Reproducibility of Measurements of Left Ventricular Function With Gated Myocardial Perfusion SPECT and Comparison With Blood Pool Radionuclide Ventriculography
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Introduction and objective. This study was designed to evaluate the reproducibility of ejection fraction (EF) and ventricular volume measurements obtained with single photon emission computed tomography (gated-SPECT), and to assess the correlation between EF values obtained with this method and blood pool planar radionuclide ventriculography.

Patients and method. A total of 55 patients were included (37 men, mean age 61.3 years) upon referral to two nuclear cardiology units for diagnosis (50%) or follow-up of known coronary artery disease. In a standard 2-day protocol, patients received a dose of [99mTc]tetrofosmin (800 MBq) at stress and at rest. Two resting gated-SPECT studies were performed. QGS software was used to obtain left ventricular EF, end-diastolic volume (EDV) and end-systolic volume (ESV). Forty-nine patients agreed to undergo blood pool ventriculography on the third day.

Results. Interobserver variability was 0.5 (2.6)% (r = 0.99) for EF, 1.9 (10.7) mL for EDV (r = 0.98) and 0.5 (5.4) mL for ESV (r = 0.99). Interassay variability was 2 (5.1)% (r = 0.94) for EF, 4.5 (8.6) mL for EDV (r = 0.99) and 3.4 (6.6) mL for ESV (r = 0.99). The correlation between gated-SPECT EF and blood pool EF was suboptimal (r = 0.75, 95% CI, 0.59-0.85).

Conclusions. There was excellent interobserver and interassay reproducibility for left ventricular functional parameters measured with gated-SPECT and QGS software, and this method can be used for serial evaluations of ventricular function. Although the correlation between values obtained with gated-SPECT and blood pool ventriculography was acceptable, the differences show that the two techniques cannot be considered equivalent.
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Reproducibilidad de la gated-SPECT de perfusión miocárdica en la valoración de la función ventricular y su comparación con la ventriculografía isotópica

Introducción y objetivo. Se diseñó un estudio para evaluar la reproducibilidad de la fracción de eyeción (FE) y de los volúmenes ventriculares, así como la concordancia de la FE con la obtenida por ventriculografía isotópica.

Pacientes y método. Se estudió a 55 pacientes (37 varones; edad media, 61.3 años) remitidos para el diagnóstico (50%) o seguimiento de una cardiopatía isquémica conocida, que fueron sometidos a un protocolo de 2 días con 2 dosis de 800 MBq de 99mTc-tetrofosmina en esfuerzo y en reposo. Se realizaron 2 tomografías computarizadas por emisión de fotones simples sincronizadas con el electrocardiograma (gated-SPECT) de reposo. Mediante el programa QGS se obtuvieron los valores de FE del ventrículo izquierdo, volumen telediastólico (VTD) y volumen telesistólico (VTS) y 49 pacientes aceptaron someterse a una ventriculografía isotópica en equilibrio con hematies marcados.

Resultados. La variabilidad interobservador de la FE fue de 0.5 ± 2.6 puntos de FE (r = 0.99). Para el VTD y el VTS la variabilidad fue 1.9 ± 10.7 ml y 0.5 ± 5.4 ml (r = 0.98 y r = 0.99, respectivamente). La variabilidad interensayo de la FE fue de 2 ± 5.1 puntos de FE (r = 0.94). Para el VTD y el VTS la variabilidad fue 4.5 ± 8.6 ml y 3.4 ± 6.6 ml (r = 0.99 y r = 0.99, respectivamente). La correlación entre la FE obtenida por la gated-SPECT y por ventriculografía no fue óptima: r = 0.75 (intervalo de confianza [IC] del 95%, 0.59-0.85).

Conclusiones. Los parámetros de función ventricular que se obtienen con la gated-SPECT muestran una excelente reproducibilidad interobservador e interensayo y pueden emplearse en el seguimiento evolutivo de la función ventricular. Existe una aceptable correlación con la ventriculografía isotópica, pero las diferencias observadas no permiten utilizar indistintamente ambas técnicas.
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INTRODUCTION

In recent years the study of myocardial perfusion and left ventricle function through single photon emission computed tomography (gated-SPECT) has become a routine procedure for the evaluation of patients in follow-up or with suspicion of ischemic heart disease.¹,²

Radiotracers labeled with technetium enable image acquisition synchronized with the electrocardiogram (ECG), thus facilitating the simultaneous evaluation of ventricular volume, global systolic function and myocardial perfusion. The joint evaluation of the level of myocardial uptake and ventricular wall motion contributes to higher specificity with perfusion SPECT in the diagnosis of coronary heart disease, facilitates the detection of viable myocardium, and improves the capacity for risk stratification in patients who have suffered a myocardial infarction.³⁻⁸

In order to obtain quantitative parameters representative of ventricular function, we need methods that recognize the endocardial and epicardial outlines of the left ventricle to delimit volume variations during the cardiac cycle. There are several computer programs for calculating the volume and ejection fraction (EF) of the left ventricle.⁹⁻¹⁵ Various studies have analyzed the precision of the parameters obtained through gated-SPECT and their accuracy compared to the results obtained with other conventional techniques, such as equilibrium radionuclide ventriculography (RNV), first-pass radionuclide ventriculography, echocardiography, magnetic resonance imaging, and contrast angiography.¹⁶⁻³¹

This study was designed as a prospective trial with ⁹⁹ᵐTc-tetrofosmin in subjects with known or suspected ischemic heart disease, upon referral to a myocardial perfusion study. The aim was to evaluate the interobserver and interassay reproducibility of gated-SPECT in the calculation of EF and left ventricle volumes and compare its results with those obtained with blood pool radionuclide ventriculography.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

An open prospective study was designed for 2 nuclear cardiology units, evaluated by blinded independent observers, to assess the reproducibility of the quantitative parameters of left ventricular function: EF and ventricular volumes obtained with gated-SPECT and comparison with the EF values obtained with blood pool radionuclide ventriculography. The protocol was approved by the ethical committees of the 2 participating centers and the Agencia Española del Medicamento (Spanish Drug Agency).

Exploratory Sequence (Figure 1)

Day 1: a post-exercise study that included an exercise stress test and a gated-SPECT study (dose 800 MBq).
Day 2: gated-SPECT approximately 30 min after injection of 800 MBq ⁹⁹ᵐTc-tetrofosmin at rest (REP1). The patient returned to the waiting room and 1 hour later a new gated-SPECT was carried out without the dose (REP2).
Day 3: RNV, at a maximum interval of 10 days.

Population

Patients with suspected ischemic heart disease or with confirmed coronary disease were included. They had been referred by their cardiologist to a myocardial perfusion study. The characteristics of the population are presented in Table 1. Patients were only included after having obtained informed written consent.

Exercise Stress Test

An exercise stress test restricted by symptoms was done with an ergometric bicycle with an initial workload of 50 W increasing stepwise by 25 W every 3 min.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 1. Characteristics of the Population*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Smokers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former smokers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arterial hypertension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous myocardial infarction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary angiography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary angioplasty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bypass surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetylsalicylic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitroglycerin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE inhibitors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta-blockers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium antagonists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diuretics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statins</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*ACE indicates angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors.
The test was interrupted if the patient presented symptoms that prevented him/her from continuing the effort (angina, dizziness, dyspnea, or muscular fatigue), decrease in systolic blood pressure or ST segment depression greater than 2 mm.

Patients who could not reach an accelerated heart rate upon effort equal to or higher than 80% of the theoretical maximum frequency for their age, without angina, with negative ECG and without medical contraindication, were given 0.56 mg/kg of intravenous dipyridamole over 4 min, while the ergometric test was maintained at the maximum workload the patient could tolerate. The radioactive drug was given 4 min after ending dipyridamole infusion.

Gated-SPECT

The following gamma cameras and computer equipment were used:
- Center 1: gamma camera: Siemens dual-head ECAM 90°; software: ICON.
- Center 2: gamma camera: ADAC dual-head Vertex bonus; software: PEGASIS.

The following acquisition parameters were used to obtain conventional images: energy window: 15%, symmetric around 140 KeV; collimator: low energy, high resolution, 180° non-circular orbit with 64 stops, step-and-shoot mode, 20 s per projection from left posterior oblique 45° to right anterior oblique 45°.

Ungated reconstruction was carried out with filtered backprojection, using a Butterworth filter, order 5, cut-off frequency 0.5, without attenuation correction. The synchronized images were reconstructed with the same type of filter with a cut-off frequency 0.4.

Each processing included reconstruction and reorientation of the stops and measurement of the ventricular function through the automatic QGS software to obtain left ventricle EF values and ventricular volumes in the REP1 and REP2 studies.

Equilibrium Radionuclide Ventriculography

The following gamma cameras and computer equipment were used:
- Center 1: Elscint GE SP4 gamma camera.
- Center 2: Orbiter gamma camera.

Both instruments were provided with a low energy, general purpose collimator. Energy window, 20%. Thirty-two images were acquired per cycle up to a total of 8 million. All studies were processed on an SP1 computer with an automatic program for delimiting ventricular outline and the generation of background areas previously validated for obtaining EF.

Evaluation

The REP1 and REP2 studies for each patient were randomly coded separately (numerical allocation
with a computer program). Two specialists in nuclear medicine with long experience in nuclear cardiology, and without knowledge of the results of either study, randomly reprocessed each gated-SPECT. Interassay variability was obtained by comparing the results of REP1 and REP2. Interobserver variability was analyzed via the results obtained by processing REP1 a second time. Equilibrium blood pool radionuclide ventriculography was evaluated by an independent expert.

Each gated-SPECT was visually analyzed for the global quality of the images and myocardial uptake of medicine with long experience in nuclear cardiology, and without knowledge of the results of either study, randomly reprocessed each gated-SPECT. Interassay variability was obtained by comparing the results of REP1 and REP2. Interobserver variability was analyzed via the results obtained by processing REP1 a second time. Equilibrium blood pool radionuclide ventriculography was evaluated by an independent expert.

Interassay Reproducibility

The results obtained from processing the REP1 studies twice are shown in Table 2. The mean variability was 0.5±2.6 points for EF. Mean variability was 1.9±10.7 ml for EDV and 0.5±5.4 ml for ESV. Figure 2 shows the correlation for each of the 3 variables analyzed. The correlation coefficient between the 2 measurements for EF was 0.99 (95% CI, 0.98-0.99), without noteworthy biases in the high or low values of the series in the Bland-Altman analysis with a standard error of the estimations of 2.56%. For EDV, variability was 1.9±10.7 ml and the correlation coefficient was 0.98 (95% CI, 0.97-0.99). For ESV variability was 0.5±5.4 ml (r=0.99; 95% CI, 0.99-1), without systematic biases in the distribution of the differences (standard error, 10.61 mL and 5.4 mL, respectively).

Statistical Analysis

The results are expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Variability between the different measurements was expressed in absolute values by calculating the mean difference between pairs of values and their standard deviation.33 Ejection fraction and volumes were compared with Pearson’s product moment correlation coefficient (r) and the standard error of the estimation. Significance was obtained through Fisher’s z transformation. The Bland-Altman plot was used to assess the systematic differences between the comparative series of paired results,34 showing the scatter of the differences between the pairs of values regarding the line of equality. Confidence intervals (95% CI) were obtained for the correlation and Bland-Altman analysis. Student’s t test was used for paired data to compare the values of the groups studied. A P<.05 was considered statistically significant. Data was processed with SPSS 10.0 statistical software.

RESULTS

Gated-SPECT, REP1, and REP2 were done in 55 patients (37 males; mean age, 61.3 years), and RNV in 49 patients. Fifty percent of the patients were referred to the unit for diagnosis and the other 50% for prognosis.

No adverse effect occurred during the trial. No study was discarded due to poor image quality because all the gated-SPECT and the ventriculography studies were classified as good or very good quality.

The clinical characteristics of the population studied are presented in Table 1. In 19 (32.2%) patients defects were observed in the anteroseptal region (12 total or partially reversible, 7 irreversible), 5 patients (8.5%) presented defects in the lateral region (4 total or partially reversible, 1 non-reversible), and 22 (37.3%) presented them in the lower region (10 total or partially reversible and 12 non-reversible).

Comparison With Blood Pool Radionuclide Ventriculography

The mean of the values for EF obtained with RNV was 4.5% lower than the one obtained with gated-SPECT (53.0 vs 55.1%, respectively), although no statistically significant differences (P=.139) were found when Student’s t test for paired data was applied. The correlation coefficient between the 2 sets of the values was 0.75 (95% CI, 0.59-0.85). The scatter of the differences of values is shown graphically in Figure 4, and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REP1a</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>REP1b</th>
<th>Variability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EF, %</td>
<td>57.4±15.0</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>56.9±15.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDV, mL</td>
<td>104.6±52.9</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>102.7±50.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESV, mL</td>
<td>51.2±43.8</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>50.7±42.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*EF indicates ejection fraction; gated-SPECT, single photon emission computed tomography synchronized with electrocardiogram; r, correlation coefficient; REP1a, values obtained by observer A in the first resting gated-SPECT study; REP1b, values obtained by observer B in the first resting gated-SPECT study; EDV, end-diastolic volume; ESV, end-systolic volume.
and their limitations have been studied in previous works. Nevertheless, there are few studies aimed at assessing interobserver variability and, in particular, interassay variability of a methodology that can be used to assess evolutionary changes in the patients.

When the interobserver reproducibility of gated-SPECT is assessed, the only thing being analyzed is the impact of the process of three-dimensional cardiac reconstruction, since both the position of the patient, the images and the ECG are the same and the rest of the processing for the evaluation of the ventricular outlines is automatic. Thus, this is one of the first studies in which reproducibility has been evaluated between two totally different studies, acquired by repositioning the patient after some minutes, thus obtaining new images and a new ECG.

Liu et al \(^{15}\) obtained a coefficient of variation of 3.45%±3.23% between 2 measurements obtained in 2 consecutive studies without repositioning the patient between studies. Chua et al \(^{21}\) studied the interobserver variability of the QGS method with excellent results (\(r=0.99\); standard error =1.84%). In a study by Hyun et al \(^{17}\) interobserver and interassay variability were also very low. The variability for EF was 2.3% and 2.1 mL for EDV and 2.7 mL for ESV when 2 consecutive studies were compared, although without repositioning the patient. In the same series the reproducibility of 2 gated-SPECT studies obtained with \(^{201}\)TI was ana-

### DISCUSSION

Software for the quantification of ventricular volume and EF through gated-SPECT are widespread does not present biases associated with the high or low range. Greater scatter in the high values can be seen, but this can be attributed to the fact that most of the results are concentrated in the upper part of the graph.

The Bland-Altman analysis confirmed that there is no relationship between the differences and the value for EF, without biases over the range of values (standard error =9.52%). There were no differences in standard deviation (9.5%) for all the degrees of low uptake when the differences of the EF were analyzed regarding the presence and severity of perfusion defects.

### TABLE 3. Interassay Variability of Gated-SPECT*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>REP1</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>REP2</th>
<th>Variability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EF, %</td>
<td>57.4±15.0</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>54.5±14.0</td>
<td>2.0±5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDV, mL</td>
<td>104.6±52.6</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>110.7±54.9</td>
<td>4.5±8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESV, mL</td>
<td>51.2±43.8</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>56.4±44.7</td>
<td>3.4±6.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*EF (%) indicates ejection fraction; gated-SPECT, single photon emission computed tomography synchronized with electrocardiogram; r, correlation coefficient; REP1a, values obtained in the first resting gated-SPECT study; REP2, values obtained in the second resting gated-SPECT study; EDV, end-diastolic volume; ESV, end-systolic volume.

Fig. 2. Interobserver reproducibility. Upper row: the regression values of the ejection fraction (EF), end-diastolic volume (EDV), and end-systolic volume (ESV) obtained by observer A (REP1a) and those obtained by observer B (REP1b). Lower row: analysis of the Bland-Altman scatter plot showing the differences obtained by the 2 observers over the range of the average values.

TABLE 3. Interassay Variability of Gated-SPECT*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>REP1</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>REP2</th>
<th>Variability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EF, %</td>
<td>57.4±15.0</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>54.5±14.0</td>
<td>2.0±5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDV, mL</td>
<td>104.6±52.6</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>110.7±54.9</td>
<td>4.5±8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESV, mL</td>
<td>51.2±43.8</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>56.4±44.7</td>
<td>3.4±6.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
lyzed, and slightly higher variabilities were obtained: EF, 5.2%; EDV, 3.2 ml, and ESV, 3.5 ml. Our data agree with previous research in the sense that the evaluation of ventricular volume and EF of the left ventricle through gated-SPECT with technetium radiotracers has very high interassay reproducibility (mean variability, ±5.1 points for EF). This high reproducibility is in line with that obtained through blood pool planar radionuclide ventriculography with automatic calculation of the ventricular outline, where the interassay coefficient of variation was 6.2% for EF. However, in other series manual delineation methods show interobserver variabilities ranging from 9.1% to 10.7%, and semiautomatic methods range from 7.5% to 8.4%. We did not encounter any loss of reproducibility in the evaluations of EF and ventricular volume with gated-SPECT in relation to that obtained using blood pool planar radionuclide ventriculography. We believe that, taken together, this validates the use of this method for following up the evolution of ventricular function.

Differences were observed in EF values when comparing the results between gated-SPECT and radionuclide ventriculography. In previous studies with radionuclide ventriculography, normal EF values for the left ventricle (62.8%±7.8%) were obtained which are very close to our results with gated-SPECT: normal value for EF: 59.7%±5.9%. However, although in
this study the correlation between the 2 methods was reasonably good, the standard error of the estimation of the differences was 9.6%. Bland-Altman analysis showed broad scatter with discrepancies of up to 20 points in some values, in both the high and low range of the EF. This indicates that the 2 methods cannot be used interchangeably because the EF variations obtained in each case might be due to methodological differences.

Chua et al\textsuperscript{21} compared gated-SPECT with blood pool planar radionuclide ventriculography and obtained a high correlation ($r=0.94$) with a standard error of 6.3% and a mean difference of 1.3%. In the subgroup of patients with regions of extensive myocardial infarction, there was good correlation between the 2 techniques ($r=0.89$; standard error $\approx 6.48\%$). In our series, the mean difference for EF with ventriculography was $-2.06\%$ and the results were not as good due to the presence of scatter in the high values of the series (Figure 4). In other studies comparing gated-SPECT and blood pool planar radionuclide ventriculography, significant differences have been found in the EF values determined with the two techniques, with degrees of correlation similar to those we have obtained.\textsuperscript{13,19} It seems clear that the profound methodological differences between the planar method, which bases calculation of the EF on the variations in activity of the labeled red blood cells, and the tomographic method, which determines the endocardial outline based on the uptake of the ventricular wall, gives rise to a disparity between the results similar to that obtained when comparing any of the other measurement modalities for ventricular function.

When compared with other techniques, gated-SPECT has shown good correspondence with magnetic resonance imaging\textsuperscript{13,29,30} contrast angiography,\textsuperscript{26} echocardiography,\textsuperscript{24} and contrast ventriculography.\textsuperscript{28} Nevertheless, the studies that use QGS have confirmed a tendency to underestimate EF values compared to the ones obtained with magnetic resonance imaging.\textsuperscript{13,20} The recent metanalysis conducted by Ioannidis et al\textsuperscript{31} shows a high correlation between magnetic resonance imaging and gated-SPECT for EF, EDV, and ESV; however, the size of the discrepancies observed in specific cases means that the values are not interchangeable between the 2 techniques. In general, it can be stated that there is a correlation between the different measurement methods for ventricular function. However, the methodological differences mean that there is no equivalence of values that would permit reliable follow-up of its evolution if different techniques are used.

**CONCLUSION**

Gated-SPECT has demonstrated high interassay reproducibility in the measurement of left ventricle EF and ventricle volumes, and can be used for measuring the evolution of changes in patients. Although there is significant correlation between gated-SPECT and the radionuclide ventriculography, in some cases the differences found are important. This means that the 2 techniques cannot be used interchangeably for follow-up of left ventricular function.
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