Scientific letters

Left Atrial Appendage Occlusion With the LAmbre Device: Initial Experience

Cierre de orejuela con dispositivo LAmbre: experiencia inicial

To the Editor,

Percutaneous closure of the left atrial appendage (LAA) is a therapeutic alternative to oral anticoagulation for ischemic stroke prevention in patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation.\(^1,2\)

Currently, the 2 most commonly used devices for percutaneous LAA closure are the Watchman (Boston Scientific) and the Amulet (St Jude Medical). Although the success rates of the procedure are increasingly higher and the complication rate is decreasing,\(^3,4\) there are still some technically complicated cases in which devices with a new design could facilitate implantation.

The LAmbre device (Lifetech Scientific [Shenzhen] Co Ltd) recently obtained the CE mark. To our knowledge, no case series has yet been described that shows the feasibility and safety of LAA closure with this device.

The main distinguishing features of the LAmbre device\(^5\) (Figure) are the 8- to 10-Fr delivery sheath with a double distal curve of 45 × 30° (compared with 12-14 Fr and a distal sheath angle of 45 × 45° for the Amulet and the Watchman), the option of different cover sizes for the same umbrella size (in the Amulet device, each lobe size comes with a fixed disc size), the distance between the cover and umbrella of 4 mm but with a convex appendicular surface of the cover, and the double stabilization system (hooks and U-shaped anchors that attach in the trabeculae and pectinate muscles).

The study included all consecutive patients who underwent percutaneous LAA closure with the LAmbre device in 3 centers between October and December 2016. The procedure was performed under fluoroscopy and transesophageal echocardiographic guidance as per the standard technique for other devices; the landing zone was measured at 15 mm from the LAA ostium.

Seven patients were included in the study. The population and procedure characteristics are shown in Table. Successful LAA closure with the LAmbre device was achieved in all patients, despite complex anatomy (28% of the LAAs had > 10 mm
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**Figure.** LAmbre device and fluoroscopic and echocardiographic images of device implantation. A: LAmbre device (the arrow indicates the umbrella, and the asterisk, the cover). B: detail of the double stabilization mechanism (the arrow indicates the hooks, and the asterisk, the U-shaped anchors). C: detail of the anchors. D: initial angiography. E: umbrella deployment. F: cover deployment. G: final result. H and I: baseline transesophageal echocardiography. J and K: final transesophageal echocardiography.
difference in diameter between the landing zone and the ostium, and 42% had “chicken wing” morphology. There were no cases of device embolization, pericardial effusion, stroke, acute myocardial infarction, or death during the procedure. There were no complications on echocardiography prior to discharge. Treatment after implantation followed the standard practice of each center for other devices (Table 1).

These excellent results could be explained by our growing experience in percutaneous LAA closure. However, we must consider the LAmble design: the smaller sheath size could reduce the number of vascular complications, the 45 × 30° double curve could reduce the risk of sheath folding if the operator attempts to reach the more anterior lobes even with medial transseptal puncture (although the ideal transseptal puncture zone for this device is inferior and posterior), the double stabilization system may potentially reduce embolization and facilitate implantation by reducing the number of recaptures, and—in our opinion—the most important distinguishing feature—the availability of “special” devices with large covers for small umbrellas (e.g., umbrella/cover of 22/34 or 26/38 mm) could facilitate implantation in “chicken wing” anatomicies with a very short implantation zone or conical LAAs with a large size difference between the ostium and the medial part of the LAA.

The greatest limitation of this study is its observational nature and the small number of patients included. When interpreting the excellent results, it must be taken into account that the procedures were performed in experienced centers. Because of the low number of patients and the absence of mid- and long-term follow-up, we cannot attribute the lack of complications directly to the design of this new device. The excellent results from large-scale studies of the most widely used devices at present (Amulet and Watchman) mean we must reserve judgment on the potential benefits of this new device until there are larger complete registries.

Nonetheless, to our knowledge, this is the first published series on LAA closure with the LAmble device to show its feasibility and safety. The design and distinguishing features of this device could be of help in patients with complex anatomy.

Ignacio Cruz-González,*b Xavier Freixa,b José Antonio Fernández-Díaz,a José Carlos Moreno-Samos,a Victoria Martín-Yuste,a and Javier Goicolea*a

aServicio de Cardiología, Hospital Universitario de Salamanca, IBSAL, Salamanca, Spain
bServicio de Cardiología, Hospital Clínica, Barcelona, Spain

*Corresponding author: E-mail address: cruzgonzalez.ignacio@gmail.com
(I. Cruz-González).
Available online 15 May 2017

REFERENCES


http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rec.2017.04.015
1885-5857/ © 2017 Sociedad Española de Cardiología. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.