAD, reflected in the almost 2-fold higher prevalence of most of the risk alleles variants analyzed compared with the general population of the same ethnicity. While the clinical usefulness of this GRS for prediction of incident CAD had already been tested, its use in secondary prevention was mainly unknown.

SNPs arising from large-scale GWAS are primarily related to atherosclerotic disease mediated through risk factors, and, to a lesser degree, directly to AMI.<sup>20</sup> Pathophysiological findings underlying CAD and AMI differ, with a greater influence of thrombosis in AMI.<sup>2</sup> This might partially explain the different results seen in primary and secondary prevention. The SNPs included in our study act either independently of classic risk factors or their mechanism was unknown.<sup>3</sup>

Studies evaluating the use of individual SNPs for the prediction of recurrent cardiovascular events after an ACS initially showed good predictive capacity but they usually lacked subsequent confirmation. In one study, <sup>21</sup> an individual SNP in the 9p21 locus was initially associated with recurrences after AMI, but a later meta-analysis excluded any significant influence for the risk of recurrence.<sup>22</sup> Another single risk variant identified in the ABO gene weakly improved a clinical risk prediction model for recurrences in a different study,<sup>23</sup> but this finding has not been subsequently replicated.<sup>24</sup>

SNPs associated with CAD are common, and individually they only account for a small increase in risk. This has led to the development of GRS composed of multiple SNPs. Only a few studies have tested GRS for secondary prevention with conflicting evidence. Labos et al.<sup>25</sup> were unable to find an association between a 30-SNP GRS and early recurrent events (< 1 year after ACS). Although these authors conclude that the etiology of early events may differ from that of later events, limiting the use of genetic testing, there are some possible explanations for this outcome: *a*) the variants that composed this GRS mainly covered genes associated with atherothrombosis, which is one of the main targets of drugs used after AMI; it is plausible that once specific therapy has been established, the variant-associated risk might be subjugated<sup>20</sup>; b) the GRS lacked a previous validation in the general population, and all the SNPs were derived from GWAS studies, which may account for only 10% of genetic susceptibility.<sup>2</sup> In our study, we intentionally used a GRS previously validated for CAD but initially unrelated to risk factors. Mega et al.<sup>19</sup> found an association between genetic risk categories based on a 27-SNP GRS and incident and recurrent events among patients included in statin clinical trials. The benefits of statins were greater in patients with intermediate or high genetic risk. These authors suggest that a high genetic burden should constitute a distinct focus of attention, since their risk of recurrences is increased and their benefit from therapy is greater. Our results are in line with these findings, and they replicate for the first time the ability of GRS to predict recurrent cardiovascular events linking the effect with dyslipidemia. Finally, Vaara et al.<sup>24</sup> evaluated 2 GRS based on 47 and 153 SNPs respectively; whereas GRS47 showed some positive results, GRS153 did not, none of them were associated with the composite endpoint, and they did not substantially improve risk prediction models; these authors mention as a limitation the reduced number of young patients in whom the association might be stronger.

Several factors may have influenced the different outcomes: first, the heterogeneity of populations and variable follow-up; second, GRS composed of a higher number of variants cover a larger proportion of genetic predisposition, but they have consistently shown worse predictive ability<sup>3</sup> and, finally, although most studies have used a composite outcome, they vary in their definition of recurrences, which limits comparisons.

Similar to prior studies, we found no association between a family history of CAD and GRS, which reflects the value of genomic data beyond self-reported family history.<sup>3</sup>

#### Cardiac computed tomography

Calcification and multisegment and/or multivessel disease were higher than previously reported in this population compared with other studies using invasive coronary angiography to characterize nonculprit lesions. This may reflect the fact that even in young patients with an acute clinical onset, subclinical atherosclerosis has a long-standing course. The clinical value of cardiac CT for patients with ACS is limited: while the number of coronary plaques showed a trend toward a higher risk of events, the calcium score did not. This probably reflects the usual pathway of ACS, with plaque rupture of lipid-rich nonstenotic lesions rather than chronic and calcified lesions. We found no association between the number of risk alleles and baseline coronary calcium score or number the plaques detected by CT in the first common description in the literature among patients with AMI.

#### Extracellular matrix metabolism

MMPs are mediators of plaque rupture and atherothrombosis. They are increased after myocardial infarction and have been proposed as predictors of heart failure and adverse remodelling.<sup>26</sup> In our study, MMP-7, MMP-10 and TIMP-1 were associated with a more severe myocardial infarction in the acute phase but did not predict left ventricular recovery or recurrences during follow-up.

The strengths of our study include a homogeneous population with a high likelihood of genetic predisposition, comprehensive clinical and biohumoral information, and complete follow-up. Cardiac CT with calcium score and plaque analysis offers an unprecedented vehicle for characterization of underlying disease linked to patients' genetic profile.

#### Limitations

This study has some limitations. First, because of the highly restrictive selection criteria, the number of patients is relatively low; selection was based on previous observations that younger individuals are more prone to have genetic contributors to their recurrence risk<sup>27</sup>; therefore the results may not be generalizable to the whole spectrum of ages, diabetic population, or different ethnicities. Second, we used a composite endpoint, similar to comparable studies, but exposed to include events not genetically-driven. Third, the high use of optimal medical therapy resulted in fewer follow-up events than expected.

#### CONCLUSIONS

A GRS that combined 11 SNPs identified individuals at highest risk of long-term recurrences among young nondiabetic patients with myocardial infarction and improved clinical risk stratification models. Patients with high LDL-C may derive the greatest benefit from genetic testing. Cardiac CT detected a calcified substrate with numerous atherosclerotic plaques but had limited value in longterm risk prediction.

#### **FUNDING**

This study was supported by the *Instituto de Salud Carlos III* (PI12/0564, PI14/01152 and PI15/00667), the CIBERCV (CB16/11/ 00250), the Spanish Society of Cardiology (2015/Cardiología clínica) and *Fundación Eugenio Rodríguez Pascual*.

#### **CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

None declared.

# WHAT IS KNOWN ABOUT THE TOPIC?

- Dozens of common genetic variants are associated with CAD, each leading to a small increase in risk. GRS have been generated as a summation of multiple loci to provide an estimate of individual-level risk. GRS have been positively tested in the general population to assess the risk of a first cardiovascular event. However, the usefulness of genetic risk markers for the prediction of recurrent events among patients with a prior myocardial infarction remains largely unknown.

# WHAT DOES THIS STUDY ADD?

- This is the first series in the Spanish population to study a multilocus GRS in a homogeneous group of young patients with a high likelihood of a genetic predisposition to recurrence who had already had a myocardial infarction. The implementation of the GRS was clinically useful for the prediction of recurrent cardiovascular events independently of other variables and improved clinical risk stratification models. This study serves as a proof of concept for the use of genetic tools in secondary prevention after a myocardial infarction.

# APPENDIX. SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version available at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rec.2019. 08.006

# REFERENCES

- 1. Benjamin EJ, Virani SS, Callaway CW, et al. Heart Disease and Stroke Statistics– 2018 Update: A Report From the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2018;137:e67–e492.
- 2. Roberts R, Genetic Risk. Stratification. Circulation. 2018;137:2554–2556.
- Iribarren C, Lu M, Jorgenson E, et al. Clinical Utility of Multimarker Genetic Risk Scores for Prediction of Incident Coronary Heart Disease: A Cohort Study among over 51 000 Individuals of European Ancestry. Circ Cardiovasc Genet. 2016;9:531– 540.
- Piepoli MF, Hoes AW, Agewall S, et al. 2016 European Guidelines on cardiovascular disease prevention in clinical practice. *Eur Heart J.* 2016;37:2315–2381.
- Ibanez B, James S, Agewall S, et al. 2017 ESC Guidelines for the management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with ST-segment elevation: The Task Force for the management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with ST-segment elevation of the European Socie. *Eur Heart J.* 2018;39:119–177.

- 6. Doughty M. Acute myocardial infarction in the young— The University of Michigan experience. *Am Heart J.* 2002;143:56–62.
- Malmberg K, Båvenholm P, Hamsten A. Clinical and biochemical factors associated with prognosis after myocardial infarction at a young age. J Am Coll Cardiol. 1994;24:592–599.
- Rubin JB, Borden WB. Coronary heart disease in young adults. *Curr Atheroscler Rep.* 2012;14:140–149.
- Fox KAA, FitzGerald G, Puymirat E, et al. Should patients with acute coronary disease be stratified for management according to their risk? Derivation, external validation and outcomes using the updated GRACE risk score. *BMJ Open.* 2014;4:e004425.
- Ganna A, Magnusson PKE, Pedersen NL, et al. Multilocus genetic risk scores for coronary heart disease prediction. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2013;33:2267–2272.
- 11. Brautbar A, Pompeii LA, Dehghan A, et al. A genetic risk score based on direct associations with coronary heart disease improves coronary heart disease risk prediction in the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC), but not in the Rotterdam and Framingham Offspring, Studies. *Atherosclerosis*. 2012;223:421–426.
- Cole JH, Miller JI, Sperling LS, Weintraub WS. Long-term follow-up of coronary artery disease presenting in young adults. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2003;41:521–528.
- Helgadottir A, Manolescu A, Thorleifsson G, et al. The gene encoding 5-lipoxygenase activating protein confers risk of myocardial infarction and stroke. *Nat Genet*. 2004;36:233–239.
- Huang H, Zeng Z, Li J, Zhang L, Chen Y. Variants of arachidonate 5-lipoxygenaseactivating protein (ALOX5AP) gene and risk of coronary heart disease: A metaanalysis. Arch Med Res. 2010;41:634–641.
- Tsai AK, Li N, Hanson NQ, Tsai MY, Tang W. Associations of genetic polymorphisms of arachidonate 5-lipoxygenase-activating protein with risk of coronary artery disease in a European-American population. *Atherosclerosis*. 2009;207:487–491.
- Wigginton JE, Cutler DJ, Abecasis GR. A note on exact tests of Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2005;76:887–893.
- Carr JJ, Nelson JC, Wong ND, et al. Calcified coronary artery plaque measurement with cardiac CT in population-based studies: standardized protocol of

Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA) and Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults (CARDIA) study. *Radiology*. 2005;234:35–43.

- Uno H, Tian L, Cai T, Kohane IS, Wei LJ. A unified inference procedure for a class of measures to assess improvement in risk prediction systems with survival data. *Stat Med.* 2013;32:2430–2442.
- **19.** Mega JL, Stitziel NO, Smith JG, et al. Genetic risk, coronary heart disease events, and the clinical benefit of statin therapy: An analysis of primary and secondary prevention trials. *Lancet.* 2015;385:2264–2271.
- Assimes TL, Roberts R. Genetics: Implications for Prevention and Management of Coronary Artery Disease. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2016;68:2797–2818.
- Buysschaert I, Carruthers KF, Dunbar DR, et al. A variant at chromosome 9p21 is associated with recurrent myocardial infarction and cardiac death after acute coronary syndrome: The GRACE genetics study. Eur Heart J. 2010;31:1132–1141.
- 22. Gong Y, Beitelshees AL, Cooper-DeHoff RM, et al. Chromosome 9p21 haplotypes and prognosis in white and black patients with coronary artery disease. *Circ Cardiovasc Genet*. 2011;4:169–178.
- 23. Wauters E, Carruthers KF, Buysschaert I, et al. Influence of 23 coronary artery disease variants on recurrent myocardial infarction or cardiac death: The GRACE Genetics Study. Eur Heart J. 2013;34:993–1001.
- Vaara S, Tikkanen E, Parkkonen O, et al. Genetic Risk Scores Predict Recurrence of Acute Coronary Syndrome. Circ Cardiovasc Genet. 2016;9:172–178.
- Labos C, Martinez SC, Leo Wang RH, et al. Utility of a genetic risk score to predict recurrent cardiovascular events 1 year after an acute coronary syndrome: A pooled analysis of the RISCA, PRAXY, and TRIUMPH cohorts. *Atherosclerosis*. 2015;242:261–267.
- DeLeon-Pennell KY, Meschiari CA, Jung M, Lindsey ML. Matrix Metalloproteinases in Myocardial Infarction and Heart Failure. Prog Mol Biol Transl Sci. 2017;75–100.
- Topol EJ, McCarthy J, Gabriel S, et al. Single nucleotide polymorphisms in multiple novel thrombospondin genes may be associated with familial premature myocardial infarction. *Circulation*. 2001;104:2641–2644.