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The aims of this study were to investigate echocardiographic abnormalities in the elderly and to evaluate differences between those who are normotensive and those who have white-coat hypertension or sustained hypertension. The study used data on a subsample of participants in the EPICARDIAN project who came from the Lista district of Madrid, Spain. Of the 271 included, 61 (22.5%) were normotensive, 81 (29.9%) had white-coat hypertension and 129 (49.6%) had sustained hypertension. The left ventricular mass index was significantly different between the subgroups: 94.9 g/m² in normotensives, 125.6 g/m² in those with white-coat hypertension and 136.3 g/m² in those with sustained hypertension (P<.001). The prevalence of left ventricular hypertrophy, defined using Deveraux’s criteria, was 13.2% in normotensives, 49.1% in those with white-coat hypertension and 54.3% in those with sustained hypertension (P=.00007). White-coat hypertension is not an innocuous finding in the elderly because its implications for cardiac health are closer to those of sustained hypertension than to those of normal blood pressure.
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INTRODUCTION

The present work examined the echocardiographic abnormalities of a sample of elderly patients, analyzing the differences between normotensive subjects, those with white coat hypertension (WCH), and those with sustained high blood pressure. The prevalence of WCH increases with age. It is estimated that some 43%-45% of people >65 years of age suffer this syndrome. The prevalence of left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH) in patients of this age approaches 15%. The presence of abnormalities in the shape of the left ventricle is associated with an increase in mortality. Despite the studies performed on WCH, its prognostic significance has remained unknown. The present work provides data on the repercussion of WCH in the elderly.
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METHODS

The data examined in this work were gathered from a randomly selected subgroup of patients enrolled in the EPICARDIAN (EPIdemiologia CARDIovascular en los Ancianos en España [Cardiovascular Epidemiology in Elderly Spanish People]) study. The methodology of the EPICARDIAN study has been described elsewhere. The baseline characteristics of the subgroup members were not significantly different from those of the original sample. Casual blood pressure (CBP) was determined in a physician's office using a mercury sphygmomanometer. Three measurements were taken using the same arm, 2 with the patient sitting and 1 with the patient standing. Ambulatory monitoring (24 h) was undertaken using a Spacelabs 90207 oscillometer. Normal blood pressure was regarded as a CBP of <140/90 mm Hg, no anti-hypertension treatment, and an ambulatory monitoring blood pressure of <135/85 mm Hg during activity. White coat hypertension was regarded as a systolic blood pressure (SBP) of ≥140 mm Hg and/or a diastolic blood pressure (DBP) of ≥90 mm Hg, no anti-hypertension treatment, and an ambulatory monitoring blood pressure of <135/85 mm Hg during activity. Sustained hypertension was regarded as an SBP of ≥140 and/or DBP ≥90 mm Hg, with hypertension treatment, and an ambulatory monitoring blood pressure of ≥135/85 mm Hg during activity. All echocardiograms were obtained using a Toshiba 160 apparatus equipped with a transthoracic transducer (2.5 MHz). The echocardiographers were unaware of the blood pressure conditions of the patients; the degree of agreement between them was determined. All echocardiographic measurements followed the norms of the American Society of Echocardiography published by Sahn et al in Circulation in 1978, and were performed in M and echo-Doppler modes. Left ventricular hypertrophy was deemed present when, if using the criteria of Devereaux, the ventricular mass index VMI (mass of the left ventricle/body surface area) was ≥134 g/m² in men or ≥110 g/m² in women, or if using the Framingham criteria the VMI (mass of the left ventricle/height) was ≥141 g/m² in men or ≥102 g/m² in women, or if using the Cornell-Penn criteria the VMI (mass of the left ventricle/body surface area) was ≥111 g/m² in men or ≥106 g/m² in women. The prevalence of diabetes mellitus and dyslipidemia was not determined, nor was any treatment recorded that might have been prescribed for these conditions. Basic examinations were not performed.

Categorical variables were recorded as frequency distributions; continuous variables were recorded as means and their standard deviations. The χ² or Fisher exact test was used to seek relationships between qualitative variables. ANOVA was used to compare the left ventricular mass (LVM) and VMI between groups. All calculations were performed using SPSS v.10 software.

RESULTS

Of 271 patients, 61 (22.5%) were classified as normotensive, 81 (29.9%) had WCH, and 129 (49.6%) had sustained high blood pressure. Table 1 shows the patients’ demographic characteristics. The age distribution of the sample was: 172 subjects (63.5%) <75 years of age, and 99 (36.5%) ≥75 years of age. The left ventricular ejection fraction was 66.9% in the normotensive subjects, 67.7% in those with WCH, and 69.2% in those with sustained hypertension (P=.52). The LVM (g) was determined at 95% confidence interval (95% CI), and was 162 (95% CI, 136.1-187.9) in the normotensive subjects, 214.2 (95% CI, 198.1-231.4) in those with WCH, and 234.7 (95% CI, 214.9-254.4) in those with sustained hypertension (P=.0001). The VMI (g/m²) was 94.9 (95% CI, 82.3-107.6) in normotensive subjects, 125.6 (95% CI, 116.8-134.4) in those with WCH, and 136.3 (95% CI, 125.8-146.8) in those with sustained hypertension (P<.001). ANOVA detected significant differences between the three groups with respect to LVM (P<.001) and VMI (P<.001).

The prevalence of LVH (Figure 1) according to the Devereaux criteria was 13.2% in the normotensive
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TABLE 1. Population Characteristics (n=271)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NT (n=61)</th>
<th>WCH (n=81)</th>
<th>SHT (n=129)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean (SD), y</td>
<td>72.1</td>
<td>72.6</td>
<td>73.4</td>
<td>.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight, kg</td>
<td>67.1</td>
<td>67.3</td>
<td>67.9</td>
<td>.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height, cm</td>
<td>162.4</td>
<td>160.1</td>
<td>159.5</td>
<td>.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m²</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women, %</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>53.1</td>
<td>62.8</td>
<td>.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men, %</td>
<td>57.4</td>
<td>46.9</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>&lt;.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atrial fibrillation</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; NT, normotensive; SHT, sustained hypertension; WCH, white coat hypertension.
The prevalence of LVH was compared between normotensive patients and those with WCH, in general and according to age and sex. Significant differences \( (P<.005) \) were found in all cases except between women, when using the Framingham criteria \( (P=.2) \), and in subjects aged 75 and over when using the same criteria \( (P=.2) \). The same type of analysis was then performed to compare the prevalence of LVH in those with WCH and those with sustained hypertension. No significant differences

\[
\text{Deveraux, Framingham and Cornell-Penn: criteria for left ventricular hypertrophy (echocardiography); NT: normotensive; SHT: sustained hypertension; WCH: white coat hypertension.}
\]
were detected except between men when using the Framingham criteria ($P=.03$). Diastolic dysfunction was recorded in 81% of the normotensive patients, in 88.1% of those with WCH, and in 84.8% of those with sustained hypertension ($P=.6$) (Figure 2). The kappa index with respect to LVH was $\kappa=0.1$.

**DISCUSSION**

Those studies that have examined morbidity/mortality in patients with WCH have returned differing results.$^{2,11}$ The best way to manage such patients is a matter of debate.$^{4,12}$ Despite the work undertaken to date, the prognostic significance of WCH has remained unknown.

The present work provides data on the repercussion of WCH in the elderly. The results suggest that WCH may be associated, in the long term, with cardiac damage between that associated with normotension and sustained hypertension; this potential relationship was maintained after stratifying by age and sex.

The prevalence of LVH in those patients with WCH was 49%. This is higher than that seen in the normotensive subjects but lower than that seen in those with sustained hypertension; this might have prognostic implications with respect to morbidity/mortality.

The present results show that, in elderly people, WCH is associated with cardiac structural abnormalities more like those seen in patients with sustained hypertension than in normotensive subjects.

The kappa index for LVH showed a low level of agreement ($\kappa=0.1$). This is explained in that, when categorizing the quantitative variable VMI as the categorical variable LVH, much information is lost, a consequence of the large percentage of patients with a VMI slightly above the threshold for hypertrophy.
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