2013, echocardiograms were recorded for 748 patients with atrial fibrillation but without a valve prosthesis, and with a CHADS2 score of 1 or more. The patients with valve lesions are shown in the Table.

In terms of their valve lesions, 655 patients (87.6%) would correspond to the clinical profile of ARISTOTLE and ENGAGE AF-TIMI 48 trials. Between 590 and 655 (78.9% and 87.6%) would correspond to the ROCKET profile. It is more difficult to determine how many would correspond to the RE-LY profile and how many would have NVAF. This would depend on the threshold for NVAF. If NVAF requires “hemodynamically relevant valve disease”, between 161 and 276 patients could be included (21.5%-36.9%). These notable differences highlight the weakness of the term NVAF when selecting patients for treatment with NOACs.

These data cannot be extrapolated to the general population because the patients were referred for echocardiography. Nevertheless, they may be representative of a large proportion of patients with different types of valve lesions and atrial fibrillation.

The authors of the ARISTOTLE trial reported that more than a quarter of the patients in the study had valve lesions that could be considered significant. In these patients, the benefit of apixaban was similar to that in patients without valve lesions. This finding may dissipate doubts about the risk of using NOACs, or at least apixaban, in patients with valve lesions other than mitral stenosis. In the ROCKET trial, 14% of the patients were considered to have significant valve lesions.

The clinical trials show that NOACs, or at least factor Xa antagonists, can be used in patients with atrial fibrillation who do not have mechanical prostheses or significant mitral valve stenosis, although they may have other valve lesions, whether or not they are significant. This point is essential, as atrial fibrillation is the most frequent sustained arrhythmia, while mitral valve stenosis is becoming less frequent. The term NVAF does not seem appropriate as an umbrella term for patients who may benefit from NOACs. Not only is this term not representative, but it is also not defined in the guidelines and may lead to inappropriate and uneven clinical management in the indications for anticoagulation. We are therefore of the opinion that this poorly defined term should not be used in this context.
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Percutaneous Closure of Baffle Leaks in Patients With Atrial Switch Operation for D-Transposition of the Great Arteries

Cierre percutáneo de comunicaciones interauriculares en pacientes con cirugía de switch auricular para la D-transposición de grandes arterias

The atrial switch procedure (Senning or Mustard technique) was the surgical standard of care for patients with D-transposition of the great arteries during the 1970s and 1980s. This intervention achieved redirection of systemic venous blood flow to the left ventricle (subpulmonary) and of the pulmonary venous flow to the right ventricle (systemic) by means of an atrial baffle. Interest in this topic is mainly due to the large number of patients currently being followed up after these interventions. The long-term complications of the atrial switch procedure are mainly related to right ventricular dysfunction, atrial bradyarrhythmias and tachyarrhythmias, pulmonary hypertension and baffle problems (leaks and/or obstructions).1,2 Baffle leaks have traditionally been surgically treated.1,4

The main aim of our report is to describe the percutaneous approach for the treatment of baffle leaks and its clinical medium-term results in a tertiary hospital. From 2005 to 2012,
percutaneous closure of 9 baffle leaks was indicated in 8 patients. All patients gave informed consent. Our study had a priori approval by the ethics committee.

All procedures were performed under general anesthesia, and closure was guided by transesophageal echocardiography and biplane fluoroscopy. Vascular accesses were as follows: femoral vein and artery in 6 patients, femoral artery only in 1 patient, and humeral artery and vein in another patient. All patients were heparinized. Hemodynamic study and angiography were performed. Data of the leak size was obtained with echocardiography and balloon sizing using the “stop-flow technique”, and the size and type of the device used were selected on a case by case basis by evaluating all the measurements.

The Wilcoxon test was used for nonparametric tests of paired samples when analyzing the pre- and postprocedural data, and the statistical analysis was performed in SPSS for Windows v. 13.

The Figure shows the angiographic and echocardiographic findings before and after closure. The Table shows a summary of the baseline data and procedural details. Eight patients underwent catheterization. The patients with pulmonary overcirculation were in New York Heart Association (NYHA) class I-II with a mean QP/QS of 2.9 (range, 1.6-6) and echocardiographic dilation of the subpulmonary ventricle. Cyanotic patients had a mean resting saturation of 84% (range, 73%-92%) with erythrocytemia (mean hemoglobin, 17.8 g/dL [range, 14.4 g/dL-20 g/dL]). The mean age at catheterization was 30 years (range, 22-37). The leaks were mainly located in the connection of the 2 limbs of the venous baffle.

Six of the 8 procedures (75%) were successful. Seven Amplatzer-ASO® and 1 Amplatzer-ADO® (St Jude Medical, St Paul, Minnesota, USA) were placed in 7 patients.

Failures: In patient 5, it was impossible to achieve a correct position of the device. Complications: Patient 7 had a large baffle leak closed with a 34-mm device after a careful stability check. The 24-hour echocardiographic follow-up showed device migration to the left atria and percutaneous retrieval was successful.

The median follow-up of the patients after the procedure was 43 months (ranging from 12-94 months). All patients who were treated percutaneously were in NYHA class I after the procedure. In the 2 cyanotic patients, desaturation was immediately corrected (mean postprocedural oxygen saturation (Sat O₂) of 97%; range, 96%-98%) and correction of erythrocytemia was also observed (mean postprocedural Hb, 13.3 g/dL). No recurrence of the baffle leak has been seen in the follow-up.

Several case reports and some series have described percutaneous techniques to relieve obstruction of venous baffles and closure of baffle leaks, but the largest being that of Daehnert et al with 14 patients treated with stents and ASO devices. In contrast with other series, our report shows patients treated because of overcirculation. Aggressive treatment in these patients seems reasonable because they can develop pulmonary hypertension.

The limitations of our report are related to its retrospective design and the lack of control groups.

Percutaneous closure of baffle leaks after an atrial switch procedure for D-transposition of the great arteries is feasible and has a high success rate. It produces immediate clinical improvement, but the anatomy of the leaks makes the procedure complex.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Patient 1</th>
<th>Patient 2</th>
<th>Patient 3</th>
<th>Patient 4</th>
<th>Patient 5</th>
<th>Patient 6</th>
<th>Patient 7</th>
<th>Patient 8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clinical and Procedural Data (n = 8)</strong></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age, y</strong></td>
<td>33</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Previous surgery</strong></td>
<td>Mustard</td>
<td>Mustard</td>
<td>Sening</td>
<td>Sening</td>
<td>Sening</td>
<td>Mustard</td>
<td>Mustard</td>
<td>Sening</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Indication</strong></td>
<td>Overcirculation</td>
<td>Cyanosis</td>
<td>Cyanosis</td>
<td>Overcirculation</td>
<td>Overcirculation</td>
<td>Overcirculation</td>
<td>Cyanosis</td>
<td>Overcirculation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Procedure results</strong></td>
<td>Success</td>
<td>Success</td>
<td>Success</td>
<td>Success</td>
<td>Failed</td>
<td>Success</td>
<td>Failed (migration)</td>
<td>Success</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NYHA status pre</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NYHA status post</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Baseline S(\text{at O}_2)</strong></td>
<td>98</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Post-Procedure S(\text{at O}_2)</strong></td>
<td>98</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PAP, s/d/m</strong></td>
<td>21/9/15</td>
<td>18/8/13</td>
<td>23/6/14</td>
<td>50/29/36</td>
<td>27/13/17</td>
<td>22/11/16</td>
<td>18/8/11</td>
<td>25/4/11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TEE sizing, mm</strong></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Balloon sizing, mm</strong></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>QP/QS</strong></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Device</strong></td>
<td>ASO 13 + ADO II 6</td>
<td>ASO 12</td>
<td>ASO 9</td>
<td>ASO 11</td>
<td>No device</td>
<td>ASO 28</td>
<td>ASO 34</td>
<td>ASO 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fluoroscopy time, min</strong></td>
<td>32</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fluoroscopy dose, Gy/cm²</strong></td>
<td>138</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>1178</td>
<td>1134</td>
<td>5196</td>
<td>3480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contrast volume, mL</strong></td>
<td>250</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Procedure time, min</strong></td>
<td>167</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>356</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F, female; M, male; NYHA, New York Heart Association; Sat \(\text{O}_2\), arterial oxygen saturation; PAP, pulmonary artery pressure (systolic, diastolic, mean).
Our data suggest that percutaneous closure of baffles is a safe procedure and the medium-term follow-up demonstrates no recurrences of the leaks and good clinical status.
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Delaying Positive Response to a Flecainide Test in a Patient With Suspected Brugada Syndrome: a Worrisome Finding

Respuesta positiva diferida al test de flecainida en paciente con sospecha de síndrome de Brugada: un hallazgo preocupante

To the Editor,

Drug challenge tests used to elicit a Brugada electrocardiographic pattern (B-ECG) are fundamental in the diagnosis of this syndrome. Sodium channel blockers used in the treatment of cardiac arrhythmias, mainly ajmaline and flecainide, are the agents most widely employed because of their demonstrated efficacy and safety in unmasks the B-ECG. The results of the test are considered positive if, during infusion of the drug, a nondiagnostic B-ECG (type 2 or 3) becomes a diagnostic B-ECG (type 1 or coved type), which is characterized by ST segment elevation ≥ 2 mm followed by a negative T wave in more than 1 precordial lead (V₁-V₃). These tests are usually performed in an outpatient setting and, once the electrocardiogram has become normalized, the patient is discharged after a short monitoring period. There is no information in the literature concerning the incidence and implications of late positive responses following an initially negative flecainide test (FT).

We report the case of a 32-year-old man who was asymptomatic and had no family history of sudden cardiac death. He was referred to our hospital to undergo a FT when he was found to have type 3 B-ECG in a routine electrocardiogram. The results of a physical examination and a battery of analyses were normal. The existence of structural heart disease was ruled out by echocardiography. A baseline electrocardiogram performed prior to the FT revealed no abnormalities. Following flecainide infusion (2 mg/kg body weight for 10 minutes), the patient had a significantly prolonged QRS interval, but met none of the diagnostic criteria for B-ECG (with precordial leads V₁ and V₃ placed both in the fourth and in the third intercostal space). Although the widening of the QRS complex did not meet the criteria for interrupting the test (QRS > 130% of baseline value), he was admitted to the hospital for electrocardiographic monitoring until the intraventricular conduction disturbance had been corrected. Two hours after completing the administration of flecainide, we detected coved ST-segment elevation in the right precordial leads, a finding that met the criteria for type 1 B-ECG (Figure). Twenty-four hours later, the electrocardiogram had returned to normal and the patient was discharged home.

This report describes a case of a late positive response to FT after an initial negative result. It was discovered incidentally because the patient underwent a longer than usual period of electrocardiographic monitoring.

Among the antiarrhythmic sodium channel blockers currently available, ajmaline has been found to exhibit the greatest efficacy in unmasking B-ECG. Hong et al evaluated ajmaline in a cohort of 147 carriers of mutations in the SCN5A gene. They reported a diagnostic sensitivity and specificity of 80% and 94%, respectively, as well as a positive predictive value of 93% and negative predictive value of 83% for he syndrome. Meregalli et al analyzed the effect of flecainide in 110 carriers of the same gene; they obtained a sensitivity of 77%, specificity of 80%, positive predictive value of 96%, and negative predictive value of 36%. The 2 drugs were compared in a study by Wolpert et al involving 22 probands with a genetic diagnosis of Brugada syndrome; ajmaline had a higher detection capacity (22 of 22) than flecainide (15 of 22) and a more marked ST-segment elevation. The reproducibility of FT has also been controversial. Gasparini et al found a reproducibility of 100%. However, there are reports of cases in which the test was negative, although the initial response had been positive.

Despite the superiority of ajmaline, the fact that in many countries it is not available has made flecainide the drug of choice in most electrophysiology laboratories. The differences between the 2 drugs have been related to flecainide’s greater inhibition of the transient outward potassium current (Iₒ). This reduces the ionic imbalance between the positive inward and outward
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