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Left Atrial Appendage Occlusion With the \textit{LA}mbre Device: Initial Experience

\textit{Cierre de orejuela con dispositivo \textit{LA}mbre: experiencia inicial}

To the Editor,

Percutaneous closure of the left atrial appendage (LAA) is a therapeutic alternative to oral anticoagulation for ischemic stroke prevention in patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation.\textsuperscript{1,2}

Currently, the 2 most commonly used devices for percutaneous LAA closure are the Watchman (Boston Scientific) and the Amulet (St Jude Medical). Although the success rates of the procedure are increasingly higher and the complication rate is decreasing,\textsuperscript{3-5} there are still some technically complicated cases in which devices with a new design could facilitate implantation.

The \textit{LA}mbre device (Lifetech Scientific [Shenzhen] Co Ltd) recently obtained the CE mark. To our knowledge, no case series has yet been described that shows the feasibility and safety of LAA closure with this device.

The main distinguishing features of the \textit{LA}mbre device\textsuperscript{6} (Figure) are the 8- to 10-Fr delivery sheath with a double distal curve of $45 \times 30\,^\circ$ (compared with 12-14 Fr and a distal sheath angle of $45 \times 45\,^\circ$ for the Amulet and the Watchman), the option of different cover sizes for the same umbrella size (in the Amulet device, each lobe size comes with a fixed disc size), the distance between the cover and umbrella of 4 mm but with a convex appendicular surface of the cover, and the double stabilization system (hooks and U-shaped anchors that attach in the trabeculae and pectinate muscles).

The study included all consecutive patients who underwent percutaneous LAA closure with the \textit{LA}mbre device in 3 centers between October and December 2016. The procedure was performed under fluoroscopy and transesophageal echocardiographic guidance as per the standard technique for other devices; the landing zone was measured at 15 mm from the LAA ostium.

Seven patients were included in the study. The population and procedure characteristics are shown in Table. Successful LAA closure with the \textit{LA}mbre device was achieved in all patients, despite complex anatomy (28\% of the LAA had $> 10$ mm
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\caption{\textit{LA}mbre device and fluoroscopic and echocardiographic images of device implantation. A: \textit{LA}mbre device (the arrow indicates the umbrella, and the asterisk, the cover). B: detail of the double stabilization mechanism (the arrow indicates the hooks, and the asterisk, the U-shaped anchors). C: detail of the anchors. D: initial angiography. E: umbrella deployment. F: cover deployment. G: final result. H and I: baseline transesophageal echocardiography. J and K: final transesophageal echocardiography.}
\end{figure}
The greatest limitation of this study is its observational nature and the small number of patients included. When interpreting the excellent results, it must be taken into account that the procedures were performed in experienced centers. Because of the low number of patients and the absence of mid- and long-term follow‐up, we cannot attribute the lack of complications directly to the design of this new device. The excellent results from large-scale studies of the most widely used devices at present (Amulet and Watchman) mean we must reserve judgment on the potential benefits of this new device until there are larger complete registries.

Nonetheless, to our knowledge, this is the first published series on LAA closure with the LAmbre device to show its feasibility and safety. The design and distinguishing features of this device could be of help in patients with complex anatomy.
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