Scientific letter

Cardiovascular effects of mexiletine for treatment of myotonia in myotonic dystrophy type 1

Efectos cardiovasculares de la mexiletina para el tratamiento de la miotonía en la distrofia miotónica tipo 1

To the Editor,

Myotonic dystrophy type 1 (DM1) or Steinert disease is a multisystem disease with a genetic basis, caused by the pathological expansion of the cytosine-thymine-guanine trinucleotide repeat in the 3’ untranslated region of the myotonic dystrophy protein-kinase gene on chromosome 19.1

The resulting transcription of expanded, mutated mRNA forms focal accumulations in the cell nucleus, leading to hijacking and altered regulation of several proteins associated with the splicing of pre-mRNA molecules. This in turn affects the expression of several genes and causes the pathophysiologic and clinical consequences of DM1, such as myotonia.

Myotonia is a skeletal muscle disorder consisting of hyperexcitability of the sarcolemma due to a reduction in the number and function of chloride channels, which leads to muscular rigidity and delayed relaxation after contraction. In DM1, the abnormal expression of the chloride channel produces loss of its function through a dominant-negative effect,2 which causes myotonia.

Mexiletine has an antymyotonic effect through various mechanisms, one of which is by blocking the sodium current in skeletal muscle (NaV1.4), which reduces the probability of repetitive action potentials.3 The class 1b antiarrhythmic effect blocking voltage-gated sodium channels reduces the conduction velocity and increases the duration of the action potential. Its potential effects on the electrocardiogram (ECG) are increased QRS duration (especially at high heart rates) and shortening of the corrected QT interval.

In DM1, intraventricular conduction defects commonly occur through various mechanisms, which affect 30% to 70% of patients and which can cause atrioventricular block or facilitate ventricular tachycardias through a bundle-branch reentry mechanism, both of which may cause sudden cardiac death. Therefore, in patients with DM1, there are questions about the cardiovascular safety of mexiletine and other sodium blockers, which have led to some authors suggesting it should be avoided.4

There is some evidence of a benefit with mexiletine used for short periods in improving myotonia in patients with DM1 without increased cardiac risk or ECG changes.5,6 The long-term risk-benefit balance will not be well-established until the results of a phase 3 clinical trial, currently in the design stage, become available (Clinicaltrials.gov NCT04700046). The available data indicate that mexiletine (400 mg daily) is safe in selected patients and does not increase the incidence of electrocardiographic changes or bradyarrhythmic complications.8

The aim of our study was to present the results of a retrospective review of the use of mexiletine as a drug authorized for “compassionate use”, having obtained prior informed consent, in a cohort of patients with DM1 at a tertiary referral center.

During the period 2000 to 2020, 16 patients were identified (69% men) with DM1 treated with mexiletine, with an age at DM1 diagnosis of 29 ± 12 (range, 7–53) years (table 1). The median cytosine-thymine-guanine triplet repeat size was 500 [51–850].

The age at starting mexiletine was 39 ± 10 (20–53) years. No patient had a history of syncope or cardiac arrest or a pacemaker. Systolic function was normal in all except 1 patient who had mild dysfunction.

Baseline ECG prior to treatment showed sinus rhythm in all patients, 4 (25%) cases of first-degree ativoventricular block, one of which was associated with a nonspecific intraventricular conduction defect, and the other with left anterior fascicular block;

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Age when started, y</th>
<th>Treatment duration, m</th>
<th>Maximum dose used, mg</th>
<th>Subjective efficacy</th>
<th>Baseline conduction disorder</th>
<th>ECG changes during treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>Partial</td>
<td>1’ AVB + IVCD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>CRBBB*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>Partial</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>Partial</td>
<td>1’ AVB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>LAFB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>Partial</td>
<td>1’ AVB + LAFB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>IVCD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>Partial</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>Partial</td>
<td>1’ AVB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>Partial</td>
<td>IVCD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>Partial</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*1’ AVB, first-degree atrioventricular block; CRBBB, complete right bundle branch block; ECG, electrocardiogram; F, female; IVCD, nonspecific intaventricular conduction defect with QRS interval > 110 ms (no criteria for left or right bundle branch block); LAFB, left anterior fascicular block; M, male.

1 At 10 years of treatment, rate-dependent; became established after 3 years.
2 (12.5%) patients had an isolated nonspecific intraventricular conduction defect. The corrected QT interval was normal in all patients.

The mexiletine dose used was 325 (200-600) mg/d, and the mean treatment duration was 46 [2-181] months. According to subjective evaluation as per normal practice, mexiletine was considered ineffective in controlling myotonia in 31%, partially effective temporarily (reason for stopping) in 50%, and very effective in 19%, who remain on this treatment to date. In none of the patients was it stopped due to cardiac complications; 25% had gastrointestinal upset that did not require medication withdrawal.

During treatment there were no significant cardiovascular events such as syncope, pacemaker requirement, atrioventricular block, bundle-branch re-entry ventricular tachycardia, or sudden cardiac death. On serial ECGs, the onset of left anterior fascicular block was documented in 1 patient, and in another patient, right bundle branch block, which was initially rate-dependent and then later became established during treatment.

After stopping treatment, during follow-up of the cohort, 2 pacemakers were implanted: 1 for symptomatic sinus node dysfunction and another in an asymptomatic patient with onset of first-degree atrioventricular block and complete bundle branch block with pathological prolongation of the HV interval on the electrophysiology study done as standard. There were 4 deaths (25%), 2 due to stroke, 1 due to pneumonia, and 1 due to severe respiratory failure.

In conclusion, the long-term use of mexiletine to improve myotonia in patients with DM1 without severe conduction defects on baseline ECG, even at high doses, does not appear to be associated with an increased cardiac risk and shows evidence of modest effectiveness. The limitations inherent to the retrospective nature of this cohort study must be taken into account when evaluating the results, which should be supported with a prospective study.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

There are no potential conflicts of interest.

Rafael Salguero-Bodes, Aníbal Ruiz-Curiel, Julián Palomino-Doza, María Valverde-Gómez, Cristina Domínguez-González, and Fernando Arribas-Ynsaurriaga.

*Servicio de Cardiología, Hospital Universitario 12 de Octubre, Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria Hospital 12 de Octubre (imas12), Madrid, Spain
Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Enfermedades Cardiovasculares (CIBERCV), Spain
Departamento de Medicina, Facultad de Medicina, Universidad Complutense, Madrid, Spain
Unidad de Neuromuscular, Servicio de Neurología, Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria Hospital 12 de Octubre (imas12), Madrid, Spain
Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Enfermedades Raras (CIBERER), Spain

*Corresponding author: E-mail address: rsbodes@gmail.com (R. Salguero-Bodes).
Available online 11 June 2021

REFERENCES


1885-5857 © 2021 Sociedad Española de Cardiología. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.

Dilated cardiomyopathy and mild limb girdle muscular dystrophy caused by the p.Gly424Ser genetic variant in the fukutin gene

Myocardiopatía dilatada y distrófia muscular de cinturas leve causada por la variante genética p.Gly424Ser en fukutina

To the Editor,

Dystroglycanopathies are a heterogeneous group of autosomal recessive disorders with a broad clinical spectrum. They include congenital muscular dystrophy, limb girdle muscular dystrophy (LGMC), and dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM). They are characterized by hypoglycosylation of α-dystroglycan, which is essential for muscle integrity. Fukutin is one of the proteins involved in its glycosylation and in the pathophysiology of the dystroglycanopathies. We report the first case described in Europe of a patient with DCM and LGMC who is homozygous for the p.Gly424Ser genetic variant in the fukutin gene (FKTN) and provide histological confirmation of the resulting abnormality.

A 28-year-old man consulted due to limb weakness and myalgia, with no cardiac symptoms. He showed a 4/5 strength loss in the lower limbs, pseudohypertrophy of the triceps surae and gastrocnemius, atrophy of the quadriceps, positive Gower’s sign (use of the upper limbs to get up), and elevated creatine kinase (CK; 6220 U/L). Electromyography showed moderate myopathy in all 4 limbs. Electrocardiography revealed sinus rhythm, a short PR interval, and negative lateral Q and T waves, whereas echocardiography showed DCM with 30% left ventricular ejection fraction.