Prevalence and Clinical Course of Patients in Spain With Acute Myocardial Infarction and Severely Depressed Ejection Fraction Who Meet the Criteria for Automatic Defibrillator Implantation
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The Multicenter Automatic Defibrillator Implantation Trial (MADIT)-II has broadened the indications for cardioverter defibrillator implantation. We present a retrospective study designed to estimate the number of patients in Spain eligible for an implantable defibrillator according to the MADIT-II criteria. From January 1999 to October 2002, 758 consecutive patients were admitted to our center with the diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction. Sixty-seven had a left ventricular ejection fraction $\leq 30\%$ (mean, 23±5) and were not eligible for revascularization. Excluding patients older than 80 years and patients with marked co-morbidity, 47 patients met the MADIT-II criteria for an implantable defibrillator. After a mean follow-up of 18 months, there were 20 deaths, 6 of which were considered sudden. In conclusion, application of the MADIT-II criteria for defibrillator implantation may benefit 6% of the patients with myocardial infarction in Spain. This proportion translates as 4110 defibrillator implantations.
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Prevalencia y evolución en España de los pacientes con infarto agudo de miocardio y fracción de eyeción severamente deprimida, con criterios de implantación de desfibrilador automático

El Multicenter Automatic Defibrillator Implantation Trial (MADIT) II amplía las indicaciones de los desfibriladores automáticos implantables. Presentamos un estudio retrospectivo que tiene como objetivo conocer el número de pacientes con criterios MADIT-II en nuestro entorno. Entre enero de 1999 y octubre de 2002, 758 pacientes fueron ingresados en nuestro servicio por un infarto agudo de miocardio. En 67 pacientes, la fracción de eyeción fue $\leq 30\%$ y no eran revascularizables. La fracción de eyeción media de este grupo fue del 23±5%. Si excluimos a los pacientes de más de 80 años y a los que presentaban una marcada morbidad asociada, 47 pacientes hubieran cumplido los criterios MADIT-II (6%). En un seguimiento medio de 18 meses hubo 20 muertes, 6 de ellas de forma súbita. Si extrapolamos estos datos a nuestro país, el número anual de implantes se incrementaría hasta unos 4110.
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INTRODUCTION

Indications for implantable cardioverter defibrillator (ICD) implantation are well established in the 1998 guidelines of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association (AHA/ACC) and NASPE and barely differ from the Spanish Society of Cardiology 1999 guidelines. The AHA/ACC guidelines have been updated to reflect data from the March 2002 Multicenter Automatic Defibrillator Implantation Trial (MADIT) II report, and other trials. The MADIT-II study of primary prevention of sudden death applied only 2 criteria in patient enrolment: presence of ischemic heart disease and severe left ventricular dysfunction with ejection fraction (EF) $\leq 30\%$. This indication was included in the revised AHA/ACC guidelines as sufficient scientific evidence of level 2A. The MADIT-II authors estimate that 10%
of patients with ischemic heart disease in the United States probably meet ICD implantation criteria. In Spain, the number of patients who might benefit from this indication is unknown and may well differ as a factor of our national context.

The objective of this study was to determine the proportion of patients with myocardial infarction (MI) who present MADIT-II criteria and their evolution.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

This is a retrospective, observational, cohort study. From January 1999 thru October 2002, we enrolled 758 consecutive patients admitted to our cardiology service in Barcelona, Spain, and diagnosed with MI on the basis of clinical criteria, ECG and enzyme levels (creatinine kinase [CK] and creatine kinase MB fraction [CK-MB]). Of these, 67 patients (8.8%) were ineligible for surgical or percutaneous revascularization and had EF ≤30%. Ejection fraction was determined by echocardiogram or radioactive isotope or contrast ventriculography during coronary angiography carried out >2 months after MI to avoid myocardial stunning.

Most patients attended follow-up clinics at our center every 5-7 months. Only 14 patients did not attend and follow-up telephone calls were made to individual patients or the families of patients who had died.

Statistical Analysis

Continuous variables are expressed as mean and standard deviation (SD) and categorical variables as percentage. Confidence intervals (CI) are calculated using the exact method according to the binomial law.

RESULTS

Mean age of the 67 patients with MI and EF ≤30% was 67±24 years, 50 (75%) were men, 43 (64%) had previous MI, 27 (40%) had MI with ST-segment elevation, and 61 (91%) had disease in 3 vessels. Fifteen patients (22%) with EF >30% had undergone revascularization following MI (9 percutaneous and 6 surgical procedures).

At discharge, patients were prescribed aspirin (98%), beta-blockers (72%), ACE inhibitors or angiotensin II receptor antagonists (65%), amiodarone (16%) in connection with paroxysmal atrial fibrillation, and diuretics (27%) of which 60% had spironolactone. Mean functional class at discharge was 2.5±0.8. Mean EF was 23±5% (range, 12%-30%).

Nine patients underwent Holter monitoring for the prevention of sudden death but none presented non-sustained ventricular tachycardia and electrophysiological studies to discount their meeting MADIT³ criteria were not performed. The ECG studies of the 67 patients showed a mean QRS complex width of 124±27 ms.

After 18±7 months mean follow-up, 2 patients had undergone ICD implantation as secondary prevention on classical indications: 1 showed intolerance of sustained monomorphic ventricular tachycardia and another suffered heart failure on recovery from ventricular fibrillation with neurological after-effects. Among the remaining 65 patients, ICD implantation was not considered in 19 patients: 14 were >80 years old and 5 had concomitant diseases with a life expectancy <1 year. Only 1 patient was lost during follow-up. Consequently, 47 patients (70%) met MADIT-II criteria for ICD implantation, which is 6% of patients with MI admitted to our center.

Total mortality among these 47 patients was 42% (20 patients). However, 2 patients required ICD implantation during follow-up and should be included in the sudden death group. Thus, the distribution of patient deaths would be 8 (6+2) due to sudden death, 10 due to reinfarction or progression of heart failure, and 3 due to noncardiac diseases (2 neoplasias and 1 intestinal ischemia); 1 death was due to unknown cause. Mean time from diagnosis of infarction to death was 8±3 months for sudden death and 12±6 months for death due to all other causes.

Only one of the 6 sudden death events was witnessed as this patient died in the street. Ventricular fibrillation was recorded with recovery and irreversible neurological damage. The other 5 patients died at home at night and autopsy study results are not available. Consequently, other causes of death (e.g. reinfarction, stroke or pulmonary thromboembolism) cannot be ruled out. Among these 47 patients, ICD implantation might have avoided between 3 and 8 sudden death events, a reduction in risk of death of between 6.4% (95% CI, 1.3-17.5), and 17% (95% CI, 7.6-30.8).

DISCUSSION

The results of this retrospective cohort study suggest some 6% of patients diagnosed with MI on admission to hospital might benefit from ICD implan-
Unsustained Tachycardia Trial (MUSTT), re the number of infarctions in 2002 was 68,500, of 20 deaths). It might have reduced arrhythmic death, the strategy of primary prevention of sudden death in this population results indicate that ICD implantation asprimary prevention of sudden death in this population might have reduced arrhythmic death, the strategy would raise costs as patients receiving an ICD would die of causes the ICD cannot prevent (in our case, 14 of 20 deaths).

We discount patients >80 years (n=14), only 2 of whom underwent percutaneous revascularization, 13 (28%) of the remaining 47 patients underwent post-infarction revascularization and none of them required primary angioplasty. A strategy of primary angioplasty would probably have reduced the number of patients with EF≤30% to just 2 (9%) as reported by González Carrillo et al.

The implantation of ICDs in the 47 eligible patients could have avoided up to 8 sudden death events, assuming that these were arrhythmic events, which has only been confirmed in 3 patients. Nevertheless, our results indicate that although ICD implantation as primary prevention of sudden death in this population might have reduced arrhythmic death, the strategy would raise costs as patients receiving an ICD would die of causes the ICD cannot prevent (in our case, 14 of 20 deaths).

If we extrapolate our data to Spain as a whole, where the number of infarctions in 2002 was 68,500, some 4110 patients (6%) might benefit from ICD implantation. This would be a significant increase on the current number of defibrillator implants if we add together those used in primary and secondary prevention, although up-to-date data on the number of implants have not been published. Spain’s National Registry of Defibrillators for 1996 records some 332 implants per year. However, results of important studies such as the Antiarrhythmic Versus Implantable Defibrillators (AVID) trials, the Canadian Implantable Defibrillator Study (CIDS), the Multicenter Unsustained Tachycardia Trial (MUSTT), or MADIT itself, cannot have influenced the growth in indications occurring from 1996 to the time of writing. In 1996, 9 ICD implantations were performed per million inhabitants per year, a figure comparable to that of countries such as the United Kingdom, where the number of ICD implants has increased to 41 per million inhabitants per year in 2001. Although we know geographic differences occur in ICD implantation in Europe, if we apply UK growth to Spain, we can predict an annual rate figure of 1600 ICD implantations per year.

Unpublished data obtained from industrial sources indicate that 1477 ICDs were implanted in Spain in 2002, which is not so very far from this projection.

Growth from 1477 to 4110 ICD implantations per year would mean a notable increase in public health costs. However, the 31% reduction in mortality that applying MADIT-II criteria would bring about, together with a consequent reduction in ICD costs, would help to spread the benefits of this study.

To summarize, 6% of patients admitted with MI could benefit from ICD implantation on MADIT-II criteria. This could produce a considerable reduction in mortality at 18 months.

Limitations of the Study

In addition to the limitation inherent in any retrospective study, we must assert that a population of post-infarction patients with 45%-58% revascularizations and an age limit such as that included in MADIT-II is not the same as a population with 28% revascularizations, from which patients >80 years have been excluded. Consequently, the results of this study should be read with caution. We need to perform a nationwide, prospective study to determine the prevalence of MADIT-II patients with greater precision.
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