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Transient Left Ventricular Apical Ballooning Without Coronary Stenoses Syndrome: Importance of the Intraventricular Pressure Gradient
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A syndrome of transient apical ballooning without coronary stenosis, which mimics acute myocardial infarction, was recently described. Although several possible etiologic mechanisms have been proposed and investigated, the precise cause remains unclear. We describe 3 cases of transient left ventricular apical ballooning without coronary stenosis, and discuss the etiology of this syndrome, in particular the possible role of a transient intraventricular gradient.
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INTRODUCTION

In most cases acute myocardial infarction (AMI) is caused by thrombotic occlusion of a coronary artery and its treatment is based on early reperfusion. Not all AMIs have this etiology, however, and not all benefit from the same treatment.1 A recently described syndrome mimicking AMI, known as transient apical ballooning, is characterized by dyskinesia of the apical portion of the left ventricle without coronary stenosis.2-4 Several possible causes have been proposed for this syndrome, which is often triggered by situations involving physical or psychological stress and adrenergic discharge. These include myocardial “stunning” of neurogenic origin, coronary spasm, alterations in coronary microcirculation, and myocarditis.2,3 Nevertheless, its true cause is still uncertain.

Transient intraventricular gradients have been detected in many of these patients and their possible role as a cause or a consequence of transient apical ballooning syndrome has also been the subject of discussion.2,5 We describe three new cases of transient apical ballooning and discuss the etiology of this condition, in particular the possible role of a transient intraventricular gradient as the initial causative mechanism in some of these cases.

Case 1

A 76-year-old woman with hypertension and chronic atrial fibrillation under treatment with diltiazem and oral anticoagulant agents experienced intense retrosternal pain with considerable nausea and sweating after hearing about the death of a relative. The
electrocardiogram (ECG) showed anterior ST segment elevation. On the basis of suspected AMI in a patient under anticoagulation therapy, she was referred for primary angioplasty. Catheterization showed apical ballooning (Figure 1), without coronary artery lesions. Echocardiography performed 24 hours later revealed anteroseptal, medial and apical akinesia, an ejection fraction of 35% and no evidence of intraventricular gradient. Cardiac enzymes were not elevated. The patient was treated with enalapril, amlodipine and pravastatin, and 100 mg of acetylsalicylic acid was added to her anticoagulant treatment. One month later the patient was asymptomatic, the ballooning had disappeared, and overall and regional ventricular function were normal on the echocardiogram.

Case 2

A 78-year-old woman with hypertension came to the hospital for acute epigastric pain of 12 hours’ duration. The ECG showed anterior wall ST segment elevation (Figure 2). She presented melena and a hemoglobin level of 8 g/L. After transfusion of 2 units of packed RBCs, the symptoms disappeared and the ECG evidenced extensive anterior subepicardial ischemia. The troponin I concentration was 8.5 ng/mL. The echocardiogram showed anteroapical akinesia and dynamic subaortic obstruction with a peak gradient of 42 mm Hg (Figure 3). Catheterization performed 5 days later showed normal coronary arteries and apical ballooning; an intraventricular gradient was not detected at that time. The patient was treated with carvedilol, clopidogrel and gastroprotective agents. She has remained asymptomatic after 15 months of follow-up, with normalized ECG and left ventricular wall motion.

Case 3

A 70-year-old hypertensive woman experienced retrosternal pain with nausea and sweating, coinciding with fever due to a respiratory condition. She came to the hospital 24 hours later. The ECG showed anterolateral subepicardial ischemia and troponin I elevation to 4 ng/mL. The echocardiogram demonstrated an intraventricular dynamic gradient of 80 mm Hg, with moderate mitral regurgitation and apical ballooning. Atenolol treatment was started. Subsequent
catheterization showed no significant coronary disease, although apical ballooning with no intraventricular gradient was seen. The patient was discharged with atenolol and acetylsalicylic acid. At 1 month, no intraventricular gradient was detected, and the ECG findings and ventricular wall motion were normal.

**DISCUSSION**

The cause and pathogenic mechanisms of transient apical ballooning syndrome without coronary stenosis mimicking AMI, a condition described by Tsuchihashi et al., remain uncertain. Thus, acute management of this condition and prevention of recurrence are still difficult. Various possible etiologic mechanisms have been proposed and analyzed, including coronary spasm, coronary microcirculation alterations and myocarditis, but none of them have been clearly related with this syndrome. Neurogenic myocardial “stunning” induced by physical or emotional stress has been suggested as the most probable cause. In any case, the fact that in many of these patients (including those presented here) the clinical manifestations are preceded by severe physical or emotional stress, or by acute concomitant illnesses, suggests a catecholamine-mediated mechanism.

There is evidence that the generation of a transient intraventricular dynamic gradient, present in many of these cases (including 2 in our series), can play an important role in the genesis of transient apical ballooning. In previously described cases, the clinical and hemodynamic situation improved when the gradient disappeared and in some patients with cardiogenic shock on hospital admission, the condition persisted until the dynamic obstruction was identified and specifically treated. We suggest that, at least in some patients, transient apical ballooning syndrome may be produced by the development of an acute, severe, transient dynamic left ventricular outflow tract (LVOT) obstruction that precedes and causes the ischemic episode. Dynamic obstruction would raise intraventricular pressures in the distal chamber and reduce myocardial perfusion in this area, leading to apical ischemia and dyskinesia. In fact, exposure to an exogenous catecholamine, as in dobutamine infusion, can provoke dynamic LVOT obstruction even in normal hearts. Moreover, some patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy can present apical necrosis associated with intraventricular gradients.

Some patients, particularly women such as the cases presented, might have a geometric predisposition (sigmoid septum, narrow LVOT, reduced left ventricular volume) to the development of dynamic subaortic obstruction that would only be manifested in situations of intense adrenergic stimulation or hypovolemia.

The intense physical or psychological stress that precedes apical ischemia in the majority of patients with transient apical ballooning syndrome would be the triggering factor of the acute dynamic subaortic or mid-ventricular obstruction causing the apical ischemia (Figure 4).

At least in theory, the intraventricular gradients detected in some patients with transient apical ballooning could be a consequence and not the cause of the apical dyskinesia (and compensating basal hyperkinesia). However, the transitory nature of these gradients, with early, spontaneous resolution in the majority of cases, makes this an unlikely hypothesis. Nevertheless, in some patients persistence of the gradient can contribute to maintaining the apical ischemia and hemodynamic deterioration, which would improve with beta-blockers.

Identification of a dynamic LVOT gradient as the possible initial mechanism in these patients could have important clinical and therapeutic implications. The usual measures for treating patients with chest pain...
and evidence of myocardial ischemia (nitrates) can increase the gradient and cause greater deterioration, whereas elimination of the gradient with beta-blockers, volume expansion or alpha adrenergic stimulants could be beneficial or even vital.\(^5\,^6\,^11\) Precise identification of the etiopathogenic mechanism is also essential to establish suitable treatment for the prevention of possible recurrence in these patients.\(^8\)
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