Bioresorbable Vascular Scaffolds in Coronary Bifurcation Lesions: Only in Expert Hands

Arrozones vasculares bioabsorbibles en lesiones de bifurcación coronaria: solo en manos expertas
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Although bifurcation lesions account for 15% to 20% of percutaneous interventions (PCI), their optimal management is still debated.

First, as the degree of delayed arterial healing seen with conventional DES may be higher after bifurcation intervention, especially if a dual stent technique is used, the benefit for the patient of a disappearing stent may be greater. Second, late luminal enlargement due to positive vessel remodeling as the scaffold degrades may be particularly beneficial at bifurcation sites, given the increased risk of restenosis at these sites compared with nonbifurcation sites. Third, in a bifurcation lesion where the main vessel is stented, long-term jailing of the SB may be avoided following BVS resorption.

Nonetheless, a number of limitations associated with the use of this technology at bifurcation sites must equally be considered. Due to their polymeric nature, BVS have different structural and mechanical properties to metallic stents. First, they require thicker and wider struts than metallic stents to provide adequate radial strength for vessel scaffolding. Per manufacturer reported measurements, the ABSORB and DESolve stents have strut thicknesses of 157 μm and 150 μm, respectively, compared with 89 μm for the Xience metallic DES (Abbott Vascular), for example. Greater strut width translates into larger device footprints of 27% and 30%, respectively, compared with 13% for Xience (for 3.0 mm devices deployed at nominal pressure in each case).

This has a number of implications: BVS are bulky—with a crossing profile of ~1.4 mm for ABSORB and DESolve, compared with ~1.1 mm for Xience—which may hinder device delivery in a bifurcation lesion or passage through the struts of a deployed stent or scaffold during a bifurcation PCI. In addition, thicker struts are more thrombogenic than thinner struts on account of more turbulent blood flow and slower endothelialisation. This risk is amplified in a dual-stent technique, when there may be overlap of 2 to 3 stent layers at the carina. Furthermore, in situations where the SB is jailed, wider struts may actually increase the risk of acute SB occlusion and periprocedural myocardial infarction on account of their larger footprint. Moreover, formation of a neointimal bridge on jailed ABSORB BVS struts prior to scaffold resorption has been reported, with a consequent reduction in SB ostial flow area. Second, polymeric struts break more easily than metallic struts, which limits their
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expansion capacity. This places restrictions on postdilatation techniques—such as proximal optimization or kissing balloon angioplasty—both of which are important components of contemporary bifurcation PCI. Third, BVS are more cumbersome to implant than metallic DES, requiring more time for lesion preparation, device delivery, prolonged inflation and postdilatation. This results in a significant increase in procedure time compared with conventional DES—an issue that is amplified in the setting of bifurcation PCI.

In a recently published article in Revista Española de Cardiología, Suárez de Lezo et al.\(^1\)\(^2\) report data regarding 230 coronary bifurcation lesions treated with BVS in a single center registry.\(^1\)\(^2\) Patients had clinical follow-up at a mean of 14 months. The main finding of the report was that in carefully selected patients with suitable lesions, procedural success rate was high, midterm adverse imaging outcomes were favorable, and rates of adverse clinical events were low. The authors have great experience in bifurcation PCI and should be congratulated for reporting a large dataset of bifurcation lesions treated with BVS. A number of important strengths should be acknowledged. First, true bifurcation lesions—with significant disease in both the main vessel and SB—were present in a considerable proportion of cases—45% overall (Figure, panel A). However, due to a pragmatic approach to intervention, procedural success was achieved with a single-stent strategy in 96% of lesions, with a very low rate of dual stenting required (Figure, panel B). Second, intravascular imaging at the time of PCI was liberally used—either intravascular ultrasound in 60 lesions or optical coherence tomography in 87 lesions. This included 90% of those that underwent SB dilation through the cells of the BVS. Moreover, in all patients treated with a modified sequential kissing balloon angioplasty technique, the intervention was guided by intravascular ultrasound. Third, 78% of lesions were evaluated with angiographic surveillance at a mean of 7.3 months. Impressively, at angiographic follow-up, all SB were patent. A total of 12 (5%) patients showed restenosis and required repeat revascularization. The most common site was at the proximal edge of the BVS, with no differences in restenosis rates between lesions in which the SB was postdilated and those that were not.

This study also has a number of important limitations which must be considered when interpreting the results. First, its single-arm design precludes comparison of BVS implantation in bifurcation lesions with other potential therapies. Moreover, a single specialized center experience limits the external validity of the results. Indeed, whether these outcomes are generalizable to routine practice in centers with lesser expertise is an open question. Second, the use of computed tomography angiography rather than invasive angiography in most of the patients with imaging surveillance is another limitation, given that computed tomography is not validated for this indication. Third, the duration of follow-up was limited. Given that it takes up to 4 years for the ABSORB BVS to fully resorb,\(^6\) the natural history of the device in these lesions is not fully captured within the time frame of the current study.
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**Figure.** Distribution of lesions in the article of Suárez de Lezo et al.\(^1\)\(^2\) according to the Medina classification (A) and treatment strategy used (B). SB, side branch.
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