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COVID-19 and cardiogenic shock. Different cardiovascular presentations with high mortality

COVID-19 y shock cardiogénico: diferentes formas de presentación cardiovascular con alta mortalidad

To the Editor,

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is the clinical manifestation of infection by severe acute respiratory syndrome-related coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). Patients with this condition usually present with respiratory symptoms that can progress to pneumonia, and severe cases may develop acute respiratory distress syndrome and cardiogenic shock. Information on the etiology and mortality of cardiogenic shock in COVID-19 is currently limited and is the objective of the present study.

Between 1 March and 15 April, 2020, urgent cardiac catheterization was carried out in 23 patients with a suspected ST-elevation acute coronary syndrome or cardiorespiratory arrest. Seven of them (30%) tested positive for COVID-19 by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) in nasopharyngeal exudate. All patients testing negative for COVID-19 were ultimately discharged without complications. Of the 7 testing positive for COVID-19, 2 were discharged, 1 died due to respiratory failure secondary to severe pneumonia, and 4 developed cardiogenic shock immediately after arrival at the hospital. Three of these 4 patients died, yielding a mortality rate of 75% in the context of cardiogenic shock. The clinical, analytical, and imaging features of these patients, the treatment they received, and their clinical courses are summarized in table 1.

The first case was a 42-year-old woman, with no cardiovascular risk factors or comorbidities, who attended the emergency room with symptoms of dyspnea and cough. Minutes later she developed cardiorespiratory arrest in a defibrillation-acceptable rhythm, which led to an arrhythmic storm refractory to antiarrhythmic therapy. Echocardiography showed severe biventricular dysfunction. During cardiopulmonary resuscitation in the cardiac catheterization laboratory, a venoarterial extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (VA-ECMO) support system was implanted by peripheral cannulation. Aortography depicted a normal aorta and coronary arteries, and pulmonary angiography ruled out pulmonary thromboembolism (figure 1A,B). At completion of the procedure, an intra-aortic counterpulsation balloon was implanted to attempt left ventricular unloading, as well as a temporary pacemaker, but the patient died within hours in refractory shock. As the patient tested PCR-positive for COVID-19, the most likely diagnosis was acute fulminant myocarditis.

The second case was a 50-year-old man with no cardiovascular risk factors or comorbid conditions, who was hospitalized for severe bilateral pneumonia due to COVID-19 with a need for mechanical ventilation. A few hours after he was admitted, he suddenly developed severe hypotension (systolic blood pressure, 60 mmHg) with lateral wall ST-segment elevation. Urgent cardiac catheterization showed lesion-free coronary arteries and severe left ventricular dysfunction with contractility changes consistent with stress cardiomyopathy (inverted tako-tsubo), with akinesia of the basal and mid segments, and apical hypercontractility (figure 1C,D). Left ventricular end-diastolic pressure was 22 mmHg. The patient progressed gradually to distributive shock, requiring ventilation. After 11 days of hospitalization under treatment with hydroxychloroquine, antiretroviral agents, antibiotics, and corticosteroids, he was discharged with normal cardiac contractility.

The third case was a 75-year-old man, with no cardiovascular risk factors or notable comorbidities, who attended the emergency room for symptoms of dyspnea and chest pain. Electrocardiography showed inferior wall ST-segment elevation and complete atrioventricular block. He experienced several episodes of primary ventricular fibrillation requiring electrical cardioversion, as well as orotracheal intubation and mechanical ventilation. Norepinephrine perfusion was started, and primary angioplasty was performed with implantation of a stent in the right coronary artery (figure 1E,F). The echocardiogram showed biventricular dysfunction with right-sided predominance, and the chest radiograph, bilateral pneumonia. Within a few hours the patient died in electromechanical dissociation, with a diagnosis of right coronary artery thrombosis and bilateral SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia.

Finally, the fourth case was a 37-year-old woman, obese and with a history of deep venous thrombosis, who attended the emergency room for symptoms of dyspnea and chest pain. Troponin I was found to be elevated, and because of her medical history, urgent CT angiography of the pulmonary arteries was performed, which showed bilateral pulmonary thromboembolism with right ventricular dilation, in addition to patchy peripheral opacities compatible with SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia, which was confirmed by PCR (figure 1G,H). Suddenly, she experienced severe, persistent hypotension and severe oxygen desaturation (peripheral saturation < 80%). Despite administration of systemic thrombolysis, the patient died due to cardiogenic shock without reaching the cardiac catheterization laboratory for possible percutaneous treatment.

As illustrated by these 4 cases, cardiogenic shock can develop suddenly in COVID-19 patients and can have different causes. It is essential to perform a differential diagnosis with a view toward
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<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Clinical, analytical, and imaging features, treatment, and outcome of 4 patients with cardiogenic shock complications</th>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Man</td>
</tr>
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<td></td>
<td>Woman</td>
</tr>
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**Notes:**
- CVRF: cardiovascular risk factors; DVT: deep vein thrombosis; IABC: intra-aortic balloon counterpulsation; i.v.: intravenous; LBBB: left bundle branch block; LV: left ventricle; LVEF: left ventricular ejection fraction; NFH: non-fractionated heparin; NV: normal values; PCI: percutaneous coronary intervention; PTE: pulmonary thromboembolism; RV: right ventricle; tPA: tissue plasminogen activator; VA-ECMO: venoarterial extracorporeal membrane oxygenation; VF: ventricular fibrillation;
- *Ticagrelor was administered despite knowledge of potential interactions with lopinavir-ritonavir. COVID-19 was diagnosed after the primary PCI.*
Figure 1. A: case 1, aortography depicts a normal aorta and coronary arteries; the white asterisk indicates the chest compression device, and the black asterisk the venous cannula of the extracorporeal membrane oxygenator. B: case 1, pulmonary angiography shows normal findings. C and D: case 2, ventriculography depicts a pattern consistent with inverted tako-tsubo, diastole and systole; the arrows indicate apical hypercontractility. E and F: case 3, thrombotic occlusion of the mid segment of the right coronary artery and revascularization with stent implantation. G: case 4, CT angiography depicts pulmonary infarction (asterisk) and patchy peripheral opacities consistent with SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia. H: case 4, CT angiography shows thrombotic occlusion of both pulmonary arteries (asterisks). This figure is shown in full color only in the electronic version of the article.
etiological treatment. The general approach includes routine measures, such as infusion of amines or implantation of VA-ECMO as a bridge to recovery. Myocardial inflammation underlies the acute myocarditis, and SARS-CoV-2 particles have recently been demonstrated in the myocardium of these patients. In addition to respiratory and circulatory support measures, treatment includes the use of corticosteroids and immunoglobulins. Stress cardiomyopathy in COVID-19 may be triggered by catecholamine discharge secondary to hypoxemia or sepsis, by myocardial injury related to the systemic inflammatory process, by direct myocardial infection with the virus, or by a mixture of factors. Treatment consists of administration of amines and mechanical support in addition to the other therapeutic measures used in COVID-19 (table 1).

Pulmonary thromboembolism is common in the hypercoagulability state provoked by COVID-19 and can lead to cardiogenic shock with high mortality. All available measures should be applied, such as VA-ECMO, thrombolysis, and percutaneous treatment, particularly if there is a contraindication for thrombolysis or if this measure fails. Another thrombotic complication that can cause cardiogenic shock is the development of acute coronary thrombosis in segments proximal to the main coronary arteries. This case was treated with percutaneous stent placement, as is recommended in the related clinical practice guidelines.

One consideration to mention is the absence of pathological studies in these patients, as they were not allowed in our setting at the beginning of the pandemic.

This limited series illustrates the variety and severity of cardiovascular manifestations in COVID-19 patients, including acute myocarditis, stress cardiomyopathy, acute coronary syndrome, and pulmonary thromboembolism.
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Pulmonary infarction secondary to pulmonary thromboembolism in COVID-19 diagnosed with dual-energy CT pulmonary angiography

Infarto pulmonar secundario a tromboembolía pulmonar en COVID-19 diagnosticada con angiotomografía computarizada pulmonar con energía dual

To the Editor,

We report the cases of 2 patients from Barcelona, Spain, admitted to the emergency department of our hospital secondary to COVID-19 (formerly known as SARS-CoV-2) pneumonia, confirmed with a real-time reverse-transcription polymerase chain-reaction test; both patients showed respiratory deterioration and elevated serum D-dimer levels. Figure 1 illustrates the case of a 32-year-old man, with no comorbidities or risk factors, admitted to our emergency department on day 14 after symptom onset with dry cough, asthenia, arthralgias, fever, and right pleuritic pain. A baseline electrocardiogram showed sinus rhythm, 97 bpm, normal PR interval (120 ms) and normal QRS complex (80 ms), aQRS 0°. QTc (Fridica) 415 ms. Echocardiography was not performed but initial physical examination showed systemic blood pressure values of 136/79 mmHg, regular rhythm with no murmurs, present and symmetrical distal pulses, and no signs of deep vein thrombosis. Laboratory data showed elevated ferritin levels (615 ng/mL), C-reactive protein (CRP) = 3.6 mg/dL, and increased interleukin-6 (IL-6) (144.7 mg/mL). Coagulation studies: prothrombin time (PT) 12 seconds, international normalized ratio 1.1, partial thromboplastin time (aPTT) 28.2 seconds. Lupus anticoagulant testing was positive. Immunoglobulin G and immunoglobulin M antiphospholipid antibodies were also tested with a negative result. D-dimer levels were elevated up to 2460 μg/L and therefore, due to high suspicion of pulmonary thromboembolism, dual-energy pulmonary computed tomography (CT) angiography (CTPA) was performed. CTPA confirmed bilateral thromboembolism associated with multiple opacities compatible with viral pneumonia (figure 1A,B). Iodine map images showed a triangular peripheral pulmonary infarction (figure 1C).

The patient received therapy with hydroxychloroquine at a loading dose of 400 mg on the first day followed by a maintenance dose of 200 mg/d for the next 4 days. Azithromycin 500 mg/d for 3 days and enoxaparin 80 mg/12 h for 10 days were also prescribed. Throughout the admission, the patient showed clinical improvement with no respiratory support requirements, maintaining oxygen saturation levels around 97% to 99% on room air. On the 10th day after admission, 24 days after symptom onset, the patient was discharged with good health status and was asymptomatic. Given the positivity to lupus anticoagulant auto-antibodies, thrombophilia testing will be performed in 3 months. A