

- in patients with heart failure and reduced ejection fraction. *Rev Esp Cardiol.* 2021;74:1117–1119.
2. Di Marco A, Brown PF, Bradley J, et al. Improved Risk Stratification for Ventricular Arrhythmias and Sudden Death in Patients With Nonischemic Dilated Cardiomyopathy. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2021;77:2890–2905.
  3. Zegard A, Okafor O, de Bono J, et al. Myocardial Fibrosis as a Predictor of Sudden Death in Patients With Coronary Artery Disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2021;77:29–41.
  4. Andreu D, Ortiz-Pérez JT, Boussy T, et al. Usefulness of contrast-enhanced cardiac magnetic resonance in identifying the ventricular arrhythmia substrate and the approach needed for ablation. *Eur Heart J.* 2014;35:1316–1326.
  5. Acosta J, Fernández-Armenta J, Borràs R, et al. Scar Characterization to Predict Life-Threatening Arrhythmic Events and Sudden Cardiac Death in Patients With Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy: The GAUDI-CRT Study. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging.* 2018;11:561–572.
  6. Linhart M, Doltra A, Acosta J, et al. Ventricular arrhythmia risk is associated with myocardial scar but not with response to cardiac resynchronization therapy. *Europace.* 2020;22:1391–1400.

## **“Echocardiographic response” to sacubitril-valsartan: does it decrease defibrillation implantation, as well as the incidence of malignant arrhythmias? Response**



### **«Respuesta ecocardiográfica» al sacubitrilo-valsartán: disminución de la implantación de desfibriladores, pero ¿también de la incidencia de arritmias malignas? Respuesta**

#### **To the Editor,**

We greatly appreciate the letter by Jáuregui et al.<sup>1</sup> regarding our recent publication. However, we would like to take the opportunity to clarify certain points.

Due to the retrospective and observational design of our study, we agree that causality cannot be assumed. Patients who were lost to follow-up discontinued sacubitril-valsartan (SV) early, or died before completing titration were excluded because no follow-up evaluation to assess the impact of SV can be made in these circumstances. Of 30 patients excluded, 7 patients (23%) died before completing titration. All of them died due to heart failure progression. No other exclusion criteria related to patients' risk were used.

Currently, SV is an essential part of heart failure treatment because of its proven prognostic benefit in reducing cardiovascular death, including sudden cardiac death and arrhythmic events.<sup>2,3</sup> The prognostic benefits of SV are probably mediated by reduced wall stress, ventricular dilatation, cardiomyocyte injury, hypertrophy, and fibrosis, which are factors related to arrhythmias.<sup>2,4</sup> Therefore, through these positive effects on reverse remodelling, myocardial stretch and fibrosis progression, the arrhythmic risk might be modified by SV treatment.<sup>3</sup> Of note, some of the recent studies evaluating arrhythmic risk cited by Jáuregui et al. included patients from retrospective cohorts who were not treated with SV.<sup>5</sup>

Interestingly, the authors focus on the fact that accurate arrhythmic risk stratification, especially in dilated cardiomyopathy, may include parameters other than left ventricular ejection fraction  $\leq 35\%$  as late-gadolinium enlargement detected by cardiac magnetic resonance.<sup>5</sup> Although this new approach is exciting and will probably change future clinical practice, it is not yet validated in external populations or included in current guideline recommendations. In our opinion, these new clinical algorithms of arrhythmic risk stratification are not incompatible with the fact that heart failure disease-modifying therapies such as SV should be implemented as early as possible and preferably before consideration of implantation of cardiac devices.

#### **FUNDING**

This research received no external funding.

#### **AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTION**

L.C. Belarte-Tornero and N. Farré contributed to the conceptualization and writing of the manuscript. All authors discussed and approved the final version of the manuscript.

#### **CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

L.C. Belarte-Tornero report grants, honoraria for lectures and support for attending meeting from Novartis, Rovi, and AstraZeneca. N. Farré report honoraria for lectures from Novartis and Rovi.

Laia Carla Belarte-Tornero,<sup>a,b,\*</sup> Diana Mojón,<sup>c</sup> and Núria Farré<sup>a,b,d</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Unidad de Insuficiencia Cardiaca, Departamento de Cardiología, Hospital del Mar, Barcelona, Spain

<sup>b</sup>Grup de Recerca Biomèdica en Malalties del Cor (GREC) de l'Institut del Mar d'Investigacions Mèdiques (IMIM), Barcelona, Spain

<sup>c</sup>Departamento de Cardiología, Hospital del Mar, Barcelona, Spain

<sup>d</sup>Departamento de Medicina, Facultad de Medicina, Universidad Autónoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

\* Corresponding author:

E-mail address: [lbelarte@psmar.cat](mailto:lbelarte@psmar.cat) (L.C. Belarte-Tornero).

Available online 09 October 2021

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rec.2021.09.007>

1885-5857/ © 2021 Sociedad Española de Cardiología. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.

SEE RELATED CONTENT:

<http://doi.org/10.1016/j.rec.2021.08.007>

#### **REFERENCES**

1. Belarte-Tornero LC, Mojón D, Solé-González E, Ruiz-Bustillo S, Valdivielso-More S, Farré N. Sacubitril-valsartan modifies the indication of cardiac implantable devices in patients with heart failure and reduced ejection fraction. *Rev Esp Cardiol.* 2021;74:1117–1119.
2. Docherty KF, Vaduganathan M, Solomon SD, McMurray JJV. Sacubitril/Valsartan [JACC: Heart Failure]. 2020;8:800–810.
3. Sarrias A, Bayes-Genis A. Is Sacubitril/Valsartan (Also) an Antiarrhythmic Drug? *Circulation.* 2018;138:551–553.
4. Sabbah HN. Silent disease progression in clinically stable heart failure: Silent disease progression in clinically stable heart failure. *Eur J Heart Fail.* 2017;19:469–478.
5. Di Marco A, Brown PF, Bradley J, et al. Improved Risk Stratification for Ventricular Arrhythmias and Sudden Death in Patients With Nonischemic Dilated Cardiomyopathy. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2021;77:2890–2905.