Silent myocardial ischemia occurs more frequently in diabetics. Differential arterial pulse pressure is a valuable predictor of cardiovascular disease. We studied 48 consecutive male patients with type-2 diabetes and no known history of ischemic heart disease. Ambulatory monitoring of arterial pressure was carried out and the presence of silent myocardial ischemia was studied using a protocol that involved: resting ECG, echocardiography, 24-hour Holter ECG, conventional exercise stress testing, and exercise testing with nuclear scanning. Nine patients (19%) had silent myocardial ischemia. Differential pulse pressure had good discriminative ability in identifying the presence of silent ischemia: the area under the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was 0.83 (95% confidence interval [CI], 0.71-0.96; P=0.002). This predictive ability was also observed on adjusted logistic regression modeling (odds ratio [OR], 1.24, 95% CI, 1.02-1.49). We found that the OR for the risk of silent ischemia for every 10-mmHg increase in differential pulse pressure was 8.5 (95% CI, 1.7-31.2). Age and differential pulse pressure were the only independent predictors of silent myocardial ischemia found in this study.
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### INTRODUCTION

Ischemic heart disease is the most common cause of morbidity and mortality in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM). The increased cardiovascular risk in this population results from the diabetes, itself, and the higher frequency of conventional risk factors. The prevalence of T2DM in individuals over 30 years old in Spain ranges from 6% to 10% and half these patients are unaware of the diagnosis.

Silent myocardial ischemia (SMI) is 2 to 7 times more frequent in diabetic patients. Detection of this condition allows treatment to be optimized by adopting a strategy
to assess the extent of coronary disease and the possibility to achieve revascularization. Nevertheless, there is no current consensus as to the recommended method for screening these patients. Along these lines, the importance of blood pressure (BP) status as a determinant of cardiovascular risk and the benefits of treating hypertension have been conclusively established. Among its various components, systolic arterial pressure (SAP) and differential pulse pressure (DPP), defined as the difference between SAP and diastolic arterial pressure (DAP), have shown the highest value as predictors of cardiovascular risk in relation to increased arterial wall rigidity.

The main purpose of this study was to assess the usefulness of DPP for predicting SMI by 24-hour ambulatory blood pressure monitoring (ABPM) in patients with T2DM and no history of ischemic heart disease.

METHODS

Study Population

The study included 48 consecutive male patients with T2DM and no documented history of ischemic heart disease, selected from an endocrinology service to investigate the relationship between several parameters and detection of SMI. The exclusion criteria were physical disability or ECG alteration precluding exercise stress testing, and significant kidney disease (plasma creatinine ≥1.5 mg/dL). In accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, all patients were informed of the objectives, risks, procedures, and possible benefits of the study and gave consent to participate.

Study Variables

After performing a meticulous anamnesis and physical examination, which included calculation of the waist-hip ratio, body mass index, ankle-brachial index, and study of the fundus of the eye by an ophthalmologist, ABPM was performed with recording of the diurnal, nocturnal, maximum, minimum, and mean SAP and DAP values. In addition, BP fluctuation patterns were examined according to the nocturnal BP dip, categorizing patients as non-dipper (0%-10%), dipper (10%-20%), extreme dipper (>20%) or riser, when there was an increase.

Blood samples were drawn from all patients to determine the following parameters:

- Lipid profile (total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol [HDL-C], low density lipoprotein cholesterol [LDL-C], and triglycerides)
- Glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c)
- Baseline insulin. The HOMA (Homeostasis Model Assessment) index was calculated according to the following formula: insulin (μU/mL) x glucose (mmol/L)/22.5. Insulin resistance was defined as a value ≥3.5

Screening Protocol for Silent Myocardial Ischemia (Figure)

We began with a baseline electrocardiogram (ECG). If a Q-wave indicating prior myocardial necrosis was seen (defined as duration >0.04 s and depth >1/3 of the QRS complex in at least 2 anatomically consecutive leads), echocardiography was performed. If this test showed regional contractility alterations consistent with the ECG findings, the patient was classified as positive. Otherwise, Holter monitoring of the ECG was continued for 24 hours. Positive status was defined as ≥1 mV of horizontal ST segment depression or 1.5 mV of ST segment elevation for at least 1 min of the recording in the absence of symptoms of angina. If the result was negative, the patient underwent exercise stress testing according to the Bruce protocol; the results were obtained following the recommendations of the Sociedad Española de Cardiología (Spanish Cardiology Society). When the results of this test were negative, SMI was ruled out. If the test was inconclusive, exercise stress testing and nuclear scanning were performed, with positive status established by the criteria of the Sociedad Española de Medicina Nuclear (Spanish Society of Nuclear Medicine).

Statistical Analysis

Quantitative data are expressed as the mean (standard deviation [SD]), and as the median (interquartile range, 25th-75th percentile) when the distribution of the variables was not normal. Qualitative data are expressed as total number and percentage. Student t test was used to compare means and the χ2 test to compare percentages. For variables with a non-normal distribution, the nonparametric Mann-Whitney test was used. To assess the capacity of DPP to discriminate between patients with and without SMI, a ROC curve was constructed. The effect of DPP on the risk of presenting SMI was assessed after adjusting for age and other cardiovascular risk factors by a logistic regression model. A P value less than .05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

Nine (19%) patients presented SMI (8 diagnosed by exercise stress testing and one by Holter-ECG). The clinical characteristics of these patients are shown in Table 1. Patients with SMI were older, and had a higher frequency of diabetic retinopathy, poorer recent diabetic metabolic control, higher DPP (only ABPM variable that reached statistical significance) (Table 2), and a nonsignificant trend (P=.08) toward longer duration of T2DM.

The DPP showed good discriminative capacity for determining the presence of SMI, as is deduced from the
area under the curve (AUC) obtained from the ROC curve (AUC=0.83; 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.71-0.96; \(P=.002\)). The predictive effect was maintained in the logistic regression model after adjusting for age, major cardiovascular risk factors (hypertension, smoking, and dyslipidemia) and duration of T2DM, and was significant and independent (odds ratio [OR]=1.24; 95% CI, 1.04-1.49). An OR of 8.5 (95% CI, 1.7-31.2) was estimated for each 10-mm Hg increase in the DPP, for the risk of presenting SMI. The other variable showing an independent relationship in the model was age (OR=1.41; 95% CI, 1.04-1.91).

### DISCUSSION

Type 2 diabetes mellitus causes earlier and more severe diffuse coronary atherosclerosis that progresses at a faster rate.\(^9\) Ischemic heart disease in patients with T2DM is often asymptomatic or shows few symptoms, a fact that can lead to a delay in the diagnosis. Scientific evidence indicates that the diabetic population has the same risk of presenting a first cardiovascular event as nondiabetic patients with T2DM. Further, a significant and independent variable is the age of the patient (OR=1.41; 95% CI, 1.04-1.91).

#### TABLE 1. Characteristics of the Study Population*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>With SMI</th>
<th>Without SMI</th>
<th>All Patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of patients</td>
<td>9 (18.75)</td>
<td>39 (81.25)</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years†</td>
<td>60.8 (5.4)</td>
<td>54.5 (7.1)</td>
<td>55.72 (7.19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM duration, years</td>
<td>12 (2.5-21.5)</td>
<td>4.5 (2-13)</td>
<td>5.00 (2.3-13.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>30.1 (4.5)</td>
<td>30.3 (3.3)</td>
<td>30.23 (3.56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHR</td>
<td>0.9 (0.06)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.07)</td>
<td>1.003 (0.068)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA(_1c)</td>
<td>7.8 (2.5)</td>
<td>6.6 (1.6)</td>
<td>6.8 (1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABI</td>
<td>0.91 (0.16)</td>
<td>0.96 (0.13)</td>
<td>0.95 (0.14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HT</td>
<td>4 (44.4)</td>
<td>20 (51.3)</td>
<td>24 (50.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyslipidemia</td>
<td>4 (44.4)</td>
<td>19 (48.7)</td>
<td>23 (47.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>3 (33.3)</td>
<td>12 (30.8)</td>
<td>15 (31.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin resistance</td>
<td>3 (33.3)</td>
<td>19 (48.7)</td>
<td>22 (45.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic retinopathy</td>
<td>7 (77.8)</td>
<td>11 (28.2)</td>
<td>18 (37.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*ABI indicates ankle-brachial index; BMI, body mass index; DM, diabetes mellitus; HbA\(_1c\), glycosylated hemoglobin; HT, hypertension; SMI, silent myocardial ischemia; WHR, waist-hip ratio.†P<.05. Quantitative variables with a normal distribution (age, BMI, WHR, HbA\(_1c\), and ABI) are expressed as the mean (standard deviation), and variables with a non-normal distribution (DM duration) as the median (25th-75th percentiles). Qualitative variables (number of patients, hypertensive patients, dyslipidemic patients, active smokers, insulin-resistant patients, and those with diabetic retinopathy) are expressed as total number (percentage).
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In conclusion, the frequency of SMI in patients with T2DM and no history of cardiac disease was 19% in this study. Differential pulse pressure and age were independent predictive factors of SMI. Moreover, SAP or DAP alone were not predictive of myocardial ischemia, and ABPM patterns did not prove to be useful for this purpose.
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