Atheromatous Plaques: Quantitative Analysis of the Echogenicity of Different Layers
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Introduction and objectives. Echographic studies of the composition of atheromatous plaques make use of the median gray level, which provides an overall measure of echogenicity. We propose adding an additional dimension to this approach by dividing the lesion into layers and generating a curve that shows the variation in echolucency with depth.

Methods. Femoral and carotid plaques in asymptomatic patients were investigated using both the median gray level and new layer methods. Interobserver variability was assessed for both methods. Three risk factors were studied: age, gender, and smoking status. Differences in echogenicity–depth curves between different groups were assessed using 2-way ANOVA.

Results. The 2 methods gave similar results for the mean echogenicity of carotid and femoral plaques. Echogenicity increased as a function of depth ($r=0.96; P<.001$). With the median gray level method, none of the risk factors produced a change in echogenicity. However, with the layer method, the echogenicity of femoral plaques was found to increase with age ($P<.001$), though gender had no effect. Moreover, the echogenicity of superficial layers was less in smokers than nonsmokers ($P<.01$). In carotid plaques, echogenicity increased with age ($P<.01$) and was higher in men ($P<.01$). The echogenicity of deep plaques was greater in smokers than nonsmokers ($P<.05$). The reproducibility of the 2 methods was similar.

Conclusions. The layer method was more effective than the median gray level method for identifying the effect of age, sex and smoking status on the echogenicity of atheromatous plaques.
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Placas de ateroma: descripción cuantitativa de la ecogenicidad por capas

Introducción y objetivos. El estudio ecográfico de la composición de la placa de ateroma utilizando la mediana de niveles de gris resume la ecogenicidad a un valor global. Se propone agregar una dimensión a ese enfoque fragmentando la lesión en capas y construyendo una curva de ecolucencia en función de la profundidad.

Métodos. Se analizaron placas en carótida y femoral de pacientes asintomáticos por el método de la mediana y por el nuevo método en capas. Se analizó la reproducibilidad interobservador de ambos procedimientos. Se estudiaron 3 factores: edad, género y tabaco. Lascurvas de ecogenicidad se compararon entre grupos con un ANOVA de 2 vías.

Resultados. La ecogenicidad de las placas en carótida y femoral resultó similar por ambos métodos. Las curvas de ecogenicidad crecen en función de la profundidad ($r = 0.96; p < 0.001$). El método global no arrojó diferencias de ecogenicidad para ningún factor. En las placas femorales, el método por capas detectó mayor ecogenicidad con la edad ($p < 0.001$) y no detectó diferencias con el género. Los fumadores mostraron menor ecogenicidad superficial con respecto a los no fumadores ($p < 0.01$). En las carótidas, aumentó la ecogenicidad con la edad ($p < 0.01$) y el género masculino ($p < 0.01$). La ecogenicidad en fumadores aumentó hacia el interior con respecto a los no fumadores ($p < 0.05$). La reproducibilidad de ambos métodos resultó similar.

Conclusiones. El análisis por capas resultó más efectivo que el método global para identificar la influencia de la edad, el género y el tabaco sobre la ecogenicidad de placas de ateroma.

INTRODUCTION

Atherosclerosis is a progressive disease characterized by the accumulation of lipids and fibrous elements in arterial walls. One of the most widespread clinical techniques for its assessment is vascular ultrasound examination. This typically consists of the acquisition of B mode ultrasound images of the main arteries in order to look for lesions. It has been shown that the presence of carotid plaques in asymptomatic patients has a positive predictive power of cardiovascular risk greater than that provided by other non-invasive measurements, such as the thickness of the intima-media, the pulse wave velocity or endothelial function.1

The development of atheromatous plaques can be divided into 2 stages; initiation and progression. The risk factors that condition the appearance of these plaques in a patient can be studied, and once a lesion has been detected, the study of its progress can help predict the vulnerability of the patient.2 This vulnerability refers to the probability of the plaque rupturing and the risk of the patient suffering an important cardiovascular event. The factors identified that condition such ruptures include size3,4 (degree of stenosis), the cholesterol concentration,5 and traditional risk factors such as sex, age and smoking.6-8 An additional challenge is to be able to estimate the vulnerability of the lesion early, thus providing information that might help in taking decisions in cases of atherectomy4-9 and prosthetic implantations.10

A number of qualitative methods were initially proposed to characterize atheromatous plaques, but their reproducibility was not always very good.11-13 Quantitative methods then became available which tried to reduce observer dependence.14,15 The traditional technique in this area proposed the calculation of the gray scale median (GSM) of all the pixels represented in a plaque. It is relatively well accepted that dark, homogeneous plaques are an indication of the presence of lipids and therefore that the lesion is vulnerable. Brighter plaques are associated with the presence of calcium, which helps to stabilize them and reduce their vulnerability.16-18 These observations have been verified in symptomatic patients19 and have been compared with histopathological findings.20-22

Factors such as the gain of the ultrasound scan, the image quality, the cut plane of the projection in 2D, and the arbitrariness of the plaque boundaries have all been identified as sources of methodological variation.14,15

The traditional method averages the composition of the atheromatous plaque, such that a single echolucency value is provided. This method does not, therefore, take into account regional variations. Nonetheless, these lesions are generally heterogeneous, and patterns related to the presence of internal hemorrhaging23 or lipid nuclei along with fine but denser surface layers might be associated with high vulnerability.3,16

The aim of the present work was to assess a new strategy for evaluating the composition of atheromatous plaques. This consists of analyzing the GSM of the plaque stratified in layers parallel to the surface. Each plaque is thus characterized by its layers via a GSM curve with respect to depth, from the lumen towards the adventitia. The reproducibility of the traditional model and the proposed “bilayer” model is discussed, along with the influence of certain risk factors on echogenicity curves.

METHODS

Study Subjects

The study subjects were 42 asymptomatic patients who were attended to at the Centre de Médecine Préventive Cardiovasculaire of the Georges Pompidou Hospital in Paris (France). All atheroma plaques were recorded by the same operator. All patients gave their signed consent to be included in the study, thus meeting the ethical requirements of the institution.

Acquisition and Quantification of Images

Femoral and carotid arteries were visualized longitudinally using a high resolution ultrasound apparatus (Logic 9, General Electric) in B mode (transducers 9 MHz). The images were digitalized using a computer (Pentium 4, 1 GHz, 512 MB RAM (random access memory), hard disk 120 GB) equipped with a Data Translation DT3130 series data capture card (Marlboro, Maryland, USA). Image resolution was fixed at 768 × 576 pixels and 256 scales of gray (0=black; 255=white). Image processing and plaque quantification was performed using a Hemodyn 4M apparatus (Dinap SRL, Argentina). Image quantification was always performed by the same expert operator (GC) as follows:

- The operator moved 2 square marks (20 × 20 pixels), 1 red and 1 green, inside the lumen (blood
After establishing layers, each 0.2 mm thick, the pixels were grouped according to their distance from the surface curve, stratifying the plaque into layers parallel to the wall-lumen interface (Figure 1B).

For each 0.2 mm-thick layer, the GSM for all the pixels was calculated. Thus, a GSM curve was made for each plaque as a function of depth in 0.2 mm layers. The thickness of each layer was adopted as a function of the resolution of the ultrasound scan, estimated approximately as 0.1 mm. Both the traditional analysis and the bilayer analysis involved the surface 2 mm (first 10 layers); see Figures 1C and D for an example. Despite the fact that, globally, the gray scales of the 3 plaques shown are similar, only when the deep curves are studied can the plaque with a linear progression of density (plaque 1) be distinguished from that showing more opaque nuclei (plaque 2) or more dense nuclei (plaque 3). The algorithm requires a moderate computation time, but always under 5 s per plaque.

Statistical Analysis of the GSM Curves by Risk Group

Each plaque forms an independent unit of analysis associated with the clinical information of each patient. The values obtained are generally expressed as means SD.
The carotid and femoral plaques of the present patients were studied separately. Three risk factors were selected: age (using the median age as the cut-off point), sex, and smoking. Three comparisons were made, separating the plaques into 2 groups depending on the three risk factors outlined. To determine how these factors influenced the echogenicity of the plaques, both traditional and bilayer analyses were performed.

In the traditional method, the GSM was compared using the Student t test for non-paired data. Significance was set at \( P < .05 \). In the bilayer method, the GSM was averaged layer by layer in each group, thus making 2 mean curves. The comparison of the curves between groups was undertaken using 2-way ANOVA. The first factor was the “group”, the second “depth.” Therefore, a significant ANOVA results corresponds to 2 curves with different GSM in at least 1 layer. When such cases were detected, a post hoc Tukey honestly significant differences (HSD) test was performed and the dissimilar layers identified by marking the curves.

**Interobserver Variation**

A second operator analyzed a randomly selected subgroup of 55 plaques to examine the variation between observers with respect to the following variables: area, the GSM of the surface layer, and the median value for the first and second millimeter (known as 0, 1 and 2, respectively). The distribution of the area of the plaque was normalized using the logarithm function. Means, SD, and coefficients of variation (CV) \( [SD/\text{mean}] \times 100\% \) were recorded. Correlations were also calculated using the least mean squares methods. Trends were analyzed in the residuals graph.  

**RESULTS**

The risk of coronary heart disease in the study population, according to the Framingham scale, was 12% (8%) at 10 years. Table 1 shows the remaining clinical characteristics of the patients. A total of 127 plaques were found in the 42 patients. The echogenicity of the femoral and carotid plaques were similar in the traditional and bilayer analyses (Figure 2). As shown in the GSM curve, the echogenicity of the set of plaques tended to increase linearly towards their interior. The linear trend was significant \( (r=0.96; \ P < .001) \).

Table 2 shows the results of the traditional analysis method. With respect to the femoral plaques, those of older patients were marginally more echogenic \( (P=.05) \). Neither sex nor smoking were found to significantly affect echogenicity. For the carotid plaques, neither age, sex nor smoking appeared to have any significant influence on echogenicity.

Figure 3 shows the results obtained using the bilayer method. The first line of information shows that the plaques of older patients to be more echogenic than those of younger patients, both for femoral plaques \( \text{(ANOVA, } P < .001) \) and carotid

**TABLE 1. Clinical Characteristics**

| Patients, n | 42 |
| Men, n (%) | 28 (66) |
| Age, years | 56 (8) |
| Current smoker, n (%) | 12 (29) |
| Body mass index | 26 (3.8) |
| Systolic blood pressure, mm Hg | 135 (19) |
| Diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg | 81 (13) |
| Total cholesterol, mmol/L | 5.17 (1) |
| HDL cholesterol, mmol/L | 1.35 (0.55) |
| LDL cholesterol, mmol/L | 3.15 (0.85) |
| Triglycerides, mmol/L | 1.48 (0.96) |
| Number of plaques per patient | 3 (2) |
| Values are means (SD). |

**TABLE 2. Echogenicity Results. Traditional Method**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group 1</th>
<th>Group 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Femoral plaques (n=62)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>&lt;59 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99 (32)</td>
<td>118 (35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Men</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107 (32)</td>
<td>102 (41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smokers</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105 (27)</td>
<td>106 (45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-23</td>
<td>-42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Carotid plaques (n=65)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>&lt;59 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101 (30)</td>
<td>114 (53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Men</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109 (37)</td>
<td>97 (44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smokers</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105 (27)</td>
<td>106 (45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-23</td>
<td>-42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each plaque was an independent unit of analysis associated with the clinical data of the corresponding patient. The figures provided are the gray scale median (GSM) and standard deviation (SD) of all the pixels within the boundaries of a plaque. The difference in the GSM between groups was studied using the Student t test; significance was set at \( P < .05 \). Separation of the plaques by sex and tobacco use led to no significant differences being appreciated with regard to age (NS).

Figure 2. Bilayer analysis method of carotid and femoral plaques. Left: mean of the GSM and SD for the traditional method. Site had no influence on the gray scale; the Student $t$ test returned a non-significant result. Right: reconstruction of the mean depth curves for both groups. The mean and standard error per layer is provided. Note that the curves are similar with a positive linear trend towards the interior of the plaque. Two-way ANOVA analysis returned a non-significant result.

Figure 3. Reconstruction of GSM as a function of layer depth. Left: femoral plaques; right, carotid plaques. Each line of information separates 2 groups for 3 risk factors: age, sex, and use of tobacco. The curves were compared by 2-way ANOVA; the layers were then compared using the post hoc Tukey HSD test. 
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Reconstruction of GSM as a function of layer depth. Left: femoral plaques; right, carotid plaques. Each line of information separates 2 groups for 3 risk factors: age, sex, and use of tobacco. The curves were compared by 2-way ANOVA; the layers were then compared using the post hoc Tukey HSD test. 
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plaque (ANOVA, $P<.01$). In both cases, at least 9 out of 10 layers showed significant differences.

The second line of information shows that the femoral plaques of women and men subjects were of similar echogenicity, while more of the men’s carotid plaques showed more noticeable echolucency (ANOVA, $P<.01$).

The third line of information shows that the surface regions of the femoral plaques of the smokers were less echogenic than those of non-smokers (ANOVA, $P<.01$). The nucleus of the carotid plaques of the smokers was brighter than that of the non-smokers (ANOVA, $P<.05$).

**Interobserver Reproducibility**

The SD and CV of the repeated measurements of the GSM made using the traditional method by 2 independent observers were 6.7% and 7.5%, respectively. Both measurements were strongly correlated ($r=0.99$; $P<.001$). For the 0, 1, and 2 mm layers, the SD and CV were 8.8% and 12%, 8.4% and 9.2%, and 8.9% and 7%, respectively. The correlation between observers for the 0, 1, and 2 mm layers were $r=0.91$ ($P<.001$), $r=0.93$ ($P<.001$), and $r=0.99$ ($P<.001$). The SD and CV for the log of the area of the plaques was 0.087 log mm$^2$ and 6.6%, respectively. A strong correlation was also seen ($r=0.97$; $P<.001$). For all correlations the perceivable absence of trends in the analysis of residuals was verified.

**DISCUSSION**

This work extends the traditional analysis of the echogenicity of atheromatous plaques (which involves the calculation of the GSM of the pixels of lesions in general) by studying the layers running parallel to the plaque surface. While the variation between observers was similar in both methods, bilayer analysis was more effective at identifying the influence of risk factors on the echogenicity of the plaques. Age, sex, and smoking were all found to modify the structure of the plaques towards their interior—modifications only appreciable using the proposed method. The new method’s greater specificity of stratification at depth compared to the traditional method is the major finding of the present study.

The vulnerability of an atheromatous plaque depends on its composition. In general, the most explored arterial segment is the carotid, although studies on the femoral artery show that the composition of plaques in this region can be used to efficiently predict cardiovascular risk. A traditional analysis of the GSM in such cases is insufficiently specific to be able to detect such regional peculiarities. The new method, however, offers the equivalent of a virtual, layer-bilayer histological examination, in which the echogenicity of each layer is assessed. As shown in Figure 2, if, as a function of depth, the GSM curve is projected over the vertical axis, the information provided by the traditional analysis is reduced, with important information on the interior of the plaque remaining hidden. Figures 1C and D show plaques which, in general, have similar GSM but which can be clearly differentiated via their depth curves.

The proposed bilayer analysis increases the amount of information available on the composition of a plaque via its gray scales, but the technique requires a statistical analysis that compares 2 curves instead of 2 mean values. In this work, 2-way ANOVA was used with “group” and “depth” as the independent factors. To determine whether different risk factors influenced the composition of plaques, these were systematically divided into 2 groups, averaging their curves layer by layer. As shown in Figure 3, the new method allowed differences between the curves at different depths to be differentiated; these differences were not detected by the traditional method. The statistical results confirmed those observed visually. When the curves at different depths tended to entwine, the ANOVA result was not significant (Figure 2). However, when the layers tended to differentiate, post hoc analysis was sufficiently robust to pinpoint the exact location of the differences (Figure 3).

Figure 2 provides direct evidence of a further two findings: the linear trend in density as a function of depth, and the similarity in the echogenicity between segments. With respect to the former, the present data confirm the curves at depth reported by other researchers. The invasion of the lesion towards the lumen should be understood to represent an advanced stage of disease since the elastic remodeling phase has been completed. It is not contradictory that, as the analysis of echogenicity proceeds towards the adventitia, the tissue should become more dense. In fact, the adventitia is used as a reference for the highest gray scale.

The similarity in echogenicity of femoral and carotid plaques is confirmed by the curves shown in Figure 2. The normalization method may have been a factor that influenced this finding. In addition, the averaging of a large number of curves can produce a trend towards the mean. In order to identify vulnerable curve patterns, the analysis of the composition of the plaques of each patient becomes

The present work suffers from a number of limitations. The reproducibility of the quantitative methods for characterizing plaques has been discussed elsewhere. However, attempts to minimize variation included the involvement of a single expert observer who always used the same equipment for capturing, normalizing and processing the images. In the analysis of inter-observer variation, the CV was around 10% both for the traditional and bilayer methods for the surface, 1 mm and 2 mm layers. This similarity in variation in both methods appears reasonable since the technique is essentially the same, the difference lying in the automatic processing of the layer images. Repeatability was not analyzed since the follow-up of the patients did not so allow. It is important to note that only the first 10 layers of each plaque were subjected to echogenicity analysis. It was decided to study the region closest to the wall-fluid interface for 2 main reasons. First, the rupture of plaques has been associated with high shear stresses in the surface regions which, in the case of plaques covered with a fine fibrous layer, causes them to break and allow contact between the lipid content of the plaque and the blood. Second, since plaques vary in size, it was necessary to adopt a number of layers that would include the greatest number of lesions. The choice of the radial projections reflected the fact that the wall-fluid interface is the only interface that can be used as a reference for making parallel projections. No clear reference, either at the end or beginning of the lesions, was available for longitudinal projections. Studying the size of the lesions was not, however, a major objective of the present work. Future protocols should be designed to focus on the area of plaques and its relationship with lesion composition. Other authors who have advanced in the stratification of plaques have opted to quantify plaque composition via gray thresholds and by giving color to the different regions. The statistical method outlined in the present work for analyzing the differences between curves might help perfect this approach. Finally, work still needs to be performed in symptomatic patients or patients receiving secondary attention in order to progress in the obtaining of reference curves that would allow the vulnerability of atheromatous plaques to be examined early. This would help in decisions regarding individual preventive treatment.

CONCLUSIONS

Image processing techniques make it possible to stratify the echogenicity of atheromatous plaques by layers, and to construct GSM curves as a function of layer depth. Grouping plaques by patient risk factors means these curves can be used to study plaque echogenicity in much greater detail than with the traditional analysis method. Being able to quantitatively describe regional configurations of lesion structure as a function of depth is the first step towards making an advance in our estimation of plaque vulnerability to rupture.
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