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Predictors of Luminal Loss in Pulmonary Veins After Radiofrequency Ablation
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Introduction and objectives: The reported incidences of stenosis after radiofrequency ablation of pulmonary veins are highly variable. Moreover, most studies have focused on severe stenosis and have overlooked mild stenosis. Our aims were to study postablation morphological changes in the pulmonary veins and to evaluate preablation magnetic resonance imaging predictors for stenosis.

Methods: Eighty consecutive patients with atrial fibrillation underwent cardiac magnetic resonance imaging before undergoing radiofrequency ablation. Magnetic resonance imaging was repeated a median of 95 days after ablation. Ostium area/ellipticity and atrial volume were blindly assessed. We evaluated the presence of stenosis and classified it as mild (<50% area reduction), moderate (50%-70%), and severe (>70%).

Results: Postablation stenosis was identified in 78 of 322 veins (24.2%); the stenosis was mild in 66 (84.6%), moderate in 11 (14.1%), and severe in 1 (1.3%). All of them were asymptomatic. The left inferior pulmonary vein showed the highest frequency of stenosis, which was detected in 26% of them (P<.001). A multiple regression analysis revealed that left inferior pulmonary vein (odds ratio = 3.089; P = .02) and a greater preablation ostium area (odds ratio = 1.009; P < .001) were independent predictors for postablation stenosis. Age (odds ratio = 1.033) showed a strong trend to statistical significance (P = .06).

Conclusions: After ablation, vein ostia size is reduced and stenosis is detected in less than one third of patients. Most cases are mild, and severe stenosis is rare. Postablation stenosis is more likely to develop in older patients, those with larger vein ostia, and in the left inferior pulmonary veins.

© 2014 Sociedad Española de Cardiología. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.

Predictores de pérdida luminal de venas pulmonares tras ablación por radiofrecuencia

Resumen

Introducción y objetivos: La incidencia descrita de estenosis de venas pulmonares tras la ablación por radiofrecuencia es muy variable. Además, la mayoría de los estudios se han centrado en las estenosis graves y han prestado poca atención a las de carácter leve. El objetivo de este trabajo es estudiar los cambios morfológicos de las venas pulmonares después de la ablación y los posibles factores predictivos de estenosis en la resonancia magnética previa a la ablación.

Métodos: Se examinó mediante resonancia magnética cardíaca a un total de 80 pacientes consecutivos con fibrilación auricular antes de practicarles una ablación por radiofrecuencia. Se repitió la resonancia magnética una mediana de 95 días después de la ablación. Entre las variables estudiadas, se midió, utilizando un diseño ciego, el área/ellipticidad del ostium, así como el volumen auricular. Se evaluó la presencia de estenosis y se clasificó como leve (<50% de reducción del área), moderada (50%-70%) o grave (>70%).

Resultados: Se identificó estenosis tras la ablación en 78 de 322 venas analizadas (24.2%). La estenosis fue leve en 66 (84.6%) venas, moderada en 11 (14.1%) y grave en 1 (1.3%). Todas estaban asintomáticas. La vena pulmonar inferior izquierda es la que presentó la mayor frecuencia de estenosis: se detectó en un 26% de ellas (p < 0.001). Un análisis de regresión múltiple reveló que el tipo de vena (vena pulmonar inferior izquierda, odds ratio = 3.089; p = .02) y una mayor área del ostium antes de la ablación (odds ratio = 1.009; p < 0.001) eran factores independientes predictivos de estenosis tras la ablación. La edad (odds ratio = 1.033) mostró una tendencia fuerte hacia la significación estadística (p = .06).

Palabras clave:
Fibrilación auricular
Venas pulmonares
Ablación percutánea
Estenosis
Remodelado
Resonancia magnética

See related article:

⁎ Corresponding author: Servicio de Radiología, Hospital Clínico San Carlos, C/ Profesor Martín Lagos s/n, 28040 Madrid, Spain.
E-mail address: smgarre@gmail.com (S. Martín-Garre).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rec.2014.12.017
1885-5857/© 2014 Sociedad Española de Cardiología. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.
METHODS
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[interquartile range, 90-104 days]).

The ablation approach was ostial PV isolation using irrigated tip catheters (Navistar and Navistar Thermo-cool; Biosense Webster) and circular decapolar catheters (Lasso; Biosense Webster) with simultaneous use of an electro-anatomical mapping system (CARTO; Biosense-Webster) integrated with 3-dimensional MRL. The end point of ablation was to achieve bidirectional PV conduction block in all PVs.

The study protocol was approved by the local ethics committee and study patients gave written informed consent for the MRI and the ablation procedure.

CONCLUSIONS

Después de la ablación se produce una disminución del tamaño del ostium de las venas pulmonares y se detecta algún grado de estenosis en menos de una tercera parte de los pacientes. La mayoría son leves y las estenosis graves son excepcionales. Los pacientes de más edad, aquellos con venas de mayor tamaño y las venas inferiores izquierdas tienen mayor probabilidad de presentar estenosis.

© 2014 Sociedad Española de Cardiología. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. Todos los derechos reservados.

Image Acquisition

The cardiac MRI was performed with a 1-T (Signa LX; GE Medical Systems) or a 1.5-T system (Sigma Excite; GE Medical Systems). Gadolinium contrast injection timing for the angiographic sequence was performed either with a bolus tracking technique or a previous bolus timing acquisition (2 mL gadolinium contrast at a rate of 2 mL/s followed by a bolus of 20-30 mL of physiological solution at 2 mL/s), followed by a gadolinium-enhanced, breath-hold, 3-dimensional angiography in the coronal plane covering the left atrium and PVs (20 mL of gadopentetate dimeglumine at a rate of 2 mL/s followed by a bolus of 20 mL to 30 mL of physiological solution at 2 mL/s).

Electrocardiography-gated cine imaging was done to measure left atrium volumes using spoiled gradient echo sequences (1-T system) or steady-state free precession sequences (1.5-T system) acquired in adjacent axial planes covering the left atrium and proximal PVs during breath holding.

Pulmonary Vein and Left Atrium Morphometry Measurements

Images from all studies were assessed by a reader who was blinded to whether the study had been performed before or after ablation. As shown in Figure 1, the superoinferior and anteroposterior diameters of each PV were assessed in 8 mm to 10 mm-thick slices of 2 long-axis MIP (maximum intensity projection) PV images (oblique coronal and oblique axial images) based on the long axis of the PV. The PV ostium was defined as the point of inflection between the left atrium wall and the PV wall. The ostium cross-sectional area was estimated by the formula:

Ostium cross sectional area

= \pi \times \frac{\text{superoinferior diameter}}{2} \times \frac{\text{anteroposterior diameter}}{2}

Baseline and postablation PV cross-sectional areas were assessed at the PV ostium, at 3-mm intervals and at the point of maximal narrowing.

The ostium ellipticity was calculated as follows:

Ostium ellipticity = \frac{\text{longest diameter} – \text{shortest diameter}}{\text{longest diameter}}

This formula results in a value between 0 (circumference) and 1 (straight line). The distance from PV ostium to the first branching and PV angles in reference to the axial and coronal planes of the body were also assessed.

Left atrium diameters (anteroposterior, transversal and longitudinal) and volumes (end-systolic and end-diastolic) were measured.

The MIP and the MPVR (multiprojection volume reconstruction) reformations were performed with the aid of commercial volume-visualization software (Advantage Workstation 4.2; GE Medical Systems).
For determination of interobserver agreement, PV measurements were independently carried out by an MRI reader with 15 years’ experience. For determination of intraobserver agreement, each study was reread by the initial reader in a blinded fashion after 1 year.

### Pulmonary Vein Stenosis

Pulmonary vein stenosis was defined as a PV diameter narrowing greater than twice the standard deviation of the intraobserver differences. Pulmonary vein stenoses were categorized into concentric (narrowing of both superoinferior and anteroposterior diameters) or eccentric (narrowing of one diameter). Depending on the degree of reduction in the PV cross-sectional area, PV stenoses were categorized as mild (< 50%), moderate (50% to 70%), or severe (> 70%).

### Statistical Analysis

Continuous variables did not follow a normal distribution according to the Shapiro-Wilk’s test, and thus they are presented as median [interquartile range] and were compared with the Mann-Whitney U test. Categorical variables were compared using the chi-square test. Generalized estimating equations analysis was performed to identify clinical and anatomical predictors of PV postablation stenosis. Unlike conventional logistic regression, which uses the assumption of independent cases, the method used here (generalized estimating equations) takes into account that cases are correlated (measurements of several veins within each patient), which yields more reliable odds ratio estimations. Clinically relevant variables (age, sex, hypertension, structural heart disease, and type of AF) and morphometric variables potentially associated with luminal loss after ablation (left atrium volumes, type of PV, PV ostial area, PV ostial ellipticity, PV angulation, and distance to the first branching) were tested in the multivariate analysis. All variables were initially included in the model and then a stepwise backward selection of variables was done with the P value set at .25 for entering and .10 for exclusion. Intraclass correlation coefficient analysis was carried out to assess the inter- and intraobserver reproducibility of measurements. The Fleiss categories describe the strength of agreement: > 0.75 = high agreement; 0.41-0.75 = moderate agreement; < 0.40 = poor agreement. Data analyses were performed using JMP software (7.0) and SPSS (19.0) with a 2-sided significance level of 0.05.

### RESULTS

The baseline characteristics of the study population are included in Table 1. A total of 304 PVs were targeted in 80 patients. We achieved PV bidirectional block in all targeted PVs. There were complications associated with the ablation in 5 of 80 patients (6.3%) [femoral arteriovenous fistula in 2 patients, femoral hematoma in 1 patient, air embolism with normal coronary angiography in 1 patient and mitral tendinous cord lesion in 1 patient].

### Pulmonary Vein Anatomy

The classical pattern of 4 single PVs was present in 51 of 80 patients (64%); 15 of 80 (19%) had a left common trunk, 17 of 80 (21%) had an independent right middle PV and 3 of 80 (4%) had a left common trunk and an independent right middle PV simultaneously. As shown in Table 2, right-sided PV ostia were larger and rounder than left-sided PV ostia (P < .001 for both comparisons). Inferior PVs were smaller than superior PVs [inferior PVs ostia area: 182 [141-227] mm² vs superior: 215 [188-247] mm²; P < .001] and were more oval (inferior PVs ellipticity: 0.16 [0.07-0.28], vs superior PVs ellipticity: 0.09 [0.04-0.17]; P < .001). The most elliptical and smallest ostia of the 4 PVs were seen in the left inferior PV (P < .001 for both comparisons). The right superior PV showed the roundest and largest ostia but this difference was not statistically significant. The longest distance between the PV
ostium and the first branching was found at the left superior PV (P < .001) and the shortest at the right inferior PV (P < .001). This distance was greater at the left-sided veins than at the right-sided veins (media of the difference, 8.7 mm; 95% confidence interval, 7.5–9.9; P < .001).

Univariate analysis showed larger ostia in men (men: 209 [173–241] mm² vs women: 185 [143–216] mm²; P = .002), in patients with hypertension (214 [173–246] mm² vs 198 [161–233] mm²; P = .05), structural cardiomiopathy (P = .03), persistent AF (222 [180–258] mm² vs 194 [161–230] mm²; P < .001), and larger left atrium size. Multiple regression analysis revealed that only left atrium size was an independent predictor of PV size.

**Pulmonary Vein Stenosis**

The intraclass correlation coefficient was 0.96 for intraobserver reproducibility and 0.85 for interobserver variability in PV diameter measurement (high agreement according to Fleis’ categories). The standard deviation of the intraobserver and interobserver differences was 1.625 mm, without differences in superoinferior and anteroposterior diameters. Thus, PV stenosis was defined as narrowing of any PV diameter ([superoinferior or anteroposterior]) > 3.25 mm.

Overall, there was a median reduction of 32 mm² [7.7–57.7 mm²] in PV ostial area after ablation (Figure 2). This reduction was not associated with changes in left atrium volume.

A PV stenosis was observed in 78 out of the 322 analyzed veins (24.2%). The stenosis was mild in 66 of 78 PVs (84.6%), moderate in 11 of 78 veins (14.1%), and severe in 1 of 78 veins (1.3%) (Figure 3A). All patients with PV stenosis were asymptomatic and none required treatment. The greatest rate of stenosis was seen in the left inferior PV (24 of 65). Indeed, the only severe stenosis was identified in a left inferior PV (cross-sectional area reduction percentage of 78%), as shown in Figures 3B-D.

The PV stenosis was eccentric in 58 PVs (74%) and concentric in 20 (26%). No difference was found in the frequency of reduction of superoinferior or anteroposterior diameters. The ratio eccentric to concentric PV stenosis was similar in all PVs. Most of the moderate stenosis (8 of 78, 73%) and the single case of severe stenosis were concentric while most of the mild cases of stenosis (55 of 66, 83%) were eccentric (P < .001).

A multiple regression model including clinical factors and morphometric characteristics of PVs and left atrium revealed that a left inferior PV type of PV (odds ratio = 3.089, 95% confidence interval, 1.229–7.757; P = .02) and greater preablation ostia cross-sectional area (odds ratio = 1.009, 95% confidence interval, 1.004–1.015 per 1 mm² increase; P < .001) were independent predictors of PV stenosis (Table 3). Older age (odds ratio = 1.033, 95% confidence interval, 0.998–1.068 per 1 year older) showed a very strong trend to statistical significance (P = .06).

**DISCUSSION**

**Anatomical Imaging Techniques**

Our results show that MRI performed before catheter ablation of the PVs in patients with AF can be useful not only for the assessment of the number, position, and size of the venous ostia but also to predict the risk of postradiofrequency ablation stenosis.

Computed tomography and MRI are good techniques to assess PV anatomy. Information provided by preablation MRI is very helpful for interventional cardiologists as it allows them not only to select an appropriate catheter but also to ascertain that all PV ostia are evaluated during the procedure. Assessment of these features at conventional angiography may be suboptimal, as only the superior and inferior borders of the PV ostia are displayed.

**Morphometric Characterization of Pulmonary Veins and Atria**

Our findings regarding anatomical pattern, size and shape of PVs are in agreement with most previous studies using computed tomography or MRI. However, our diameter measurements in patients from south-western Europe are slightly below those reported in patients from North America and central Europe.

**Table 1** Characteristics of the Study Population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Age, years</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Arterial hypertension</th>
<th>Ischemic</th>
<th>Hypertensive</th>
<th>Tachycardiomiopathy</th>
<th>Acute</th>
<th>Structural heart disease</th>
<th>Valvular</th>
<th>Dilated</th>
<th>Congenital</th>
<th>Atrial fibrillation type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>54</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Paroxysmal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>54</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Persistent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>68</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>Antiarrhythmic drugs previous to ablation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as No. (%), or median [interquartile range].

**Table 2** Pulmonary Vein Morphometrics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area, mm²</th>
<th>Ellipticity</th>
<th>Distance to first branching, mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Right PVs</td>
<td>[223 [187–257] (120–448)]</td>
<td>[0.09 [0.04–0.15] (0.00–0.48)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIPV</td>
<td>[220 [180–244] (120–362)]</td>
<td>[0.10 [0.06–0.18] (0.00–0.48)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSPV</td>
<td>[227 [199–269] (131–448)]</td>
<td>[0.08 [0.03–0.12] (0.00–0.30)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left PVs</td>
<td>[177 [138–211] (63–388)]</td>
<td>[0.20 [0.09–0.30] (0.00–0.55)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIPV</td>
<td>[141 [113–178] (63–330)]</td>
<td>[0.28 [0.17–0.35] (0.00–0.55)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSPV</td>
<td>[198 [177–234] (87–388)]</td>
<td>[0.11 [0.05–0.22] (0.01–0.38)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMPV</td>
<td>[61 [45–75] (33–116)]</td>
<td>[0.09 [0.05–0.16] (0.01–0.25)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCT</td>
<td>[355 [292–438] (216–589)]</td>
<td>[0.34 [0.30–0.42] (0.00–0.56)]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LCT, left common trunk; LIPV, left inferior pulmonary vein; LSPV, left superior pulmonary vein; PVs, pulmonary veins; RIPV, right inferior pulmonary vein; RSPV, right superior pulmonary vein; RMPV, right middle pulmonary vein.

Data are expressed as [interquartile range] (range).
Consistent with a recent large study,\textsuperscript{19} PV ostia were larger in our patients with persistent AF. Other studies found no differences between the 2 groups.\textsuperscript{28,22} However, in our study this association lost statistical significance when adjusted by left atrium volume, suggesting that the enlargement of PV is a process linked to the enlargement of the left atrium as a consequence of the atrial remodelling that occurs in these patients.

Incidence of Stenosis

The true incidence of postablation PV stenosis remains uncertain as percentages from 0\% to 42.4\% have been reported.\textsuperscript{15,16,24–26} We found mild stenosis in 66 out of the 322 analyzed veins (20.5\%), moderate stenosis in 11 of 322 veins (3.4\%), and severe stenosis in 1 of 322 veins. Among the possible causes of these differences are the criteria for definition of stenosis (eg, measurement of 1 diameter vs only cross-sectional area or considering stenosis only when reduction $>50\%$), different diagnostic methods and diverse ablation techniques.

Preablation Predictors for Stenosis

Older patients, those with larger PV ostia and the left inferior PVs had a higher risk of postablation PV stenosis. Although technical ablation factors such as number, time, temperature, and power of applications in the PVs are involved in stenosis,\textsuperscript{7,27–29} little is known about morphometric predictors of stenosis.

Regarding age, a higher frequency of discontinuity and hypertrophy and a higher degree of fibrosis of the atrial myocardium have been reported in the PVs of patients with AF\textsuperscript{30} and in older patients.\textsuperscript{31} We believe that the additional fibrosis induced by radiofrequency applications in these potentially pathological PVs in aged patients could further contribute to stenosis.

Additionally, large preablation PV ostia were found to be a predictor for stenosis in this study. Several factors may be involved in this seemingly surprising result: a) enlarged PVs usually need more applications to be isolated, and b) the operator, consciously or not, may have been less conservative when ablating enlarged PVs. Consistent with our data, PV size (larger) has been described as a predictor of stenosis.\textsuperscript{14}

Finally, as shown by previous studies,\textsuperscript{27,31} most stenoses occurred at the left inferior PV. However, as far as we know, this has not previously been reported to be an MRI predictor of stenosis. The oval shape of most left inferior PV ostia may contribute to prolonged contact of the ablation catheter with the anterior and posterior walls of the PV, which can lead to a more extensive ablation than intended. In fact, most of the moderate and severe stenosis observed in left inferior PVs showed a greater reduction in the anteroposterior diameter.

Limitations

The main limitation of our study was that postablation MRI was obtained after a median of 95 days; therefore, measurements might vary in a longer-term evaluation. Also, cardiac MRIs were performed with 1 T or 1.5 T systems, which is not state-of-the-art technology. However, these are the systems used in the clinical practice in most hospitals worldwide. Additionally, these systems allow 1.5 mm $\times$ 1.25 mm $\times$ 1.78 mm voxel resolutions to be achieved, which is more than enough to accomplish the aims of this study. Note that we have only considered as stenosis reductions over 3.25 mm (twice the standard deviation of intraobserver variability), which is around twice the resolution of the technique at any dimension, so it is not an important limiting factor. Finally, computed tomography imaging techniques would have provided better resolutions but at an added cost of radiating the patient twice (pre- and postablation).

Figure 2. Pre- and postablation pulmonary vein ostium area. Box-plot graphs showing the difference between pulmonary vein cross-sectional areas before and after ablation. LCT, left common trunk; LIPV, left inferior pulmonary vein; LSPV, left superior pulmonary vein; PV, pulmonary vein; RIPV, right inferior pulmonary vein; RMPV, right middle pulmonary vein; RSPV, right superior pulmonary vein. Boxes represent the median [interquartile range]. Whiskers show the minimum and maximum values, except when an outlier value is found, which is depicted as a point. Mean is displayed as ‘+’.
CONCLUSIONS

After ablation, PV luminal loss is seen, but stenosis is detected in less than one third of patients. Most cases of stenosis are mild, with severe stenosis being rare. Older patients, those with larger PV ostia and left inferior PVs are more likely to develop postablation stenosis.
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Figure 3. Pulmonary vein stenosis. A: frequency of stenosis according to the cross sectional area reduction percentage (mild < 50%; moderate: 50–70%; severe: > 70%). B–D: gadolinium enhanced 3-dimensional magnetic resonance imaging sequence reformations. B: mild stenosis of a left superior pulmonary vein, oblique coronal plane. C: moderate stenosis of a left superior pulmonary vein, oblique coronal plane. D: severe stenosis of a left inferior pulmonary vein, axial plane.

Table 3

Predictors of Postablation Stenosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor</th>
<th>OR (95%CI)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>1.033 (0.998–1.068)</td>
<td>.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persistent AF</td>
<td>1.986 (0.776–5.085)</td>
<td>.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-ablation LAESV</td>
<td>0.988 (0.972–1.003)</td>
<td>.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIPV</td>
<td>0.279 (0.100–0.778)</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSPV</td>
<td>0.721 (0.031–1.679)</td>
<td>.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIPV</td>
<td>3.089 (1.229–7.757)</td>
<td>.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMFPV</td>
<td>Non estimable</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCT</td>
<td>0.679 (0.124–3.723)</td>
<td>.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preablation ostial area</td>
<td>1.009 (1.004–1.015)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

95%CI, confidence interval; AF, atrial fibrillation; LAESV, left atrial end-systolic volume; LCT, left common trunk; LIPV, left inferior pulmonary vein; OR, odds ratio; PV, pulmonary vein; RIPV, right inferior pulmonary vein; RMFPV, right middle pulmonary vein; RSPV, right superior pulmonary vein.
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