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**Introduction and objectives.** The aim of this study was to evaluate the use of spironolactone and doxazosin as treatment for patients with resistant hypertension.

**Methods.** This retrospective study involved 181 outpatients with resistant hypertension (defined as a failure of blood pressure [BP] control despite treatment with 3 drugs, one of which was a diuretic) who received additional spironolactone (n=88) or doxazosin (n=93).

**Results.** Mean systolic BP in the spironolactone group fell by 28 mm Hg (95% confidence interval [CI], 24-32 mm Hg; \( P < .001 \)) and mean diastolic BP fell by 12 mm Hg (95% CI, 9-14 mm Hg; \( P < .001 \)). The corresponding falls in the doxazosin group were 16 mm Hg (95% CI, 13-20 mm Hg; \( P < .001 \)) and 7 mm Hg (95% CI, 5-9; \( P < .001 \)). The decrease was significantly greater with spironolactone for both systolic (\( P < .001 \)) and diastolic (\( P = .003 \)) pressures. At the end of follow-up, 30% of all patients had achieved BP control, with control being more frequent with spironolactone (39%) than doxazosin (23%; \( P = .02 \)). Multivariate logistic regression analysis showed that the only factors that significantly influenced the achievement of BP control were diabetes (OR, 0.17; 95% CI, 0.08-0.39; \( P < .001 \)) and baseline systolic BP <165 mmHg (OR, 2.56; 95% CI, 1.11-5.90; \( P = .03 \)).

**Conclusions.** In patients with resistant hypertension, the addition of either spironolactone or doxazosin resulted in a significant decrease in BP, though the decrease appeared to be greater with spironolactone. The presence of diabetes complicated BP control.
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Uso de espironolactona o doxazosina en pacientes con hipertensión arterial refractaria

**Introducción y objetivos.** Valorar el uso de espironolactona o doxazosina en el tratamiento de pacientes con hipertensión refractaria (HTAR).

**Métodos.** Estudio retrospectivo comparativo de 181 pacientes con HTAR (pacientes tratados con 3 fármacos, uno de ellos diurético, sin alcanzar el control de la presión arterial [PA]) a quienes se añadió espironolactona (88 casos) o doxazosina (93 casos).

**Resultados.** La PA sistólica (PAS) se redujo 28 mmHg (intervalo de confianza [IC] del 95%, 24-32 mmHg; \( p < 0,001 \)), y la PA diastólica (PAD), 12 mmHg (IC del 95%, 9-14 mmHg; \( p < 0,001 \)) en los tratados con espironolactona, y 16 mmHg (IC del 95%, 13-20 mmHg; \( p < 0,001 \)) y 7 mmHg (IC del 95%, 5-9 mmHg; \( p < 0,001 \)), respectivamente con doxazosina. La espironolactona causó mayor descenso de la PAS (\( p < 0,001 \)) y la PAD (\( p = 0,003 \)). Al final del periodo de seguimiento, el 30% de todos los pacientes consiguieron el control de la PA; el control fue mayor con espironolactona (39%) que con doxazosina (23%) (\( p = 0,02 \)). Al realizar una análisis de regresión logística, sólo la diabetes mellitus (odds ratio multivariable [ORm] = 0,17; IC del 95%, 0,08-0,39; \( p < 0,001 \)), y la PAS inicial <165 mmHg (ORm = 2,56; IC del 95%, 1,11-5,90; \( p = 0,03 \)) tenían influencia significativa en alcanzar el control de la PA.

**Conclusiones.** En los pacientes con hipertensión refractaria, tanto al añadir espironolactona como doxazosina se consigue un significativo descenso del PA, que parece ser mayor con espironolactona. La diabetes dificulta el control de la PA.

**Palabras clave:** Espironolactona. Doxazosina. Hipertensión resistente. Hipertensión refractaria
ABBREVIATIONS
BP: blood pressure
DBP: diastolic blood pressure
HBP: high blood pressure
RHBP: refractory high blood pressure
SBP: systolic blood pressure

INTRODUCTION

High blood pressure (HBP) resistant to treatment—or refractory high blood pressure (RHBP)—is normally defined as a blood pressure (BP) constantly above 140/90 mm Hg (>130/80 mm Hg in patients with diabetes mellitus or clinically established kidney disease) despite having made lifestyle changes and despite treatment with at least 3 drugs (one of which is a diuretic), each at the appropriate dose.1 The exact prevalence of this condition is not well known, and may vary from as little as 5% among non-selected patients under the care of general physicians, to 50% in certain reference units.3 Neither are there any clear guidelines on the diagnostic and therapeutic tests that should be performed when treating RHBP; no prospective studies have been undertaken that might indicate the best diagnostic and therapeutic sequence to follow for adding a third, fourth, or fifth drug in the management of patients with either uncontrolled blood pressure or RHBP.2 Nor are the opinions of experts in this area always the same.4 However, recent studies have indicated that spironolactone (an aldosterone antagonist) affords an additional anti-hypertension effect when used in the treatment of RHBP,5-11 and that doxazosin has a similar effect in patients with uncontrolled HBP receiving one or several drugs.12-15 The aim of the present work was to assess the use of spironolactone or doxazosin when added to conventional treatment for RHBP, to compare the possible differences in the response to these drugs in terms of different clinical and biochemical variables, and to try to identify the clinical characteristics of patients who might show a good therapeutic response to one or the other of these agents.

METHODS

This retrospective, observational study involved patients with RHBP treated at a hypertension and vascular risk unit belonging to an internal medicine department.

Patients

Study subjects were consecutive patients with HBP, treated at the above unit between March 1, 2005 and June 30, 2007, who met the following conditions: a) to be older than 18 years of age and to have a followed stable treatment regimen including lifestyle changes (low fat and low salt diet), and/or to have received pharmacological treatment for 3 months prior to the initial inclusion assessment; b) to be receiving at least 3 drugs (including a diuretic) at the appropriate clinical doses but to have a systolic blood pressure (SBP) of >140 mm Hg and/or a diastolic blood pressure (DBP) of >90 mm Hg (>130 mm Hg SBP and/or >80 mm Hg DBP in patients with diabetes); c) to show a plasma creatinine concentration of <1.6 mg/dL in men and <1.4 mg/dL in women; and d) to have contemplated the spironolactone/doxazosin treatment period, thus allowing clinical and analytical data to be recorded.

The HBP of patients measured during consultation was confirmed in all cases by home blood pressure monitoring (HBPM) or ambulatory blood pressure monitoring (ABPM). For the patients whose BP was monitored by HBPM, the values required for confirmation of inclusion were SBP>135 mm Hg and/or DBP>85 mm Hg; for those whose BP was monitored by AMBP, the values were SBP 24 h>130 mm Hg and/or DBP 24 h>80 mm Hg.

The following patients were excluded: a) those with a diagnosis of HBP secondary to any condition; b) those with serum K concentrations at the start of the study of <3.5 mmol/L or >5.5 mmol/L; c) those for whom reasonable doubt was raised regarding adherence to treatment; and d) those with a systemic disease that might interfere with the assessment of changes in the BP.

Following initial examination patients were assigned either spironolactone or doxazosin in addition to their 3 or more anti-hypertension treatments. Monitoring was undertaken until the stabilization of the BP was achieved or the need for treatment modification was apparent. The last consultation for a patient (as part of the study) was deemed to have occurred when: a) treatment was changed by adding a new drug and/or changing the dose of those being taken to control BP; or b) when BP was under control with the target values reached (SBP<140 mm Hg and DBP <90 mm Hg, or SBP<130 mm Hg and DBP<80 mmHg for patients with diabetes); these patients were assigned to mid-term follow-up with a maintenance treatment including spironolactone or doxazosin.

Clinical Examination and Methods

All subjects underwent a normal physical examination; the body weight (kg), height (cm),
and waist measurement (cm) were also recorded. Clinical BP was measured using a mercury sphygmomanometer with the patient in a sitting position following a 5 min rest period, according to the indications of the British Hypertension Society.16

Analytical Tests
All patients were subjected to analytical tests. Urinary albumin excretion was determined in 24 h urine by immunonephelometry (Behring Institute). The glomerular filtration rate was estimated using a formula employing the serum creatinine values.17 Those patients who met the criteria of the National Cholesterol Educational Program-Adult Treatment Panel III (NCEP-ATP III)18 were diagnosed as having metabolic syndrome. The diagnosis of diabetes was made following the criteria of the American Diabetes Association.19

All data (epidemiological, clinical, analytical, and treatment regimen) were stored electronically, respecting current confidentiality laws. This study was performed in accordance with standards of good clinical practice, and was approved and monitored by our hospital’s research committee. All patients gave their consent to be included.

Statistical Analysis
Continuous variables were expressed as mean (standard deviation [SD]) if they followed a normal distribution, and as medians plus interquartile range (IQR) if they did not. Categorical variables were expressed as percentages. The normality of the distribution of variables was checked using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Differences in the values of variables after treatment were analyzed using the Student t test for repeated measures if the distribution was normal, and the Wilcoxon test if it as not. Comparisons between groups were made using the Student t test if the variable in question showed a normal distribution; the Mann-Whitney U test was used if it did not.

The homogeneity of variances was tested using the Levene test. Proportions were compared using the χ² test. The association between variables and a reduction in BP was analyzed via multiple regression. The multivariate odds ratio (MOR) associated with a good treatment response was determined by logistic regression. Significance was set at α= .05. All calculations were made using SPSS v. 11.0 for Windows.

RESULTS
Of the 2958 patients who received treatment at the hypertension and vascular risk unit during the study period, 687 who were being treated with 3 or more drugs yet showed poor control of BP were initially assessed. Of these, 490 were excluded, 82 because there was reasonable doubt regarding their adherence to treatment, 125 because the HBP was suspected of being secondary to another condition or because of a kidney disorder, 151 because their HBPM or ABPM was indicative of pseudohypertension, and 122 because of concomitant systemic disease and/or the taking of medication that might interfere with the assessment of changes in BP. A total of 198 patients were therefore selected, 17 of which failed to finish their treatment with spironolactone or doxazosin, or for whom there were insufficient clinical or analytical data. Thus, the final analysis included 181 patients, 88 of whom were treated with additional spironolactone and 93 with additional doxazosin (see Table 1 for the characteristics of these patients). No difference was seen between these groups in terms of age, body mass index, waist measurement, lipid values, or blood glucose levels; neither was any difference seen in terms of the proportion of patients with metabolic syndrome, diabetes mellitus, the use of tobacco, the use of anti-hypertension drugs, nor the use of lipid lowering drugs. No differences were seen between these groups with respect to DBP or SBP, although the latter showed a trend towards being higher in the spironolactone group (P=.06). The patients who received spironolactone showed lower uric acid (6.1 [1.5] mg/dL compared to 6.7 [1.5] mg/dL; P=.01) and creatinine (0.9 [0.2] mg/dL compared to 1.1 [0.4] mg/dL; P<.001) levels, greater excretion of albumin in the urine (13 [13] mg/24 h compared to 33 [153] mg/24 h; P<.001), and a higher glomerular filtration rate (83.9 [23.4] mL/min/1.73 m² compared to 68.7 [20.3] mL/min/1.73 m²) than did those who received doxazosin.

A total of 179 (99%) patients met the criterion for elevated SBP, 96 (53%) for elevated DBP, and 94 (52%) for both. Seventy-four patients—33 in the spironolactone group and 41 in the doxazosin group—were confirmed as suffering RHBP by ABPM; in the rest this was confirmed by HBPM.

Of the 181 final subjects, 103 (57%) received 3 anti-hypertension drugs, 65 (36%) received 4, and 13 (7%) received 5. No drug sequencing protocol was followed; the indication for each drug was determined from the clinical characteristics of each patient. The most common drug combinations involved angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors and or angiotensin II receptor antagonists combined with a calcium antagonist and a diuretic. A trend was seen towards the greater use of thiazide diuretics and a smaller use of loop diuretics in the spironolactone group of patients (P=.06).
The addition of spironolactone led to a clear reduction in SBP and DBP; SBP was reduced by a mean 28 mm Hg (95% confidence interval [95% CI], 24-32 mm Hg; \( P<.001 \)), and DBP by a mean 12 mm Hg (95% CI, 9-14 mm Hg; \( P<.001 \)) (Figure 1). Treatment with this drug was associated with a small but significant deterioration in kidney function variables, including an increase in uric acid, creatinine, and serum K, and a reduction in the glomerular filtration rate (Table 2). A significant reduction was also seen in serum Na. The mean dose of spironolactone was 32 (14 mg) and the median duration of treatment before the final assessment was 3 (1-6) months.

The addition of doxazosin was also associated with a clear reduction in BP. The SBP was reduced by a mean 16 mm Hg (95% CI, 13-20 mm Hg; \( P<.001 \)), and the DBP reduced by a mean 7 mm Hg (95% CI, 5-9 mm Hg; \( P<.001 \)) (Figure 1). Doxazosin treatment was associated with a reduction in urinary albumin excretion (Table 2), and with no change in uric acid, creatinine, glomerular filtration rate or serum Na or K readings. The mean dose of doxazosin used was 4 (1.3) mg; the median treatment time before the final assessment was 6 (3-12) months.

### Variations Following Treatment

The addition of spironolactone was also associated with a clear reduction in BP. The SBP was reduced by a mean 28 mm Hg (95% CI, 24-32 mm Hg; \( P<.001 \)), and the DBP reduced by a mean 12 mm Hg (95% CI, 9-14 mm Hg; \( P<.001 \)) (Figure 1). Doxazosin treatment was associated with a reduction in urinary albumin excretion (Table 2), and with no change in uric acid, creatinine, glomerular filtration rate or serum Na or K readings. The mean dose of doxazosin used was 4 (1.3) mg; the median treatment time before the final assessment was 6 (3-12) months.

The reduction achieved in SBP was greater among the patients who received spironolactone than those who received doxazosin (\( P=.001 \)); the same was seen for the DBP (\( P=.003 \)). Kidney function (as reflected by the creatinine level, serum K, the
Factors Related to Reduced Blood Pressure

Multiple regression analysis showed the reduction in SBP after additional treatment to be independently associated with baseline SBP ($b=.6$, 95% CI, 0.4-0.9; $P<.001$), with male gender ($b=12.4$, 95% CI, 1.8-23; $P=.02$), age ($b=-5.5$, 95% CI, -0.9 to -0.1; $P=.02$) and treatment with spironolactone ($P=.02$); the mean reduction seen after adjusting for these variables and for the creatinine concentration, glomerular filtration rate, glucose level and urinary albumin excretion was 8 mm Hg (95% CI, 1-14.6) greater in this group than in the doxazosin group. The reduction in DBP was only independently associated with the baseline DBP ($b=12.4$, 95% CI, 1.8-23; $P=.02$) and spironolactone treatment ($P=.04$); the mean reduction adjusted for all associated variables was 3.8 mm Hg (95% CI, 0.2-7.4 mm Hg) greater in the spironolactone group than in the doxazosin group.

Control of Blood Pressure

At the end of follow-up, 61 (34%) patients had attained the target SBP and 135 (75%) the target DBP; 55 (30%) had both under control. Attainment of control was better in the spironolactone group (39%) than the doxazosin group (23%) ($P=.02$). Table 3 compares the initial characteristics of the patients who achieved good control of BP and those who did not. Those who achieved control were younger (63 [10] years compared to 67 [10] years; $P=.04$), had lower glucose levels (106 [24] mg/dL compared to 138 [71] mg/dL), and fewer suffered metabolic syndrome ($P=.03$) or diabetes ($P=.001$).

![Figure](https://via.placeholder.com/150)

**Figure.** Reduction in systolic blood pressure (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) after adding spironolactone or doxazosin to treatment regimen.

---

**TABLE 2.** Variation in Blood Pressure and Biochemical Variables After Treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Treated With Spironolactone, $V_v-V_b$, Mean (95% CI)</th>
<th>$P$</th>
<th>Treated With Doxazosin, Mean (95% CI)</th>
<th>$P$</th>
<th>Difference in Variations Between Groups, Mean (95% CI)</th>
<th>$P$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SBP, mm Hg</td>
<td>28 (24-32)</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>16 (13-20)</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>12 (7-17)</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP, mm Hg</td>
<td>12 (9-14)</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>7 (5-9)</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>4 (1-7)</td>
<td>.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>0.19 (–0.01 to 0.4)</td>
<td>.067</td>
<td>–0.2 (–0.51 to –0.1)</td>
<td>.19</td>
<td>0.4 (0.03-0.77)</td>
<td>.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urac acid, mg/dL</td>
<td>–0.37 (–0.02 to –0.72)</td>
<td>.035</td>
<td>–0.02 (–0.32 to 0.28)</td>
<td>.89</td>
<td>–0.35 (–0.81 to 0.1)</td>
<td>.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine, mg/dL</td>
<td>–0.09 (–0.14 to –0.06)</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>–0.01 (–0.05 to 0.04)</td>
<td>.75</td>
<td>–0.09 (–0.15 to –0.03)</td>
<td>.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na, mmol/L</td>
<td>0.8 (0.01-1.5)</td>
<td>.049</td>
<td>–2 (–5.9 to 1.9)</td>
<td>.31</td>
<td>2.8 (1.2-6.8)</td>
<td>.169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K, mmol/L</td>
<td>–0.41 (–0.51 to –0.31)</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>–0.11 (–0.26 to 0.04)</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>–0.3 (–0.5 to –0.1)</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glomerular filtration rate, mL/min/1.73 m²</td>
<td>7.5 (4.5-10.6)</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>0 (–2.9 to 2.9)</td>
<td>.99</td>
<td>7.6 (3.3-11.8)</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UEA, mg/24 h</td>
<td>0 (–1 to 10.5)c</td>
<td>.08c</td>
<td>4 (–2.3 to 99)c</td>
<td>.01c</td>
<td>.21c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI indicates body mass index; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; SBP, systolic blood pressure; UEA, urinary excretion of albumin; $V_v-V_b$, baseline value – final value.

$P$ between groups (Student $t$ test for paired data).

$P$ between groups (Student $t$ test for independent groups).

Data show the median (interquartile range).

Wilcoxon test.

Mann-Whitney $U$ test.

---

correlated with the baseline BP value. Those patients with the highest baseline BP values benefited from the greatest reductions. However, the probability of attaining good BP control was almost twice as great in patients with a baseline SBP of <165 mm Hg. Patients with diabetes had less chance of attaining control of their blood pressure. The reduction achieved in BP was greater with spironolactone than with doxazosin. However, patients who were treated with doxazosin experienced less deterioration of their kidney function and fewer electrolyte abnormalities than those treated with spironolactone, even though patients treated with doxazosin started off with poorer mean kidney function values (Table 1). Both therapeutic options would, however, appear to be useful from a clinical point of view and help reduce BP in patients with RHBP.

**DISCUSSION**

The results of the present study show that, in a population of patients with RHPB, the addition of spironolactone or doxazosin to ongoing anti-hypertension treatment was associated with a clear reduction in the SBP and DBP; effective control of the BP was achieved in 39% of the patients who received spironolactone and in 23% of those who received doxazosin. In both treatment groups, the magnitude of the reduction in BP was mainly correlated with the baseline BP value. Those patients with the highest baseline BP values benefited from the greatest reductions. However, the probability of attaining good BP control was almost twice as great in patients with a baseline SBP of <165 mm Hg. Patients with diabetes had less chance of attaining control of their blood pressure. The reduction achieved in BP was greater with spironolactone than with doxazosin. However, patients who were treated with doxazosin experienced less deterioration of their kidney function and fewer electrolyte abnormalities than those treated with spironolactone, even though patients treated with doxazosin started off with poorer mean kidney function values (Table 1). Both therapeutic options would, however, appear to be useful from a clinical point of view and help reduce BP in patients with RHBP.

**Comparison With the Results of Previous Studies**

The present results confirm the data provided by other authors on the impact of spironolactone on BP in small groups of patients with RHBP; they
The present findings indicate that adding either spironolactone or doxazosin to standard treatment with different drugs for the treatment of RHBP is useful. Although combining prior treatment with spironolactone appears to reduce the SBP and DBP and achieve better control of the BP than combining with doxazosin, the design of the present study allows no definitive conclusions to be drawn regarding the superiority of either of these drugs over the other. However, the baseline differences between the two groups treated with these drugs in terms of the SBP and kidney function variables were taken into account in multivariate analysis, and although it cannot be completely ruled out that they had no effect, the data are indicative of spironolactone achieving greater effects. Unfortunately, no factors indicating whether a patient might respond more favorably to one or the other drug were found, although the baseline SBP and diabetes were related to the result achieved in both treatment groups (directly and inversely respectively). Certainly, no differences were seen in the baseline clinical and biochemical details of patients that responded to either drug, indicating that the use of spironolactone plus doxazosin might have a role to play. Further research based on clinical trials should answer some of these questions.

It should be remembered that the use of spironolactone or doxazosin as a fourth drug in the treatment of uncontrolled HBP is only contemplated as one of several therapeutic options by European and particularly British—guidelines for the treatment of HBP. Some very respected authors do not even consider these agents as drugs of choice in their therapeutic algorithms, highlighting the lack

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Control Target Reached, %</th>
<th>MOR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doxazosin</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.85-4.54</td>
<td>.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spironolactone</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>39.1</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.52-18.50</td>
<td>.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>48.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.08-0.39</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>.17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline SBP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥165 mm Hg</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.11-5.9</td>
<td>.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;165 mm Hg</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>38.4</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.92-1.02</td>
<td>.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uric acid</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.88-1.58</td>
<td>.27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.1-1.58</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glomerular filtration rate</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.89-1.01</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MOR indicates multivariate odds ratio; SBP, systolic blood pressure.
of consensus in this area and the need for new data regarding their clinical use.

The present results underline the greater difficulty encountered in controlling RHBP in patients with diabetes—whether spironolactone or doxazosin are used as an additional treatment. Since the target SBP and DBP values are lower in such patients, this is hardly surprising. Nonetheless, a significant reduction in BP is always beneficial in higher risk patients such as diabetics.

It is important to keep in mind the possible prevalence of occult primary hyperaldosteronism in these patients, and in general in patients with RHBP. In the present patients, and in patients with RHBP in all other studies, the possibility of secondary hypertension needs to be borne in mind—always on the basis of indicative clinical data (hypercorticism, suspicion of vasculorenal HBP etc.). Yet this is not systematically taken into account and, therefore, cannot be completely ruled out in published studies.

Neither spironolactone or doxazosin use is free of secondary effects. The present study was not designed to measure these since, at the beginning of the study, all patients with significant kidney disease were excluded. Further, the reduction in BP was only measured among those who actually finished the study or until their treatment had to be modified. It is therefore difficult to draw any conclusions in this respect.

Limitations

The present study is subject to a number of limitations, the main one being its retrospective nature. Further, the patients were not randomly assigned to receive spironolactone or doxazosin treatment; probable clinical bias means no conclusions can be drawn regarding which drug provides the greater benefit. However, it should be pointed out that, in a recent review of the AHA, there was a noticeable absence of prospective data. Naturally, prospective studies of the type required (randomized and double blind and with a similarly large number of patients with RHBP) would encounter very serious difficulties—making manifest the usefulness of retrospective studies such as the present. Certainly, ethical problems would prevent a placebo-controlled trial in patients with RHBP, which would necessarily incur high rates of morbidity.

A further possible limitation to the present study is the fact that the existence of RHBP was not always confirmed by ABPM; this was only the case in 41% of the patients. However, in the rest it was confirmed by HBPM; thus, reasonable account was taken of possible pseudohypertension. Neither can an effect of variation in adherence to treatment be ruled out, nor that there was no placebo effect associated with the addition of a new drug; these factors could only be controlled by a prospective, randomized trial. However, since patients were carefully selected, since the baseline clinical and analytical data for both groups of patients were similar, and since reductions in SBP and DBP in both groups were related to the same factors, it would seem reasonable that the conclusions drawn here are important in daily practice when treating patients with uncontrolled HBP and RHBP. Clearly, however, the general use of these drugs can only be contemplated when controlled clinical trials involving similar patients confirm the present findings.

CONCLUSIONS

High blood pressure cannot be controlled in a large proportion of patients by lifestyle changes, nor even by adding pharmacological treatment. The present results show, however, that a reduction in BP may be possible in a significant number of patients with uncontrolled HBP or RHBP by adding spironolactone or doxazosin to their habitual treatment. Any reduction in BP is beneficial for patients with RHBP, since high BP maintained over a long period is the most important factor in the development of organic damage and the progression or regression of vascular damage; it is also a marker of major clinical events in patients with RHBP.
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