Prognostic Value of BNP and Cardiopulmonary Exercise Testing in Patients With Systolic Heart Failure on Beta-Blocker Therapy

Domingo A. Pascual-Figal, a Pablo Peñafiel, a Francisco Nicolas, b Gonzalo de la Morena, a Pilar Ansaldo, a Belén Redondo, a Jesús Sánchez Mas, a and Mariano Valdés a

aUnidad de Insuficiencia Cardiaca, Servicio de Cardiología, Hospital Universitario Virgen de la Arrixaca, Murcia, Spain
bServicio de Medicina Nuclear, Hospital Universitario Virgen de la Arrixaca, Murcia, Spain

Introduction and objectives. The long-term prognostic value of the B-type natriuretic peptide (BNP) level and cardiopulmonary exercise testing in patients with heart failure (HF) who are receiving beta-blocker therapy is not well established.

Methods. The study involved 80 outpatients (78% male, age 50 [11] years) with stable HF, severe systolic dysfunction (left ventricular ejection fraction 25 [9]%), and intermediate functional impairment (New York Heart Association functional class 2.4 [0.6]) who were receiving optimum therapy, including beta-blockers. Their BNP levels (pg/mL) were measured and cardiopulmonary exercise testing was carried out to determine maximal oxygen uptake ($\text{VO}_{2}\text{max}$) and ventilatory efficiency (VE/VECO$_2$ slope). Patients were followed up for 2.7 (0.8) years. The study endpoints were cardiovascular death, heart transplantation, and HF hospitalization.

Results. The BNP level and VE/VECO$_2$ slope were greater in patients who died (n=7), at 211 pg/mL (51-266 pg/mL) vs. 46 pg/mL (16-105 pg/mL) ($P=0.017$) and 39 (3) vs. 33.8 (5.5) ($P=0.018$), respectively, or who had an adverse event (n=19), at 139 pg/mL (88-286 pg/mL) versus 40 pg/mL (13-81 pg/mL) ($P<0.001$) and 38.7 (4.3) versus 32.9 (5.2) ($P<0.001$), respectively. Only the combined endpoint was associated with a significant difference in $\text{VO}_{2}\text{max}$ (19.7 [5.4] versus 16.8 [3.9] mL/kg per min, $P=0.016$). On multivariate analysis, BNP >102 pg/mL ($P=0.002$; hazard ratio [$HR$]=5.2; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.8-14.8) and VE/VECO$_2$ slope >35 ($P=0.012$; $HR=4.3$; 95% CI, 1.4-13.2) were the best predictors of an adverse event. In patients who satisfied neither, one or both criteria, 36-month cumulative adverse event rates were 2%, 25%, and 63%, respectively (log rank, $P<0.001$).

Conclusions. In ambulatory HF patients with intermediate functional impairment who are receiving optimum beta-blocker therapy, the persistence of a high BNP level (>102 pg/mL) combined with poor ventilatory efficiency (VE/VECO$_2$ slope >35) identify those with a poor long-term prognosis.
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Valor pronóstico del BNP y la prueba de esfuerzo cardiopulmonar en la insuficiencia cardiaca sistólica en tratamiento con bloqueadores beta

Introducción y objetivos. En pacientes con insuficiencia cardiaca y tratamiento con bloqueadores beta, el valor pronóstico a largo plazo del péptido natriurético tipo B (BNP) y la prueba de esfuerzo cardiopulmonar no está bien establecido.

Métodos. Se estudió a 80 pacientes ambulatorios con insuficiencia cardiaca estable (el 78% varones; media de edad, 50 ± 11 años), disfunción ventricular severa (FEVI, 25% ± 9%), deterioro funcional intermedio (NYHA, 2.4 ± 0.6) y tratamiento optimizado que incluyera bloqueadores beta. Se midió el BNP (pg/ml) y se realizó una prueba de esfuerzo cardiopulmonar, en la que se midió el consumo máximo de oxígeno ($\text{VO}_{2}\text{max}$) y la ineficiencia ventilatoria (pendiente VE/VECO$_2$). El seguimiento fue de 2,7 ± 0,8 años y se estudió la muerte cardiovascular, el trasplante y el ingreso hospitalario por insuficiencia cardiaca.

Resultados. La concentración de BNP y la pendiente VE/VECO$_2$ fueron mayores en los pacientes que fallecieron (n = 7) [211 [51-266] contra 46 [16-105], $p = 0.017$; 39 ± 3 contra 33,8 ± 5,5, $p = 0.018$] o presentaron cualquier evento adverso (n = 19) [139 [88-286] contra 40 [13-81], $p < 0.001$; 38,7 ± 4,3 contra 32,9 ± 5,2, $p < 0.001$]. El $\text{VO}_{2}\text{max}$ sólo alcanzó significación para el evento combinado (19,7 ± 5,4 contra 16,8 ± 3,9 ml/kg/min, $p = 0.016$). Tras el análisis multivariable, el BNP > 102 pg/ml ($p = 0.002$; $HR=5.2$; intervalo de confianza [IC] del 95%, 1.8-14.8) y la pendiente VE/VECO$_2$>35 ($p = 0.012$; $HR=4.3$; IC del 95%, 1.4-13.2) fueron los mejores predictores de complicaciones. En presencia de ninguno, alguno o ambos predictores, la incidencia acumulada de eventos a 36 meses fue del 2, el 25 y el 63% respectivamente (log rank < 0.001).
Conclusiones. En pacientes con insuficiencia cardiaca, deterioro funcional intermedio y tratamiento optimizado con bloqueadores beta, la persistencia de un BNP elevado (> 102 pg/ml) y la ineficiencia ventilatoria (pendiente VE/VCO₂ > 35) identifican a los pacientes con peor pronóstico a largo plazo.


ABBREVIATIONS
ACEi: angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor
ARA-II: angiotensin II receptor antagonist
BB: beta-blocker
BNP: B-type natriuretic peptide
GFR: glomerular filtration rate
LVEF: left ventricular ejection fraction
ROC curve: receiver operating characteristic curve
VE/VCO₂: ventilatory efficiency
(minute ventilation/carbon dioxide production)
VO₂max: maximal oxygen consumption

INTRODUCTION

B-type natriuretic peptide (BNP) is mostly synthesized in the left ventricle, is released in response to pressure and volume overload, and has a beneficial effect in patients with heart failure.\(^1,2\) In recent years the measurement of BNP has become an aid in the diagnosis\(^1,3\) of heart failure and in determining the prognosis of patients with this syndrome.\(^4,5\) However, while a number of papers have reported increased BNP levels to be associated with a poor prognosis, beta-blocker (BB) treatment was suboptimal in the majority of populations studied.\(^6,10\) Treatment with these agents improves patient prognosis\(^11,12\); however, it also affects BNP values in a variable fashion.\(^13,16\) The prognostic value of BNP in patients receiving optimized therapy including BB remains unclear.

Risk stratification is routine clinical practice when treating patients with heart failure (this is particularly true in heart transplantation units). An exercise test, involving measurement of respiratory gases or cardiopulmonary monitoring, particularly the measurement of maximum oxygen consumption (VO₂max), is a classic tool used in prognostic stratification and decision-making.\(^17,18\) However, it was developed using populations that were not receiving optimized treatment including BB.\(^18\) Further, the VO₂max loses its prognostic potential in patients with intermediate functional deterioration who are receiving optimized treatment including BB.\(^18\) Patients who receive BB have a better prognosis for an equivalent VO₂max\(^19,20\) such that the recommended cut-off indicating the need for heart transplantation is reduced from 14 mL/kg/min to ≤12 mL/kg/min.\(^17,21\)

The aim of the present study was to determine the usefulness of BNP and the cardiopulmonary exercise test on the long-term risk stratification of patients with systolic heart failure and intermediate functional deterioration receiving optimized treatment including BB.

METHODS

Study Design and Patients

The potential study subjects were consecutive outpatients who attended a clinic for advanced heart failure associated with a heart transplant program during 2003-2004. Medical treatment was optimized according to the guidelines in force at the time.\(^22\) The final study population (n=80) was composed of patients receiving optimized treatment that included BB plus an angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor (ACEi) or an angiotensin II receptor antagonist (ARA-II) (both at the maximum tolerated dose). These patients were all clinically stable; none had been subject to any changes in therapy, or hospitalized within the previous 3 months. All patients underwent cardiopulmonary exercise testing; none showed a need for heart transplantation in the short term. Coronary angiography was performed in 58 patients (70%) in whom coronary artery disease had not been ruled out. Following a treatment optimization period, all patients underwent experimental testing on the same morning. All echocardiographic and exercise tests were performed by the same operator. Echocardiography was performed using a Sonos 5500 apparatus (Philips, Andover, Massachusetts) before the exercise test, after taking a sample of blood. The left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) was calculated using the Simpson method and employing a second harmonic image. Standardized projections were performed and standard measurements taken for the study of heart anatomy and function.\(^23\)

BNP and Other Blood Variables

After an overnight fast, and following a rest period of 20 min, blood was obtained by venipuncture of the antecubital vein using a vacuum system. Samples for the determination of BNP were collected in polystyrene tubes containing ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (500 kIU/mL) and immediately placed on ice. All were centrifuged within 60 min. The plasma fraction was stored at –80°C until analysis. Plasma BNP was determined in duplicate using a sandwich-type solid phase radioimmunoanalytical technique employing the ShionoRia BNP kit (CIS Bio International, Gif sur Yvette, France). The lower detection
limit was 2 pg/mL. Cross reactivity with atrial natriuretic peptide is specified by the manufacturer as <0.001%. The coefficient of variability was 6%. The normal concentration of BNP defined by the manufacturer was <18.4 pg/mL. The physicians responsible for the management of the patients were kept blind to the BNP results; they were not, therefore, available to them for therapeutic decision-making.

Hemoglobin and hematocrit were determined using an XE-2100 model automated blood analyzer (Symex, Kobe, Japan). Other biochemical variables were determined using a Roche/Hitachi Modular Analyzer (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany). The glomerular filtration rate (GFR) was calculated using the simplified modification of diet in renal disease (MDRD) formula (mL/min/1.73 m²: 186.3×[plasma creatinine]–1.154×[age]–0.203) (×0.742 to correct for female sex).

Statistical Analysis

The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to check the normal distribution of quantitative variables. BNP values showed a deviation from normality, and were therefore log-transformed for analysis; values are expressed as medians and interquartile range. Normally distributed quantitative variables were expressed as means (standard deviation [SD]); qualitative variables were expressed as number (and percentage). Comparisons between groups were undertaken using the Student t test for independent samples. Univariate Cox regression was used to study the possible predictors of events. The best prognostic cut-off was taken as that which maximized specificity and sensitivity in the analysis of the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve. The cut-off thus obtained was used in Kaplan-Meier survival analysis (log rank).

Variables that were significant in the univariate Cox regression were used in multivariate Cox analysis (conditional forward stepwise method), adjusting for possible confounding factors. A P value less than .05 was considered significant. All calculations were performed using SPSS software for the social sciences (SPSS v. 14.0 for Windows, SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA).

RESULTS

Population and Events

The study population was composed of 80 patients (78% were men; mean age 50 [11] years). Table 1 records the patients’ clinical characteristics. As a whole the subjects showed severe systolic ventricular dysfunction (LVEF 25% [9%]) and intermediate functional deterioration (NYHA class II/III, 4/42/34 [2.4 (0.6)]). The etiology of heart failure in the majority (74%) was non-ischemic.

Table 2 shows the pharmacological treatment received. All 80 patients received optimized treatment including a BB and either an ACEi or an ARA-II drug. Seven patients (8.8%) died during follow-up (4 suddenly, 3 from refractory cardiogenic shock). Another 6 (7.5%) required a heart transplant, and a further 6 (7.5%) were hospitalized at least once for decompensated heart failure. In total 19 (24%) patients experienced some adverse event during follow-up.

BNP Concentration and Prognosis

The median BNP concentration was 64 (17-130) pg/mL. It was significantly higher in patients who died than in those who did not (n=7, 211 [51-266] pg/mL vs 46 [16-105] pg/mL; P=0.017), in those who required a heart transplant compared to those who did not (n=6, 226 [88-527] pg/mL vs 47 [17-108] pg/mL; P=.006), and in patients associated with the combined event...
The area under the ROC curve for the prediction of death was 0.773 (95% CI, 0.670-0.859); for any adverse event it was 0.814 (95% CI, 0.711-0.892). In both cases the best cut-off was 102 pg/mL (sensitivity and specificity 72% and 74%, and 79% and 80% respectively). The negative predictive value were was 96% and 93%, respectively. Kaplan-Meier survival analysis showed event-free survival to be shorter in patients with a BNP of >102 pg/mL (log rank <0.001) (Figure 2).

Exercise Test Results and Prognosis

Patients performed exercise for 6 (2.5) min; the mean VO₂max was 19 (5) mL/kg/min and the mean VE/VCO₂ slope value 34 (6). The VE/VCO₂ slope was steeper in patients who died (n=7; 39 [3] compared to 33.8 [5.5] in those who did not; P=.018) and in those included in the combined adverse event outcome (n=19; 38.7 [4.3] compared to 32.9 [5.2]; P<.001) (Figure 3). No significant differences were seen in VO₂max between those who died and those who did not (17.2 [2.9] compared to 19.2 [5.3]; P=.332), although differences were seen when a similar comparison was made for the combined adverse event (16.8 [3.9] compared to 19.7 [5.4]; P=.016).

---

**Figure 1.** Box diagram comparing BNP values (pg/mL) and the appearance of events during follow-up.

**Figure 2.**

**Figure 3.**

---

**Table 1. Baseline Patient Characteristics (n=80)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Value (SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean (SD), y</td>
<td>50 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>62 (77.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High blood pressure</td>
<td>25 (31.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>18 (22.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index, mean (SD)</td>
<td>27 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYHA class, mean (SD)</td>
<td>2.4 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischemic etiology</td>
<td>21 (26.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idiopathic</td>
<td>40 (50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valvular</td>
<td>10 (12.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others*</td>
<td>9 (11.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sinus rhythm</td>
<td>62 (77.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete bundle branch block</td>
<td>27 (33.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QRS width, mean (SD), ms</td>
<td>126 (40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF, mean (SD), %</td>
<td>25.0 (9.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEDV, mean (SD), mL</td>
<td>202 (75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine, mean (SD), mg/dL</td>
<td>1.37 (0.46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFR, mean (SD), mL/min/1.73 m²</td>
<td>53.2 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin, mean (SD), g/dL</td>
<td>14.9 (1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium, mean (SD), mEq/L</td>
<td>139 (3.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium, mean (SD), mEq/L</td>
<td>4.7 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*GFR indicates glomerular filtration rate (MDRD); LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; LVEDV, left ventricular end-diastolic volume; NYHA, New York Heart Association functional class.

Values are expressed as numbers (%) and means (SD).

*Others: hypertensive 4 (5), familiar 3 (3.7), myocarditis 2 (2.5).

---

**Table 2. Pharmacological Treatment (n=80)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Use (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beta-blockers</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carvedilol (70%)</td>
<td>22 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bisoprolol (30%)</td>
<td>4.2 (2.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACEI/ARA-II</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramipril (64%)</td>
<td>8.9 (2.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enalapril (20%)</td>
<td>38.8 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Losartan (16%)</td>
<td>79 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diuretics</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furosemide (91%)</td>
<td>44 (34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torasemide (9%)</td>
<td>14.3 (5.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spironolactone</td>
<td>44 (56%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digitalis</td>
<td>42 (53%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ACEI, angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors; ARA-II indicates angiotensin II receptor antagonists.

Values are percentage use (%) and dose (mean [SD], mg/day).

---

**Table 3.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Schedule</th>
<th>Use (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Death/heart transplantation/hospitalization compared to the remaining patients (n=19, 139 [88-286]) vs 40 [13-81] pg/mL; P<.001) (Figure 1). The area under the ROC curve for the prediction of death was 0.773 (95% CI, 0.670-0.859); for any adverse event it was 0.814 (95% CI, 0.711-0.892). In both cases the best cut-off was 102 pg/mL (sensitivity and specificity 72% and 74%, and 79% and 80% respectively). The negative predictive value were was 96% and 93%, respectively. Kaplan-Meier survival analysis showed event-free survival to be shorter in patients with a BNP of >102 pg/mL (log rank <0.001) (Figure 2).**
The VE/VCO₂ slope showed an area under the ROC curve for the prediction of death of 0.805 (95% CI, 0.702-0.885); the optimum cut-off was 35 (sensitivity 100%, specificity 69%, negative predictive value 100%). For the combined end point, the area under the curve was 0.814 (95% CI, 0.712-0.893); the best cut-off point was also 35 (sensitivity 84%, specificity 71%, negative predictive value 94%). Kaplan-Meier analysis showed event-free survival to be shorter in patients with a VE/VCO₂ slope value of ≥35 (log rank <0.001) (Figure 4).

**Multivariate Analysis**

Following adjustment for the different variables in Cox multivariate regression analysis, a BNP of >102 pg/mL and a VE/VCO₂ slope of >35 were identified as independent predictors of adverse events (Table 3).
Kaplan-Meier analysis showed the presence of neither, one and both predictors to be associated with a cumulative incidence of 2%, 25%, and 63% (log rank < 0.001).

**DISCUSSION**

The results show the plasma BNP concentration and the VE/VCO₂ slope (under cardiopulmonary exercise) to be the main markers of long-term risk of developing an adverse event.

Risk stratification forms part of clinical practice associated with the management of patients with heart failure (especially in heart transplantation units); VO₂max has been the traditional risk measurement tool. However, in patients with intermediate functional deterioration, such as those in the present study, risk stratification is more difficult—albeit of great importance with respect to the medium-long term prognosis. In recent years, BNP has become recognized for its long-term prognostic value, although its dependence on the population studied has limited its use as a prognostic tool in individual patients. The use of BB seems to affect BNP concentration; some authors report it to become reduced while others report it to undergo a significant increase.

This might be explained in that beta adrenergic modulation interacts with the synthesis, secretion, and clearance of BNP; an initial increase in BNP concentration would therefore be expected, followed by a progressive reduction in parallel with the clinical and echocardiographic improvement made. The present patients all had stable heart failure and had received BB for at least three months before the start of the study; the mentioned interaction may therefore have been minimized.

**TABLE 3. Cox Regression for the Prediction of Adverse Events**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Univariate</th>
<th>Multivariate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>HR (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNP &gt;102 pg/mL</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>7.3 (2.6-20.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VE/VCO₂ &gt;35</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>6.4 (2.1-19.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VO₂max</td>
<td>.043</td>
<td>0.89 (0.81-0.99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>.937</td>
<td>1.0 (0.96-1.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF</td>
<td>.210</td>
<td>0.96 (0.91-1.02)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BNP indicates B-type natriuretic peptide; HR, hazard ratio; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; VE/VCO₂, ventilatory ratio; VO₂max, maximum oxygen consumption. Adjusted for sex, body mass index, glomerular filtration rate, and sinus rhythm (P > .1 for all these variables).
BNP levels were found to be a significant prognostic marker of adverse events taken into account. Other studies involving similar outpatient populations with different percentages receiving BB report similar findings, eg, that of Koglín et al\(^8\) (n=78; 75% of patients in NYHA class II/III) in which 79% of patients received BB, and that of Stanek et al\(^27\) (n=91; 98% of patients in NYHA class II/III) in which 55% received BB. In the present study, in which all patients received BB, BNP levels were of great prognostic significance, and the results confirm its independence of BB treatment. The same is indicated by the VAL-HEFT registry, in which the prognostic value of BNP appears independent of treatment with BB or ACEi.\(^7\) Since BNP values are influenced by the characteristics of the population studied, and given their linear association with the increase in risk, earlier studies described many different prognostic reference values, hindering the practical use of this marker.\(^4\)\(^-10\),\(^28\),\(^29\) In the present outpatients, all of whom received optimized treatment (all received BB and ACEi, or ARA II), a BNP of <102 pg/mL was associated with a good prognosis (7% adverse events), while the persistence of high values was associated with a significantly increased risk (55%) of an adverse event in the long term (36 months). This agrees with the findings of the VAL-HEFT study (which has the largest number of patients in such a study to date), in which appropriately treated outpatients showed BNP values below the cut-off for the diagnosis of heart failure (100 pg/mL); this was therefore associated with a better prognosis.\(^28\),\(^29\) These findings therefore indicate that, in similar outpatient populations (it should be emphasized that this is only the case for populations similar to those described in the latter and present studies), and when administering treatment with BB and ACEi or ARA-II, BNP values below 100 pg/mL are associated with a significantly better prognosis.

The prognostic value of VO\(_{\text{max}}\) was affected by treatment with BB; these drugs reduce the capacity to perform exercise but at the same time improve patient prognosis.\(^17\),\(^21\) In the present population the VE/VO\(_{\text{max}}\) slope value was a more valuable prognostic marker than VO\(_{\text{max}}\). This may be partly due to the patients’ intermediate functional deterioration, as shown by the VO\(_{\text{max}}\) reached (19 [5] mL/kg/min) which was significantly above the cut-off value of 12 mL/kg/min indicated by Peterson et al.\(^21\) Several authors report the prognostic value of measuring ventilatory inefficiency in response to exercise via the VE/VO\(_{\text{max}}\) slope, rating it even higher than that of VO\(_{\text{max}}\), especially in populations showing intermediate functional deterioration.\(^24\),\(^30\)-\(^32\) The latter studies involved populations in which under 50% of patients were treated with BB. In the present study the mean VE/VO\(_{\text{max}}\) slope value was 34 (6), close to the prognostic cut-off point obtained (>35, which is similar to that previously published).\(^17\),\(^30\)-\(^32\) Thus, the present results indicate that, in patients treated with BB and with intermediate functional deterioration, the loss of ventilatory efficiency during exercise (the value of the
VE/VCO₂ slope) could be a better long-term prognostic marker than VO₂max. de Groote et al. who studied a large population (n=407) in which 93% of patients received BB, reported BNP and VO₂max (measured as a percentage of that predicted) to be of independent prognostic value. These results agree with those of the present study in that, despite the fact that BNP concentration has been shown a determinant of the functional capacity and the VO₂max reached, the prognostic information it provides complements that offered by the cardiopulmonary exercise test. Nonetheless, the study by de Groote et al does not take the VE/VCO₂ slope value into account; this was examined in the present study and it was found to be of greater prognostic value than the VO₂max. In addition, the population studied by the latter authors showed greater functional deterioration (VO₂max, 15.2 [4.8] mL/kg/min), which might determine a greater prognostic value for VO₂max. This shows that the VE/VCO₂ slope may be of greatest value in populations showing intermediate functional deterioration, while VO₂max may be more reliable in populations showing greater deterioration.

Limitations

The main limitation of this study lies in the characteristics of the population examined, which prevent the results to be extrapolated to others. The present population included relatively young, clinically stable outpatients with severe ventricular dysfunction, and intermediate functional deterioration; correct medium-term risk stratification of is of great importance in such patients. The findings presented may be of use in the management of similar patients in heart transplantation programs; in such programs, patients who do not meet the criteria for inclusion on the transplant list following the optimization of treatment, but which are at higher risk, should be monitored more carefully. Another limitation is the small size of the study population (due to the characteristics selected), although to some extent this is compensated by the long follow-up period. Finally, the rate of events may appear low, but it reflects that which might be expected for similar populations following current therapeutic guidelines. The possible effects of the type of BB administered could not be studied due to the small population size.

CONCLUSIONS

In outpatients with heart failure who show severe ventricular dysfunction and intermediate functional deterioration, for whom a heart transplant in the short term is not indicated, and for whom treatment has been optimized (including the administration of BB), the persistence of a BNP concentration of >102 pg/mL and ventilatory inefficiency in response to exercise expressed as a VE/VCO₂ slope of >35 indicate a greater long-term risk of complications.
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