atrioventricular block inherent to peri-Hisian ablation via the atria. Therefore, our group systematically maps the aortic root in cases of AT in which the earliest right atrial activation site is posterior or superior to the bundle of His. The originality of the case presented here lies in the recent implantation of an aortic prosthesis as the possible trigger of the AT, which, to the best of our knowledge, has not been previously described in the literature. Because of this, we attempted ablation from the right atrium before mapping the aortic root. We did not map the left interatrial septum, which we could have considered, given the low efficacy that has been reported on ablation from this location (25%-64%)1,2 and the sufficient distance from the earliest atrial electrogram in the aortic root to the prosthesis, which made the ablation safe (Figure 2).

In our hospital, since 2014, 46 patients have undergone focal AT ablations (Figure of the supplementary material); 11 had AT of peri-Hisian origin and were treated with ablation from the aortic root (all from a noncoronary sinus). These patients had similar acute success rates for ablation (100% vs 91%; P = .431) but fewer recurrences of atrial arrhythmias at follow-up (0% vs 26%; P = .009) than patients with AT of other origins.
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Functional and Structural Coronary Recovery at the 5-year Follow-up After Bioresorbable Vascular Scaffold Implantation. An Optical Coherence Tomography Analysis

Recuperación estructural y funcional tras 5 años del implante de armazón vascular bioabsorbible. Un análisis con tomografía de coherencia óptica

To the Editor,

The bioresorbable everolimus-eluting vascular scaffold (Absorb-BVS, Abbott-Vascular; California, USA), designed to reduce late complications of bare-metal stents, received the CE mark in 2011 and became available in Europe in 2012. Although the initial results were promising, recent studies have brought into question the safety of the device due to a high incidence of thrombosis and infarction.1 However, little has been said about the resorption of the device or the structural and functional recovery of the vessel in patients in real-life clinical practice.

We present a single-center prospective cohort study that examined the structure and function of the coronary arteries after Absorb-BVS implantation, using angiography, optical coherence tomography (OCT), and quantitative flow ratio (QFR) in a consecutive series of patients with 5 years of follow-up. The coronary arteries were assessed retrospectively with 3-dimensional reconstruction angiography (QAngio XA-3D research edition 1.0, Medis Special BV; the Netherlands) and OCT images were obtained with Dragonfly catheter at 180 cps and 18 mm/s (C7Fourier-Domain System, LightLab-Imaging, Inc.).

OCT analysis (of the treated segment and 5 mm adjacent) was performed with LightLab software at 1 mm intervals (Abbott; Abbott Park, USA). The markers on the Absorbs-BVS, angiography, and anatomical references were used to locate the treated segment. The morphological aspects studied were: resorption of the device, lumen area, asymmetry index, eccentricity index, residual stenosis area, neointimal thickness, minimum plaque thickness, and side branch ostia.2 These parameters were also reassessed after the intracoronary administration of 200 μg of glyceryl trinitrate as part of the functional study.

Data were analyzed with the chi-squared test and Fisher exact test (categorical variables) and with the Student t test for paired data (continuous variables). The Shapiro-Wilk test confirmed normality. P ≤ .05 was considered statistically significant and the analyses were performed with IBM-SPSS® 23.0.

Eleven Absorb-BVS were analyzed in 9 patients. Mean age was 70 ± 8 years, 89% were male, acute coronary syndrome was reported in 78% of cases, and the most commonly treated artery was the left anterior descending (64%) with a type B2-C lesion (American Heart Association/American College of Cardiology) in 46%. Predilation was performed in 91% and postdilation in 55%. Although there were no complications during implantation, 1 patient had restenosis in the distal right coronary artery after 6 months (underexpansion of the 2.5 × 18 mm Absorb-BVS), and was treated, ultimately, with a drug-eluting stent.
Figure. All panels show the OCT findings (implantation-follow-up). The white arrows indicate the radiopaque markers on the Absorb-BVS. The white crosses indicate plaques and calcium nodules. The red asterisks mark the lateral branches. I-L: severe restenosis of the Absorb-BVS (6 months), which was treated with a drug-eluting stent (2013) without compromising resorption (2017). OCT, optical coherence tomography.

Table
OCT Findings in Patients Treated With Absorb-BVS After 5 Years of Follow-up

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Morphological study</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variables studied</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After implantation, n (11)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum lumen area, mm²</td>
<td>7.50 ± 1.48</td>
<td>4.52 ± 1.25</td>
<td>&lt; .001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean lumen area, mm²</td>
<td>8.84 ± 1.60</td>
<td>6.64 ± 2.19</td>
<td>&lt; .001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean lumen diameter, mm</td>
<td>3.33 ± 0.31</td>
<td>2.86 ± 0.46</td>
<td>&lt; .001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eccentricity index</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.87 ± 0.04</td>
<td>&lt; .001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asymmetry index</td>
<td>0.35 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.1</td>
<td>.204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual stenosis area, %</td>
<td>−2.21 ± 18.24</td>
<td>30.62 ± 14.61</td>
<td>&lt; .001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of struts identified</td>
<td>2119</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malapposed struts</td>
<td>65 (3.1)</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malapposition distance, µm</td>
<td>120.7 ± 65.37</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Absorb-BVS area, mm²</td>
<td>−7.41 ± 1.55</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFCT within treated segment, µm</td>
<td>80.85 ± 21.89</td>
<td>159.67 ± 74.20</td>
<td>.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary plaques in treated segment</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>&lt; .001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFCT &lt; 65 µm within treated segment</td>
<td>153 (56.5)</td>
<td>43 (16.7)</td>
<td>.336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFCT in reference segment, µm</td>
<td>82.77 ± 39.72</td>
<td>121.02 ± 96.78</td>
<td>.770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary plaques in reference segment</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>79</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFCT &lt; 65 µm in reference segment</td>
<td>29 (55.8)</td>
<td>42 (53.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The functional impact of each lesion was evaluated at baseline with quantitative flow ratio, and after implantation a mean 0.59 ± 0.15 was obtained. The mean diameter obtained after implantation was 0.32 mm greater than the reference diameter. Late lumen loss was 18.7 ± 21% after 5 years’ follow-up. After implantation, 119 struts were identified on OCT, but none were identified at follow-up (Figure 1). The main changes found in the lumen area, diameter, and morphology are presented in Table 1. The mean distance from the lumen edge of the markers to the endothelium (neointimal growth) was $-134.7 \pm 30.6 \mu m$ after implantation and $213.5 \pm 112.4 \mu m$ at follow-up. Regarding atherosclerotic plaques, 271 were identified after implantation and 257 at 5 years; an increase in minimum fibrous cap thickness was recorded, from $80.85 \pm 21.89 \mu m$ to $159.67 \pm 74.2 \mu m$ ($P = 0.012$) and there was a reduction in the percentage of vulnerable plaques (< 65 µm), from 56.5% to 16.2%, respectively.

Angiographic and OCT analysis revealed that jailed branches remained patent after 5 years with various types of neointimal bridges. The vasodilation test with glyceryl trinitrate did not produce significant changes in the variables analyzed on OCT (Table 1).

In summary, after 5 years of follow-up, we observed: a) complete resorption of the Absorb-BVS scaffolds, neointimal development (golden-tube appearance) and stabilization of vulnerable plaques; b) progressive changes in the lumen area and concentricity, and c) absence of vasodilatation after intracoronary administration of glyceryl trinitrate.

Although the morphological findings are comparable to those found in previous studies, the absence of coronary vasoactivity differs from the results described in the Absorb trials, which may have clinical and prognostic effects. Thus, while the angiographic assessment of coronary vasomotion may have been subjective, quantification of the lumen area and diameter with OCT is an objective parameter. The authors acknowledge the small sample size and the consequent inability to detect complications such as late thrombosis or neointimal hyperplasia. Furthermore, the lack of data on the intermediate phases of the resorption process means that we cannot rule out the possibility that changes occurred in the arterial wall or in the BVS, such as coronary evaginations or strut fracture. Finally, although the reduction in lumen area could be due to the sample size and the coronary vasoactivity test was not compared with healthy coronary segments, this is the first study that reports structural and functional findings in patients in real clinical practice treated with Absorb-BVS after 5 years of follow-up and may represent the first step toward further studies in the area.
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