Aortic Valve Atresia or Severe Hypoplasia and Ventricular Septal Defect: Surgical Strategies for Biventricular Repair and Mid-term Results

Atresia valvular aórtica o hipoplasia grave y comunicación interventricular: estrategias de corrección biventricular y resultados a medio plazo

To the Editor,

Aortic valve atresia, or severe hypoplasia associated with ventricular septal defect and normal-sized ventricles, is an exceptional entity in neonates. Biventricular correction is feasible in a single intervention (described by Yasui in 1987) or in 2 stages, using a Norwood procedure followed by a Rastelli operation in order to subsequently establish biventricular physiology (successfully used in 1981). This approach involves reconstructing the ascending aorta by using the Damus-Kaye-Stansel technique (Figure A) and closing the septal defect and the conduit between the right ventricle and the pulmonary artery (Figure B), sometimes combined with reconstruction of the aortic arch. We present the results of the medium-term follow-up in our series.

Between 1990 and 2013, 27 patients (mean age, 19 [2–112] days; mean weight, 3.25 [2.1–5.2] kg) with this diagnosis (aortic valve atresia in 18 and severe hypoplasia in 9, defined as ring diameter < 3 mm) underwent biventricular repair (interrupted aortic arch in 14 and aortic coarctation in 7). Primary repair (Yasui surgery) was performed in 19 patients (70.4%), and the 2-stage approach in 8 (25.8%), using the Norwood 2 technique followed by a Rastelli operation at 9.5 [0.3–29.2] months.

The inclusion criteria (based on 2-dimensional echocardiography) for choosing this repair technique were lack of fibroelastosis in the left ventricle, good ventricular function, mitral ring z-score > -2, left ventricle quotient (long axis) > 0.8, left ventricle ≥ 20 mL/m² and nonrestrictive ventricular septal defect. The staged approach was implemented as standard in 2008.

Data were extracted from our database (HeartSuite, Systeria, Inc, Glasgow, United Kingdom) and from medical records. The analysis was performed using R software v3.0.2 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). The Kaplan-Meier (log–rank test) was used for the survival analysis. A P-value of < .05 was considered statistically significant.

Overall 30-day mortality was 25.9% (n = 7), and operative mortality was 7.4% (n = 2, both following Yasui surgery with
failure of extracorporeal circulation during the interval before the implantation of a longer-term circulatory support technique). The remaining causes of early death were of non-cardiac origin. There were no deaths between the stages of the 2-stage approach. Mortality during follow-up (mean [standard deviation], 8.4 [5.3] [0.9 to 23] years) was 10.5% (n = 2, both following primary correction: sudden death of undetermined origin, episodes of ventricular tachycardia, and irreversible cardiac arrest). Of the 20 survivors, 9 (45%) required intervention (arch stenosis or recoarctation in 5, and conduit stenosis in 9), and 9 underwent surgery again for conduit replacement (pacemaker implantation in 1 patient after obstructive resection of the left outflow tract). Of the initial survivors (n = 20) 95%, 85%, 50% and 35% had not required reintervention after 1, 2, 5, and 10 years, and 70%, 65%, and 55% were without percutaneous procedures after 1, 2, and 5 years. The incidence of reinterventions and interventionism in each group according to the surgical technique used is shown in the Table. Overall survival during follow-up (n = 20) was 95% at 10 years.

Primary correction and 2-stage surgery are 2 options with very similar results for biventricular correction in this context,3,4 representing a safe and effective strategy with excellent medium-term survival, although with a high rate of reintervention/interventionism.

Yasui surgery7 (a high-risk procedure for neonates, who are occasionally underweight), avoids provision of univentricular physiology and allows complete correction. The Norwood technique8 avoids the initial complexity of corrective surgery, involves 2 normal ventricles contributing to cardiac output, and allows a period of growth prior to final biventricular correction. This may facilitate better selection of candidates, as there may be some patients who will benefit from a univentricular physiology and who could not otherwise have been identified, which is especially important in the case of impaired left ventricular function.

A study by the Congenital Heart Surgeons’ Society Data Center (Toronto) showed that primary biventricular repair in neonates in cases of critical aortic stenosis led to a high reintervention rate (50% at 3 years). This resulted in a 30-day mortality of 60%, which could reflect an inadequate initial indication,6,8 possibly due to a belief that early sepsis must be achieved. However, the rationale for choosing one or other surgical option remains controversial and will continue to be debated.
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Table
Incidence of Reintervention/Interventionism During Follow-up

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Primary correction (Yasui) (n=13)</th>
<th>Staged correction (Norwood-Rastelli) (n=7)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reinterventions *</td>
<td>46.1% (n=6)</td>
<td>42.8% (n=3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interventionism</td>
<td>38.5% (n=5)</td>
<td>57.1% (n=4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Incidence of reintervention and interventionism in initial survivors during follow-up (mean [standard deviation], 8.4 [5.3] [0.9 to 23] years).
Fate of Original Articles Rejected by Revista Española de Cardiología

Destino de los artículos originales rechazados en Revista Española de Cardiología

To the Editor,

A crucial phase in the editorial process of a scientific article is its review by a panel of peers, who analyze its quality and provide constructive criticism to enable the authors to improve the manuscript. Their opinions aid the editor in making a decision on the article’s suitability for publication. The objective of this study was to analyze the final destination of original articles rejected by Revista Española de Cardiología (Rev Esp Cardiol).

We accessed the database of the online submission and review system of Revista Española de Cardiología to compile a list of the 650 original articles rejected over the 4-year period between 1 January 2007 and 31 December 2010. The following data were collected for each article: manuscript reference number, title, authors, type of article, rejection date, article version (number), language, and country of origin (or, in the absence of the latter datum, that of the corresponding author). The resulting list was distributed equally among 8 members of the editorial board of Rev Esp Cardiol, who carried out the corresponding searches in the literature published up to March 2014.

The literature searches were performed in accordance with the following indications: a) to access the PubMed database and copy the title of the article in English; b) if the search failed to yield results, to use the surname and initial(s) of the corresponding author of the article; c) if there were still no results with the preceding measure, and the corresponding and first authors were not the same person, to repeat the search using the surname and initial(s) of the first author. When the search produced results that coincided with a published article, the following information was recorded in a new database: journal, the year the paper edition was printed, date accepted, impact factor assigned to the journal by Journal Citation Reports for the year the article was published, type of article, language, and names of the first and corresponding authors. A positive result was defined as that in which the methodology of the abstract of the article coincided with that of the publication found, regardless of the type of article finally published, except in the case of communications and posters presented at congresses, which were not taken into account. In a second phase, 2 members of the board reviewed the articles one-by-one to fill in all the empty or incomplete boxes. Finally, the information for those articles not producing results in the PubMed search was introduced into Google.com, following steps a, b, and c, as described above.

During the study period, Rev Esp Cardiol rejected 650 original articles (102 in 2007, 142 in 2008, 192 in 2009, and 214 in 2010). Of the 650 rejected original articles, 96% were in the original version, 3.4% had been revised for the first time, and 0.6% had been revised for the second time. Regarding the language of manuscript submission, 80.9% were in Spanish and 19.1% in English. The rejected articles, in descending order of frequency, were sent from Spain, Argentina, Mexico, China, Brazil, Cuba, and Chile.

As of March 2014, of these 650 articles, a total of 287 (44.1% of all rejected papers) have been published. They have been published in different article types (in some cases, not comparable from one journal to another), but most (79.4%) retained the format as the initial version. Sixty-five with five percent of these articles were published within 2 years after their rejection by Rev Esp Cardiol. They appeared in 140 different journals, and 36% of the manuscripts were concentrated in 10 journals (9 of which publish in Spanish, whereas the remaining journal publishes only in English). The 5 journals in which these articles most frequently appeared were Medicina Clínica (32 articles), Revista Clínica Española (13 articles), Revista Médica de Chile (10 articles), International Journal of Cardiology (10 articles), and Atención