Clinical Characteristics and Head-Up Tilt Test Results With Three Protocols in 1661 Patients With Syncope
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Because of the absence of a uniform protocol for the head-up tilt table test (HUT), we compared 1,661 consecutive patients with syncope referred for HUT. The influence of age and gender on the results (positive response rate and patterns) obtained with three different protocols, Westminster, isoproterenol and nitroglycerin (groups A, B and C) was analyzed. The proportion of women was larger in the youngest age group. A positive response to HUT was observed in 592 patients. The positive response rate to the HUT was higher in groups B and C than in group A, and the rate diminished with age in groups A and C, because of the decrease in mixed-positive responses, but not in group B. The rate of positive responses was similar in groups A and C, but different in group B; no influence of gender on these results was observed. The results with the Westminster and nitroglycerin protocols were similar, but the rate of positive responses was higher in the latter.
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INTRODUCTION

Syncope is a common medical problem whose diagnosis is established from clinical data. The head-up tilt-table test (HUT) is often used as a diagnostic tool and is accepted and recommended by all cardiologists.1 Almost all groups use one of three HUT protocols: Westminster drug-free protocol, HUT with isoproterenol or HUT with nitroglycerin. Although different responses occur during HUT2 depending on age,3-5 the influence of sex and age in the different protocols has received little attention.6-8 The aims of this study were to a) compare results of HUT using the three most common protocols; b) determine whether there were differences in the three protocols according to sex, and c) investigate the influence of age on positive responses in each protocol.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Study design

In a manner compliant with the usual standard ethical criteria of our institution, we included all patients with syncope who were referred to our cardiology service for HUT from September 1990. Patients underwent prior medical examination and blood test results were all normal. Neither
electrocardiogram (ECG) nor chest x-ray suggested any cause of the syncope or were sufficient to provide a definitive diagnosis. The study was done in accordance with the usual procedures in our service. Patients were divided into four arbitrary age groups, representing four different stages in life, for later analysis.

**Tilt table test**

After giving written informed consent, patients underwent HUT. Each patient was included in one of the three diagnostic protocols depending on the date of the examination. From September 1990 to April 2000 patients underwent HUT according to the Westminster protocol (Group A), except those patients examined during 1994, for whom the isoproterenol protocol was used (Group B). From April 2000 all patients were studied with the nitroglycerin protocol (Group C).

**Statistical analysis**

Continuous variables that did not show a normal distribution were expressed as the median (interquartile range) and analyzed with the Mann-Whitney and Kruskall-Wallis tests. Comparisons between Groups A, B and C or between age groups were made with $\chi^2$ test for qualitative variables and the Kruskall-Wallis test for quantitative variables. Data were analyzed with version 11.0 of the SPSS (SPSS, Chicago, Illinois) and $P$ values <.05 were considered significant.

**RESULTS**

Tilt table testing was performed on a total of 1661 consecutive patients (770 women; 46.3%) with a median age of 45 years (range, 23-62 years). Testing was done with the Westminster protocol in 1091 patients, with isoproterenol in 158 and with nitroglycerin in 412. The 3 groups were similar (Table 1) and there were no complications. Grouping by age (Table 2) showed that there were more women in the younger age group ($P=.0001$), that younger patients had had more episodes of syncope than older patients ($P=.0001$), and that their duration of symptoms was greater, especially the young adults ($P=.0001$).

A total of 592 (35.6%) patients had a positive response during HUT. The percentage of positive responses in Group A was lower than in Groups B and C (25.4%, 53.7% and 55.5%, respectively; $P=.0001$). A mixed-positive response was the most common in all three groups, followed by hypotension, cardio-inhibition and «other responses» (Table 3). The proportion of positive responses was similar for Groups A and C but different for Group B (Figure 1).

Analysis according to sex showed the same percentage of positive responses for both men and women ($P=.09$). The overall reduction in the percentage of positive responses in Group A compared to Groups B and C was also present in women (25.7%, 49.3% and 60.8%; $P=.0001$) and men (23.2%, 58% and 50.5%; $P=.0001$). There were no differences in the proportion of positive responses according to sex when the patients were grouped by age ($P=.2$).

Patients with a positive response to HUT were younger than those with a negative response (38 years [range, 20-59] versus 47 years [range, 26-64]; $P=.0001$). The percentage of positive responses fell as age increased.

**ABREVIATURAS**

TTB: test de tabla basculante. RP: respuesta positiva.
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age increased in the four age groups (50.1%, 37.3%, 33.2%, and 28.4%; \( P = .000001 \)), due mainly to a reduction in mixed-positive responses (\( P = .02 \)). The reductions in the other positive responses were not significant (cardio-inhibitory, \( P = .6 \); hypotensive, \( P = .14 \); others, \( P = .16 \)) (Table 4). The percentage of positive responses fell with age in Groups A and C but not in Group B (Figure 2).

In patients tested with the nitroglycerin protocol there was a nonsignificant age-related increase of a particular type of negative response to HUT sometimes called «exaggerated» by some investigators\(^{11} \) (1/15, 8/45, 7/57 and 16/66; \( P = .58 \)).

**DISCUSSION**

The two main findings of this study were the differences in the number and type of positive response to HUT in men and women according to the protocol, and the reduction in positive responses with advancing age in two of the three protocols.

Positive responses were more frequent in patients tested with protocols that used a drug. However, the percentage frequencies of the types of positive response were similar with the use of nitroglycerin and with the Westminster protocol (Figure 1). The differences observed with isoproterenol (absence of a reduction with age and different proportions in the type of positive response) may be partly explained by the presence of false positive responses. The differences between protocols may also represent a certain lack of specificity in older patients, and thus support the need for prospective, randomized studies including control groups, with medium-term follow-up, to confirm the diagnoses.

To date no studies have compared the nitroglycerin protocol over different stages of life; indeed, even though its use is becoming more common its methodology is still being refined.\(^{12} \) Furthermore, few data exist concerning the use of nitroglycerin for HUT in older patients,\(^{13} \) in whom it appears to have greater sensitivity than isoproterenol and fewer adverse side-

**TABLE 3. Type of response during the head-up tilt-table test (HUT) in the study group according to age**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>Total (n=1661)</th>
<th>TTB - (n=1069)</th>
<th>HUT + (n=592)</th>
<th>HUT+mixed (n=303)</th>
<th>HUT+cardio (n=113)</th>
<th>HUT+hypo (n=148)</th>
<th>HUT+other (n=28)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;20 years</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>136 (49.9%)</td>
<td>137 (50.1%)</td>
<td>84 (61.3%)</td>
<td>28 (20.4%)</td>
<td>23 (16.7%)</td>
<td>2 (1.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-40 years</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>255 (62.6%)</td>
<td>152 (37.3%)</td>
<td>68 (44.7%)</td>
<td>33 (21.7%)</td>
<td>42 (27.6%)</td>
<td>9 (5.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-60 years</td>
<td>503</td>
<td>336 (66.7%)</td>
<td>167 (33.2%)</td>
<td>88 (52.6%)</td>
<td>28 (16.7%)</td>
<td>40 (23.9%)</td>
<td>11 (6.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;60 years</td>
<td>478</td>
<td>342 (71.5%)</td>
<td>136 (28.4%)</td>
<td>63 (46.3%)</td>
<td>24 (17.6%)</td>
<td>43 (31.6%)</td>
<td>6 (4.4%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as the number of patients and (percentage). Mixed indicates mixed response; cardio, cardio-inhibitory response; hypo, hypotensive response; other, other responses.

**Fig. 1.** Differences between percentages in the type of positive response during head-up tilt-table test (HUT) using three HUT protocols.

Group A: patients tested with the Westminster protocol.
Group B: patients tested with the isoproterenol protocol.
Group C: patients tested with the nitroglycerin protocol.
effects.\textsuperscript{14} Our study demonstrates that the nitroglycerin protocol is associated with more positive responses than the Westminster protocol but, like the latter, the percentage of positive responses decreases with age and the types of positive response are similar. These findings suggest that the nitroglycerin protocol is as "physiological" as the Westminster protocol and support its use in the clinical setting.

As well as previously reported data and the findings of this study, in addition to the findings of our study, other reasons support the use of the nitroglycerin protocol for HUT: \textit{a}) provocación with isoproterenol is poorly tolerated by older persons;\textsuperscript{14} \textit{b}) intravenous cannulation is not always recommended for HUT in older patients;\textsuperscript{15} \textit{c}) the cost of nitroglycerin is less than 1\% that of isoproterenol, and \textit{d}) nitroglycerin is safe, well-tolerated and has adequate specificity and sensitivity.\textsuperscript{11,14,16}

---

**TABLA 4. Tipos de respuestas divididas según protocolo y grupos de edad**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>&lt; 20 años</th>
<th>21-40 años</th>
<th>41-60 años</th>
<th>&gt; 60 años</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grupo A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTB – (n = 813)</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTB + mixta (n =138) (49,6%)</td>
<td>45 (59%)</td>
<td>34 (46,5%)</td>
<td>32 (50%)</td>
<td>27 (41,5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTB + cardio (n = 64) (23%)</td>
<td>19 (25%)</td>
<td>13 (20,3%)</td>
<td>14 (21,5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTB + hipot (n = 62) (22,3%)</td>
<td>12 (16%)</td>
<td>13 (20,3%)</td>
<td>20 (30,7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTB + otras (n = 14) (5%)</td>
<td>4 (5,4%)</td>
<td>6 (9,3%)</td>
<td>4 (6,1%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grupo B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTB – (n = 73)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTB + mixta (n = 42) (49,4%)</td>
<td>12 (70,5%)</td>
<td>3 (11,1%)</td>
<td>4 (4,1%)</td>
<td>1 (11,7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTB + cardio (n = 12) (14,1%)</td>
<td>2 (11,7%)</td>
<td>3 (11,1%)</td>
<td>5 (20,8%)</td>
<td>2 (11,7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTB + hipot (n = 27) (31,7%)</td>
<td>3 (17,6%)</td>
<td>10 (37%)</td>
<td>3 (33,3%)</td>
<td>6 (35,2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTB + otras (n = 4) (4,7%)</td>
<td>1 (3,7%)</td>
<td>1 (4,1%)</td>
<td>2 (11,7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grupo C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTB – (n = 183)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTB + mixta (n = 123) (53,7%)</td>
<td>27 (61,3%)</td>
<td>21 (40,3%)</td>
<td>46 (58,2%)</td>
<td>29 (53,7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTB + cardio (n = 37) (16,1%)</td>
<td>7 (15,9%)</td>
<td>12 (23%)</td>
<td>10 (12,6%)</td>
<td>8 (14,8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTB + hipot (n = 59) (25,7%)</td>
<td>8 (18,1%)</td>
<td>15 (28,8%)</td>
<td>19 (24%)</td>
<td>17 (31,4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTB + otras (n = 10) (4,3%)</td>
<td>2 (4,5%)</td>
<td>1 (7,6%)</td>
<td>4 (5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Los datos se expresan como número de pacientes y (porcentaje). Mixta: respuesta mixta; cardio: respuesta cardioinhibitoria; hipot: respuesta hipotensiva; otras: otras respuestas.

---

**Fig. 2.** Percentage of positive responses to the head-up tilt-table test (HUT) with three protocols according to pre-defined age group.

Group A: patients tested with the Westminster protocol.

Group B: patients tested with the isoproterenol protocol.

Group C: patients tested with the nitroglycerin protocol.

Mixed: mixed response; Cardio-inhibitory: cardio-inhibitory response; Hypotensive: hypotensive response; Other: other responses.

Data are expressed as percentages.
The percentage of «exaggerated» responses varies from 4%-21%, but so far no differences regarding age have been reported. As Bartoletti et al note, the clinical importance of this response is unclear as it is also seen in control subjects (range, 0%-30%). The increased frequency of these responses depending on age may explain, at least in part, the reduced percentage of positive responses with this protocol in our study.

LIMITATIONS

The study was not randomized. Given the lack of reproducibility of HUT when repeated with the same patient, the different protocols cannot be compared in the same patients. Our data were collected chronologically and methods were largely based on our own experience. No follow-up data are available for our patients and we were unable to quantify false-positive responses.
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