Atypical Atrial Flutter and Ablation of Recipient-to-Donor Atrioatrial Conduction After Orthotopic Heart Transplant

Atrio auricular atípico y abloación de la conducción transauricular receptor-donante tras trasplante cardiaco ortotópico

To the Editor,

In orthotopic heart transplant, the bialtral anastomosis technique introduced by Lower and Shumway is widely used.1 This technique distorts the right atrial geometry and hemodynamics, leading to increased mean atrial pressure, tricuspid and mitral regurgitation, electrical asynchrony, and an increased incidence of atrial flutter.2 In the literature, the most commonly described type is cavotricuspid isthmus-dependent flutter, in which the posterior barrier of the circuit is the atrioatrial suture line rather than the crista terminalis. However, when there is electrical conduction between the recipient atrium (A_R) and the donor atrium (A_D) across the atrial anastomosis, either by electrotonic transmission mechanisms or by direct conduction,3 the A_R can contribute to the development of arrhythmias in the donor heart.4

We present 4 patients who underwent orthotopic heart transplant with the bialtral anastomosis technique and developed atypical atrial flutter long after surgery (median, 5.5 years [range, 3–10 years]). All reported palpitations, with no signs or symptoms of heart failure, and electrocardiogram showed atrial flutter with variable atrioventricular conduction (Figure 1). Once rejection had been excluded as an acute cause, a guided ablation procedure was performed with endomyocardial biopsy using a 3-dimensional navigation system (CARTO: ThermoCool SmartTouch ablation catheter, Biosense Webster).

In all patients, endocardial mapping showed a macroreentrant tachycardia with a variable relationship between the A_R and A_D. There was a frequency gradient from the A_R, which had a shorter, regular cycle length, to the A_D, which had a longer cycle length (Figure 2A). The A_D cycle length was also variable in 2 patients, with a Wenckebach-type periodicity. Guided by the presence of double potentials, we proceeded to anatomical delineation of the atrial suture (A_R-A_D) and performed activation mapping of the A_D to determine early activation sites around the suture (Figure 2B). In 3 patients, an earliest activation site was found in the lateral wall of the right atrium (9–10 o’clock position of the suture line in an anteroposterior view), and in 1 patient, 2 earliest activation sites were found (at the 10 o’clock and 12 o’clock positions). A_R entrainment maneuvers demonstrated an increased variability and prolonged mean cycle length in the A_D in all patients and short return cycles in the right A_R in 1 patient. Electrocardiograms of the earliest activation sites in the right A_D showed features of atrioatrial continuity; radiofrequency ablation of these areas achieved A_R-A_D electrical dissociation. Thereafter, the A_D remained in atrial flutter, and the A_R in sinus rhythm.

Figure 1. 12-lead electrocardiogram of atypical atrial flutter in the 4 patients.
rhythm, and the palpitations resolved. One patient underwent ablation of a macroreentrant tachycardia in the right A_r; in the other 3 patients, long return cycles were confirmed and, with the suspicion of a left A_r origin, sinus rhythm was restored by overstimulation.

As a general rule, atrial fibrillation is common in the immediate posttransplant period, whereas macroreentrant tachycardia develops in the longer term and is predominantly cavotricuspid isthmus dependent. However, some series have described a similar incidence of atypical flutter with an A_r origin and transmission to the A_D via atrioatrial connections. Electrocardiographic diagnosis is often complicated: distorted electrical propagation in the atria means that F waves are not generated, even in the common types of atrial flutter. Therefore, in electrophysiological studies, electrical conduction between the A_r and the A_D should be included as part of a systemized study that also includes: a) analysis of frequency gradients; b) entrainment maneuvers in the cavotricuspid isthmus, and c) entrainment maneuvers in the right A_r.

The optimum treatment strategy for atypical forms of atrial flutter is not fully established. As our series demonstrates, ablation of atrioatrial connections at the surgical suture line can be enough to terminate macroreentrant tachycardia in the A_D and its associated symptoms, namely palpitations in these patients. However, there remain some unknowns regarding persistence of the tachycardia in the A_r, including the embolic risk conferred to patients. The ideal strategy would be ablation of the slow conduction isthmus of the macroreentrant tachycardia, located in the A_r. This was only possible in 1 (25%) of our patients, who had a right A_r origin. The other patients had signs of a left A_r origin, which would have required a transseptal puncture; these patients were treated conservatively. No patients received anticoagulant therapy during follow-up (54, 35, 5, and 4 months, respectively), and they made good clinical progress free from symptoms and complications, with sinus rhythm on electrocardiogram.
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