**Introduction and objectives.** To review experience at our center with the use of hybrid techniques for treating complex aneurysms of the thoracic aorta.

**Methods.** The medical records of 41 patients (40 male) with complex aortic aneurysms affecting supra-aortic or visceral vessels who underwent hybrid procedures between 1998 and 2007 were reviewed retrospectively. All patients were in American Society of Anesthesiologists category IV. They were divided in 2 groups: group A comprised 32 patients with aneurysms involving the aortic arch and its branches (2 ascending aorta replacements, 1 arch repair, 13 carotid–carotid bypasses, 12 carotid–subclavian bypasses, and 4 with total arch debranching); and group B comprised 9 patients with thoracoabdominal aneurysms and visceral vessel transpositions (4 partial and 5 total debranching). The mean age in group A was 69.3 (1.3) (range, 62–73) years and in group B, 71.5 (5.0) (range, 68–74) years.

**Results.** The mean hospital stay was 18 (7.1) days (range, 5–35) and 12 (8.2) days (range, 2–15) in groups A and B, respectively. Overall mortality was 12.2% (3.4% and 44.4% in groups A and B, respectively) and neurological morbidity was 3.4% and 11.1% in the 2 groups, respectively.

**Conclusions.** The results obtained with hybrid treatment of the aortic arch area were comparable with those of conventional surgery. However, thoracoabdominal repair with complete revascularization of the visceral branches was associated with high mortality. Consequently, hybrid treatment is a valid option for high-risk patients who do not require complete revascularization in the visceral area.
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**Procedimientos híbridos para patología aórtica compleja. Experiencia inicial de un centro**

**Introducción y objetivos.** Revisar la experiencia de nuestro centro con técnicas híbridas para tratamiento de aneurismas complejos de la aorta torácica.

**Métodos.** Se revisaron retrospectivamente los registros prospectivos de 41 pacientes (40 varones) con aneurismas torácicos que comprometían ramas supraaórticas o viscerales sometidos a procedimientos híbridos, en el periodo 1998-2007. Todos los pacientes fueron clasificados como ASA IV. Estos fueron divididos en 2 grupos: grupo A, 32 pacientes con aneurismas que involucraban el arco aórtico y sus ramas (2 sustituciones de aorta ascendente, 1 reparación de arco, 13 derivaciones carotido-carotídeas, 12 derivaciones carotido-subclavias y 4 con total debranching); grupo B, 9 pacientes con aneurismas toracoabdominales (4 transposiciones parciales y 5 totales de troncos viscerales). En el grupo A la media de edad fue 69.3 ± 1.3 (intervalo, 62–73) años, y en el grupo B fue 71.5 ± 5.0 (intervalo, 68–74) años.

**Resultados.** La media de estancia hospitalaria fue 18 ± 7.1 días (intervalo, 5–35) y 12 ± 8.2 días (intervalo, 2–15) para los grupos A y B, respectivamente. La mortalidad global fue del 12.2% (el 3.4 y 44.4% para los grupos A y B, respectivamente) y morbilidad neurológica del 3.4 y el 11.1%, respectivamente.

**Conclusiones.** Los resultados de nuestros tratamientos híbridos en la zona del arco aórtico son comparables con los de la cirugía convencional. Las reparaciones toracoabdominales con revascularización completa de las ramas viscerales se asocian a mortalidad elevada. Así, la cirugía híbrida es una alternativa válida para pacientes de alto riesgo que no precisen la revascularización completa del área visceral.

**Palabras clave:** Aneurisma torácico. Endovascular. Aneurisma complejo. Arco aórtico. Toracoabdominal. Cirugía híbrida.
INTRODUCTION

Standard surgical treatment for aneurysms of the thoracic aorta (TAA) entails high morbidity and mortality.\(^1,2\) In the last 10 years, endovascular treatment of TAA has emerged as a new option, offering several advantages over the traditional approach\(^3\) as it is less invasive, and avoids thoracotomy and aortic clamping, and their consequences.

With the new technique, new challenges in the treatment of TAA have arisen that we need to overcome. The endoprosthesis has certain limitations due to the anatomy of the aortic arch itself, its curved geometry and calcification of the aorta and its points of access, adequate fixation and deployment of the endoprosthesis in the arch different diseases may affect the aortic wall finally, the durability of materials.

In some cases, endovascular treatment of thoracic aneurysms can prove challenging. The techniques used in hybrid surgery, used in hybrid surgery, that is the combination of open surgery with endovascular techniques, were developed in order to treat complex cases. Broadly speaking, hybrid surgery has 2 forms: one involves the aortic arch and its branches, and the other involves the thoracoabdominal segment and its visceral branches. Theoretically, the principal advantage of this new technique is the fact it avoids complete aortic clamping and its morbid consequences. The objective of the present study is to review initial experience of hybrid techniques in the treatment of complex TAA involving supra-aortic or visceral branches at our center.

METHODS

From March 1998 thru March 2007, our experience of endovascular treatment of TAA involved 158 patients; 41 of these had genuine aneurysms affecting supra-aortic or visceral branches and underwent hybrid procedures. We excluded transient iliac access, patients receiving hybrid prostheses (“frozen elephant trunk”),\(^4\) or aortic conditions other than aneurysms. In the same period, 183 patients with TAA underwent conventional open-heart surgery.

Retrospectively, we analyzed the prospective data corresponding to this cohort. The anesthesiologist classified all patients as ASA IV on a pre-operative visit. We therefore considered them not apt for traditional surgical treatment due to severe medical comorbidities. The comorbidities recorded were: type 2 diabetes mellitus (12.2%), coronary heart disease (24.4%), chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (73.1%), congestive heart failure (9.7%), hostile thorax (4.8%), terminal kidney failure (7.3%), and kidney transplantation (4.8%). Patients were assessed through their case history, physical examination, blood and urine tests, chest x-ray, effort spirometry, and echocardiography. We defined aneurysms as complex if they fully involved the supra-aortic or visceral branch ostium, presented a <2 cm center-luminal distance between the ostium and the start of the aneurysm, or had a <2 cm endoprosthesis neck seal. For endoprosthesis implantation, the proximal and distal neck had to measure ≥2 cm along the central axis of the aorta.

To analyze data, we divided the patients in 2 groups: group A, patients with aneurysms involving the aortic arch; and group B, patients with aneurysms involving the descending aorta and visceral vessels.

Group A comprised 32 patients, 31 men, with mean age 69.3 (1.3) years (range, 62-73); group B consisted of 9 patients, with mean age 71.5 (5.0) years (range, 68-74). Aneurysm size was 6.8 (2.0) cm (range, 5.9-8.2) and 6.8 (2.1) cm (range, 6.4-10.1), respectively. The 32 group A patients received 2-stage treatment with a 6.2 day (range, 2-30) mean time lapse between procedures. Three had been operated previously for aneurysm of the ascending aorta (3 anterior semi-arch reconstructions); 13 patients underwent pre-tracheal carotid-carotid bypasses; 12 underwent carotid-subclavian bypasses; and the remaining 4 underwent total supra-aortic debranching. In group B, 4 patients underwent partial debranching of the visceral vessels and 2-stage endoprosthesis implantation (mean time lapse, 4.5 days; range, 2-7). The remaining 5 patients required total visceral debranching and thoracic endoprosthesis implantation in a single procedure.

All surgical interventions were programmed and took place under general anesthetic. Conventional surgery took place in the vascular surgery operating theater as did the simultaneous repairs. For endoprosthesism implantation, we used a BV 300 mobile x-ray system (Philips, Eindhoven, The Netherlands). Second stage endoprosthesism implantations were performed in the angio-radiology department’s designated, fully-equipped endovascular room and a high-quality, stationary Axiom-Artis x-ray system was used (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany). Endoprostheses diameters were 15%-20% oversized in all patients. The exclusion criteria were presenting diseases of connective tissue, severely calcified aorta, and comorbidities leading to probable <2-year life expectancy.

All patients gave informed consent. This study is part of the integrated endovascular treatment of the aorta project approved by the Ethics Committee of our center in 1997.
RESULTS

Exclusion of aneurysms without endoleaks was achieved in all programmed interventions, representing a technical success rate of 100%.

According to the Classification of thoracic aorta by zones, in the group A patients, 4 endoprostheses were implanted in zone 0 (Figure 1), 13 in zone 1, 12 in zone 2, and 3 in zone 3. In the 9 group B patients, 5 underwent revascularization of the 4 visceral branches (2 renal, celiac trunk, and superior mesenteric) as they presented type II (2 patients) and type III (3 patients) thoracoabdominal aneurysms. Of the remaining patients, 3 presented type IV and 1, type V thoracoabdominal aneurysms. These only required partial revascularization of the branches: 2, by way of a retrograde aorto-supraceliac trunk bypass; 1 received 3 retrograde bypasses from the aorta to the right renal, superior mesenteric, and 1 celiac trunk (Figure 2). One received a double bypass from the abdominal aorta to the celiac trunk and superior mesenteric artery.

The mean intensive care unit stay was 5 (6) days (range, 2-28) in group A and 4 (3.2) days (range, 1-10) in group B. The mean hospital stay was 18 (7.1) days (range, 5-35) in group A and 12 (8.2) days (range, 2-15) in group B.

Post-operatively, 2 group A patients presented pneumonia and 1 developed cervical lymphorrhea following a carotid-subclavian bypass. In group B, 1 had kidney failure and 3 presented severe gastrointestinal complications (2 pancreatitis and 1 mesenteric infarction). In 2 patients we recorded general neurologic morbidity, representing total incidence of 4.8% (3.4% and 11.1% for A and B, respectively): 1 group A patient had multiple embolia in cerebral and bilateral cerebellum territories in the
Recently, endovascular treatment has burst onto the therapeutic scene as a way to reduce mortality and perioperative complications. With the endovascular approach, we eliminate aortic clamping and thoracotomy and avoid excessive blood loss, the use of extracorporeal circulation and/or circulatory arrest, and the consequent hemodynamic instability and coagulation disorders. Difficulties, like the inadequate adaptation of the endoprosthesis to the internal curvature of the aortic arch or the presence of supra-aortic or visceral branches in the sealing and fixation zone, do exist. However, hybrid techniques represent a solution in the treatment of aneurysms involving these critical areas, without the need for complete aortic clamping.

In this retrospective study, our limited experience describes a special group of patients with severe comorbidities. In this context, we excluded aortic conditions other than aneurysm, like pseudoaneurysms or dissections of the aorta. In these patients, treatment strategies varied according to the anatomic conditions they presented. Patients with aortic arch conditions treated with hybrid techniques achieved highly acceptable results: 3.4% mortality, 12.5% morbidity, and only 1 requiring reintervention for carotid-carotid graft exteriorization. The scenario was clearly worse for patients needing revascularization of the visceral vessels, with 44.4% mortality and 55.5% morbidity. In particular, in patients undergoing total revascularization of the 4 branches and simultaneous endoprosthesis implantation we recorded an unacceptable 80% mortality (4 of 5 patients died in the immediate postoperatory). These differences in results derive from the surgical part of the hybrid treatment. Most supra-aortic bypasses are extra-anatomic and sternotomy is not needed. Visceral

**DISCUSSION**

Prevalence of TAA in the western world is on an upward trend due to the aging of the population and the widespread use of diagnostic imaging techniques. Since the last decade, surgical treatment, which traditionally entails high rates of mortality and morbidity, has been able to draw on new therapeutic strategies. Surgical mortality is as high as 34% in some centers. In a retrospective study, Rigberg et al showed that the 30-day mortality of open repair of thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysms in California was 19%, increasing to 31% at 365 days. Major complications were spinal cord ischemia, pulmonary problems, and kidney damage.

However, the risk of thoracic aorta aneurysm lesions rupturing is high if they go untreated. Elefteriades identified thoracic aneurysm size as a predictor of complication (rupture or dissection). At the critical dimensions—26 cm diameter for the ascending aorta and 7 cm for the descending aorta—the probability of rupture or dissection is 31% and 43%, respectively. Of 94 patients without surgical treatment, Crawford et al found 76% died after 2 years; half died due to a rupture.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>In-hospital Mortality</th>
<th>Neurologic Morbidity</th>
<th>Major Morbidity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A Ascending aorta</td>
<td>0/3</td>
<td>0/3</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carotid-carotid</td>
<td>0/13</td>
<td>1/13</td>
<td>44.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carotid-subclavian</td>
<td>0/12</td>
<td>0/12</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total debranching</td>
<td>1/4</td>
<td>0/4</td>
<td>56.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B Partial revascularization</td>
<td>0/4</td>
<td>0/4</td>
<td>44.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total revascularization</td>
<td>4/5</td>
<td>1/5</td>
<td>21.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>12.2%</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>21.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 1. Immediate Results According to Adjuvant Surgical Technique**
Revascularizations require laparotomy with substantial dissections and lengthy intervention times. To this, is added the implantation of very extensive endoprostheses with iodine contrast, which worsens the renal function of patients with renal parenchyma who have suffered recent ischemia during their respective bypasses. With greater surgical experience and the use of technical resources like VORTEC (Viabahn, Open, Rebranching, TECHnique), described by Lachat, presented at SITE 2007, Barcelona), we will probably be able to improve on these results.

Other modest experiences of applying hybrid techniques to solve the pathologic processes of the arch appear in the literature. For example, in a series of 15 patients with aneurysms of the aortic arch treated with hybrid procedures, in the perioperative period, Saleh et al14 recorded 26% of adverse results like thrombosis of the artery at the site of access, “postimplantation syndrome” (back pain, high temperature, leucocytosis, and reduced platelet count), cervical lymphorrhagia following left subclavian tranposition and pulmonary complications (pneumonia) leading to the death of 1 patient at 2 months. In 22 patients requiring an “elephant trunk” complemented by an endovascular procedure, Greenberg et al15 recorded transient neurologic events in 13.6%. In 27 patients undergoing supra-aortic debranching, Czerny et al16 reported 2 deaths, 1 due to myocardial infarction and 1 to rupture of the aneurysm while awaiting the endovascular procedure.

In the near future we will be able to avail ourselves of technologic advances that will facilitate the management of aneurysms of the arch in the form of the development of single-branch endoprostheses. These will enable us to avoid sternotomy when debranching of all supra-aortic trunks is necessary by being able to direct the branch towards the brachiocephalic trunk and complete the cervical extra-anatomic revascularization towards the rest of the supra-aortic trunks. In this context, the experience of Chuter et al is of note.17 They described the modular branched endoprosthesis developed initially in a rubber model for use in the treatment of aortic arch aneurysms. Schneider et al18 report a case of correction of a pseudoaneurismatic aortic arch with this procedure. Another proposal is to resort to the use of stents parallel to the endoprosthesis, between the aorta and the corresponding branch. This still-controversial approach is known internationally as the “chimney technique” (presented by Malina at SITE 2007, Barcelona).

On this point, it is worth commenting on the still controversial need for left subclavian artery revascularization. That is, in the presence of an aberrant right vertebral artery, a dominant left vertebral artery, a permeable mammary-coronary bypass, a functional arteriovenous fistula in the upper left extremity, the antecedent of abdominal aortic vascular surgery, faced with planning extensive endoprosthetic coverage of the descending thoracic aorta, or in left-handed professionals. The most-feared consequence of deliberate occlusion of the left subclavian artery is the appearance of paraplegia.20 Therefore, strictly applied selection criteria are needed in these cases.

In the literature, we find experiences that demystify left subclavian occlusion. Palma et al21 reported a series of 14 patients undergoing deliberate subclavian occlusion by an endoprosthesis and found no adverse effect. In a 24-patient series, Riesenberg et al22 reported only 1 required reintervention, to treat a left extremity with claudication. Also, in our experience, in 12 selected cases we were able to achieve left subclavian artery occlusion without prior revascularization and with no clinical consequences.23

Furthermore, experiences of hybrid treatment of thoracoabdominal aneurysms appear in the literature. In a series of 32 patients, Chiesa et al24 found 30.8% morbidity and 23% mortality. In 29 procedures, Black et al25 reported 21 major complications including prolonged respiratory support, inotropic support, kidney failure requiring some or no temporary support, prolonged ileum, left hemisphere stroke, and ischemic left colon resection. If we look at open conventional surgery series in centers of excellence, authors such as Achoh et al26 report 7% incidence of gastrointestinal complications in 1159 thoracic aneurysms. In this group of complex patients mortality rose to 39.9%; intestinal infarction (62%) was the most frequent cause of death.

A recent review of experience of hybrid repair of thoracoabdominal aneurysms stresses the fact that published series are very small, which means firm conclusions cannot be drawn. However, it is proposed as an alternative for patients at high surgical risk.26 In our experience, we reserve this hybrid technique for partial revascularization, preferably, in 2-stage interventions.

Other options for treating thoracoabdominal aneurysms are branched endoprostheses. In an initial series of 9 patients with thoracoabdominal aneurysms and treated with branched endovascular grafts, Greenberg et al27 recorded 1 dissection of the superior mesenteric artery, 1 reintervention to perform a fenestration of the celiac, and 2 patient deaths in the immediate postoperative. The future development of endoprostheses with more manageable branches and increased clinical
experience will make this technique the preferred alternative in selected patients.

CONCLUSIONS

Provisionally, we conclude that while recognizing that endovascular treatment is less aggressive than traditional open surgery, until long-term follow-up results are available, hybrid techniques should be reserved for patients at high surgical risk. In our experience, results show hybrid treatments in the aortic arch zone are acceptable and comparable with conventional surgery in lower risk patients in centers of excellence. However, thoracoabdominal repairs with total revascularization of visceral branches entail high mortality. Therefore, hybrid repair is a valid alternative for high-risk patients who do not require total revascularization of the visceral area. Greater experience in these techniques would improve current results. We hope endovascular technology continues to develop new ideas to simplify procedures in the near future.
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